

Royal Bank of Scotland N.V.

Annual Report and Accounts 2015

Managing Board Report	
Chairman's statement	1
Financial review	2
Financial review Capital and risk management	9
Corporate governance	51
Financial statements	62
Consolidated income statement	62
Consolidated statement of comprehensive income	63
Consolidated balance sheet	64
Consolidated statement of changes in equity	65
Consolidated cash flow statement	66
Accounting policies	67
Notes on the consolidated accounts	77
1 Net interest income	77
2 Non-interest income	77
3 Operating expenses	78
4 Pensions	79
5 Auditor's remuneration	80
6 Tax	81
7 Dividends	81
8 Financial instruments - classification	82
9 Financial instruments - valuation	85
10 Financial instruments - maturity analysis	93
11 Financial assets - impairments	96
12 Derivatives	97
13 Debt securities	99
14 Equity shares	100
15 Interests in associates	101
16 Prepayments, accrued income and other assets	101
17 Discontinued operations and assets and liabilities of disposal groups	102
18 Settlement balances and short positions	103
19 Provisions, accruals and other liabilities	103
20 Deferred taxation	104
21 Subordinated liabilities	105
22 Share capital	107
23 Reserves	107
24 Structured entities and asset transfers	107
25 Capital resources	109
26 Memorandum items	110
27 Changes in operating assets and liabilities	116
28 Interest received and paid	116
29 Analysis of cash and cash equivalents	116
30 Segmental analysis	117
31 Remuneration of the Managing Board and Supervisory Board of RBS N.V.	118
32 Related parties	119
33 Post balance sheet events	120
Company financial statements RBS N.V. (Parent Company) 2015	121
Other information	125
Additional information	134

Chairman's statement

In 2015 the Group continued to de-risk its balance sheet. Total assets reduced to €15.9 billion at the end of 2015 compared with €23.6 billion at the end of 2014. Plans for the further wind-down of the business were presented to the European Central Bank (ECB) and De Nederlandsche Bank (DNB), our regulators.

On 26 February 2015 RBS Group announced the restructuring of Corporate & Institutional Banking (CIB) to build a strong, safe and more sustainable business. Subsequently CIB is in the process of reducing its geographical footprint to approximately 13 countries, compared with 38 at the end of 2014.

This business restructuring is managed by RBS's Capital Resolution. The impacted countries for the Group are China, India and Indonesia. In 2015 we started the wind-down of our businesses in Indonesia and China. At the beginning of 2016 it was decided to also wind-down the retail and corporate business in India as well.

The scope of Capital Resolution's work includes the Group as most of the assets on the Group's balance sheet were already managed by Capital Resolution. As a result, the reorganisation agenda of RBS Group and the Group are fully aligned with each other.

Results of operations in 2015

Operating loss before tax was €48 million compared with a loss of €57 million in 2014. This was largely due to lower expenses as a result of lower staff and non-staff costs, and was offset by higher impairment losses. Net interest income decreased as a result of the wind-down. Total equity as at 31 December 2015, was €3.7 billion, an increase of €0.2 billion compared with 31 December 2014.

Capital and RWAs

The Group continues to be well capitalised. At 31 December 2015, the Group's Total capital ratio was 42.4%, the Tier 1 capital ratio was 30.1% and the Common Equity Tier 1 capital ratio was 21.1%. Within risk-weighted assets €9,350 million is included as a consequence of the proportional consolidation of a 40% interest in Saudi Hollandi Bank. This amount comprises €9,324 million in credit risk and €26 million in market risk.

Outlook

It is envisaged that in 2016 commercial activities will cease and that we will continue to execute the plans for the further de-risking of RBS N.V.. Ultimately, the objective is that RBS N.V. can relinquish its banking licence in the Netherlands.

On behalf of my fellow Managing Board members, I would like to thank all our employees and customers for their continued commitment and loyalty during 2015.

Idzard van Eeghen
Chairman of the Managing Board of RBS N.V.
Amsterdam, 28 April 2016

	Page
Presentation of information	3
Forward-looking statements	4
Description of business	5
Competition	5
Summary consolidated income statement	6
Analysis of results	7
Consolidated balance sheet	8

In the Report and Accounts and unless specified otherwise, the term 'Bank' means The Royal Bank of Scotland N.V., the 'Group' or 'RBS N.V.' means the bank and its subsidiaries. 'RBS Holdings' means RBS Holdings N.V.. RBS Holdings N.V. is the parent company of the Bank, 'RBSH Group' is the holding company and its consolidated subsidiaries and associated companies. The Royal Bank of Scotland Group plc (RBSG) is the ultimate holding company of RBSH Group. RBS Group refers to RBSG and its consolidated subsidiaries and associated companies. RBS plc refers to The Royal Bank of Scotland plc. The terms 'Consortium' and 'Consortium Members' refer to RBSG, Stichting Administratiekantoor Beheer Financiële Instellingen (the Dutch State, successor to Fortis) and Banco Santander S.A. (Santander) who jointly acquired RBS Holdings on 17 October 2007 through RFS Holdings B.V. (RFS Holdings).

Certain information in this report is presented separately for domestic and foreign activities. Domestic activities consist of transactions within the Netherlands. Geographic analysis has been compiled on the basis of location of office.

The results, assets and liabilities of individual business units are classified as trading or non-trading based on their predominant activity. Although this method may result in some non-trading activity being classified as trading, and vice versa, any resulting misclassification is not material.

All annual averages in this report are based on month-end figures. Management does not believe that these month-end averages present trends materially different from those that would be presented by daily averages.

Governance and management are conducted on an overall basis within RBSH Group. Therefore the discussions in this document that refer to RBSH Group also apply to RBS N.V.

International Financial Reporting Standards

Unless otherwise indicated, the financial information contained in this Annual Report has been prepared in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) as adopted by the European Union (EU) and IFRS as issued by the International Accounting Standard Board (IASB).

Glossary

A glossary of terms is included on pages 165 to 170.

Forward-looking statements

Certain sections in this document contain 'forward-looking statements' as that term is defined in the United States Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, such as statements that include the words 'expect', 'estimate', 'project', 'anticipate', 'believe', 'should', 'intend', 'plan', 'could', 'probability', 'risk', 'Value-at-Risk (VaR)', 'target', 'goal', 'objective', 'may', 'endeavour', 'outlook', 'optimistic', 'prospects' and similar expressions or variations on these expressions.

In particular, this document includes forward-looking statements relating, but not limited to: the winding-down of RBS N.V.'s operations and the transfer and sale of a substantial part of its business activities to RBS plc; the RBS Group's restructuring, including the implementation of the UK ring-fencing regime and the potential impact thereof on RBS N.V. divestments; capitalisation; portfolios; net interest margin; capital and leverage ratios and requirements; liquidity; risk-weighted assets (RWAs); minimum requirements for eligible liabilities (MREL); return on equity (ROE); profitability; cost:income ratios; loan:deposit ratios; funding and credit risk profile; discretionary coupon and dividend payments; litigation, regulatory and governmental investigations; RBS Group's and RBS N.V.'s future financial performance; the level and extent of future impairments and write-downs; RBS Group's and RBS N.V.'s exposure to credit rating risk and to various types of market risks, such as interest rate risk, foreign exchange rate risk and commodity and equity price risk; RBS Group's and RBS N.V.'s exposure to political risks, operational risk and conduct risk. These statements are based on current plans, estimates, targets and projections, and are subject to inherent risks, uncertainties and other factors which could cause actual results to differ materially from the future results expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. For example, certain market risk disclosures are dependent on choices relying on key model characteristics and assumptions and are subject to various limitations. By their nature, certain of the market risk disclosures are only estimates and, as a result, actual future gains and losses could differ materially from those that have been estimated.

Other factors that could adversely affect RBS N.V.'s results and the accuracy of forward-looking statements in this document include the risk factors and other uncertainties discussed in this document. These include those relating to: the winding-down of RBS N.V.'s operations and transfers or sales of assets to RBS plc; RBS N.V.'s reliance on the RBS Group; operational risks that are inherent in RBS N.V.'s business and increased as a result of the implementation by the RBS Group of its strategic programme and the UK ring-fencing regime as well as the winding-down of RBS N.V.'s operations; the potential adverse impact on RBS N.V. resulting from the major ongoing restructuring carried out by the RBS Group through 2019; the potential adverse impact on RBS N.V. resulting from the implementation by the RBS Group of the UK ring-fencing regime; the political risks to which the RBS Group is exposed; the potential negative impact on RBS N.V.'s business of actual or perceived global economic and financial market conditions and other global risks; uncertainties regarding RBS N.V.'s exposure to any weakening of economies within the EU or emerging economies; changes to the monetary and interest rate policies of central banks and other governmental and regulatory bodies; the impact of unanticipated volatility or adverse movements in interest rates, yield curves, foreign currency exchange rates, credit spreads, bond prices, commodity prices or equity prices; the extent of future write-downs and impairment charges caused by depressed asset valuations; deteriorations in customer and counterparty credit quality as a result of prevailing economic and market conditions; RBS N.V.'s ability to achieve its capital targets; the achievement of capital and cost reduction targets; ineffective management of capital or changes to regulatory requirements relating to capital adequacy and liquidity; RBS N.V.'s ability to satisfy stress tests imposed by its regulators; the ability to access sufficient sources of capital, liquidity and funding when required; changes in the credit ratings of RBSG, RBS N.V. or other entities of the RBS Group; uncertainties and adverse outcomes relating to legal, regulatory and governmental actions and investigations that RBS Group and RBS N.V. are subject to and any resulting material adverse effect on RBS N.V. of unfavourable outcomes; heightened regulatory and governmental scrutiny and the increasingly regulated environment in which RBS N.V. operates; RBS N.V.'s ability to attract and retain qualified personnel; changes in laws, regulations, accounting standards and taxes; the high dependence of RBS N.V.'s operations on its information technology systems and its increasing exposure to cyber security threats; the reputational and conduct risks inherent in RBS N.V.'s operations and RBS N.V.'s ability to implement and maintain an effective risk management culture; the risk that RBS N.V. may suffer losses due to employee misconduct; the reliance on valuation, capital and stress test models which may be inaccurate; changes in accounting rules, policies, assumptions and estimates and changes to the valuation of financial instruments recorded at fair value; potential liabilities resulting from the legal demerger of ABN Amro Bank NV; and the success of RBS N.V. in managing the risks involved in the foregoing.

The forward-looking statements contained in this document speak only as of the date of this announcement, and RBS N.V. does not undertake to update any forward-looking statement to reflect events or circumstances after the date hereof or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events.

The information, statements and opinions contained in this document do not constitute a public offer under any applicable legislation or an offer to sell or solicitation of any offer to buy any securities or financial instruments or any advice or recommendation with respect to such securities or other financial instruments.

Description of business

Introduction

RBS Holdings offers a range of banking products and financial services, principally in Europe and Asia.

In 2007, RFS Holdings, which was jointly owned by RBSG, Fortis (succeeded by the Dutch State in 2008) and Santander (together, the 'Consortium Members') completed the acquisition of RBS Holdings.

RBS Holdings has one direct subsidiary, RBS N.V., a fully operational bank within RBSH Group. RBS N.V. is independently rated and regulated, effective from 4 November 2014 the Dutch Central Bank was replaced as the main regulator by the European Central Bank (ECB) in conjunction with the Dutch Central Bank.


The Group is regulated in the Netherlands by the European Central Bank (ECB) under the Single Supervisory Mechanism (SSM). As part thereof, a Joint Supervisory Team comprising of ECB and De Nederlandsche Bank (DNB) staff has been set up to conduct the day to day prudential supervision oversight. The Netherlands Authority for the Financial Markets (Autoriteit Financiële Markten (AFM) is responsible for the conduct supervision.

The Group's regulatory system in the Netherlands is a comprehensive system based on the provisions of the Financial Supervision Act which came into effect on 1 January 2007 and in combination with applicable European legislation. The Financial Supervision Act has replaced, amongst others, the Act on the Supervision of the Credit System 1992 without affecting the existing supervisory system.

The Financial Supervision Act sets out rules regarding prudential supervision and supervision of conduct.

Prudential supervision focuses on the solidity of financial undertakings and contributes to the stability of the financial sector. Supervision of conduct focuses on orderly and transparent financial market processes, clear relations between market participants and due care in the treatment of clients (including supervision of the securities and investment businesses).

Since 31 December 2010, RBSG's shareholding in RFS Holdings has been 97.72%. RFS Holdings is controlled by RBSG, which is incorporated in the UK and registered at 36 St Andrew Square, Edinburgh, Scotland. RBSG is the ultimate parent company of RBSH Group. The consolidated financial statements of RBSH Group are included in the consolidated financial statements of RBS Group.



*interest is held by Stichting Administratiekantoor Beheer Financiële Instellingen

The Group had total assets of €15.9 billion and owners' equity of €3.7 billion at 31 December 2015. The Group's capital ratios were a Total capital ratio of 42.4%, a Tier 1 capital ratio of 30.1% and a Common Equity Tier 1 capital ratio of 21.1% as at 31 December 2015.

The Group total assets have reduced further from €23.5 billion to €15.9 billion in line with the Group's wind down strategy. The Group's main activities are limited to a small number of countries, including the UK, the Netherlands, China, India and Indonesia, and the Group has one significant associate, Saudi Hollandi Bank. Certain assets within RBS N.V. continue to be shared by the Consortium Members.

The Group has submitted a plan, the so-called target end state plan, to its regulators with the ultimate objective that RBS N.V. can relinquish its banking licence in the Netherlands.

RBS N.V. US branches

The RBS N.V. US branches were closed during 2015 as the remaining tail assets have been transferred and applicable regulatory approval has been received.

Competition

The Group faces strong competition in all the markets it serves.

Competition for corporate and institutional customers in the Netherlands and abroad is from Dutch banks and from foreign banks that offer investment and corporate banking services. In addition, the Group's Corporate and Institutional Banking business faces competition from dedicated investment banks and from non-bank institutions via disintermediation in credit and financing products. In European and Asian corporate and institutional banking markets the Group also competes with the large domestic banks active in these markets and with the major international banks.

Financial review

Summary consolidated income statement

	2015 €m	2014 €m	2013 €m
Net interest income	(22)	187	238
Fees and commissions receivable	17	158	119
Fees and commissions payable	(9)	(16)	(49)
Other non-interest income	294	(56)	129
Non-interest income	302	86	199
Total income	280	273	437
Operating expenses	(225)	(319)	(537)
Profit/(loss) before impairment losses	55	(46)	(100)
Impairment losses	(103)	(11)	(42)
Operating loss before tax	(48)	(57)	(142)
Tax credit/(charge)	20	(58)	(12)
Loss from continuing operations	(28)	(115)	(154)
Profit from discontinued operations, net of tax	22	15	19
Loss for the year	(6)	(100)	(135)

2015 compared with 2014

Operating loss before tax was €48 million compared with a loss of €57 million in 2014. This improvement was due to higher income and lower operating expenses partially offset by higher impairment losses.

Total income increased by €7 million to €280 million. This was mainly due to higher non-interest income offset by a decrease in net interest income.

Net interest income decreased to a loss of €22 million compared with income of €187 million in 2014 reflecting a reduction in interest receivable following the repayment of a loan to a fellow subsidiary in December 2014, the sale of a substantial portion of the legacy debt securities portfolio in Treasury and the overall run-down of banking book assets outpacing the reduction in liabilities.

Non-interest income increased by €216 million to €302 million compared with €86 million in 2014. Other operating income increased by €323 million. This included an increase in the fair value of financial instruments designated at fair value through profit and loss of €109 million, (2015 - €175 million; 2014 - €66 million), a lower loss on the sale of available-for-sale securities in Treasury of €273 million (2015 - €49 million; 2014 - €322 million) and higher profits from interests in associates of €38 million (2015 - €155 million; 2014 - €117 million). This was offset by a decline in other income of €136 million (2015 - €49 loss; 2014 - €87 million) and a decrease in net fees and commissions of €134 million to €8 million compared with €142 million in 2014, reflecting a one-off fee in relation to business transfers in 2014.

Operating expenses decreased by €94 million to €225 million mainly due to reductions in staff costs of €12 million resulting from planned business reduction and non-staff costs of €82 million largely driven by a non-repeat of a litigation settlement in 2014.

Impairment losses increased by €92 million to €103 million driven by a small number of large exposures.

Tax credit for 2015 was €20 million compared with a tax charge of €58 million in 2014.

2014 compared with 2013

Operating loss before tax was €57 million compared with a loss of €142 million in 2013. This decrease was due to lower operating expenses partially offset by lower income, reflecting the transfers of businesses to RBS plc.

Total income decreased by €164 million to €273 million. This is mainly due to lower non-interest income.

Net interest income decreased by €51 million to €187 million, mainly reflecting business transfers and the continued low interest rate environment.

Non-interest income decreased by €113 million to €86 million compared with €199 million in 2013. This included higher losses on the sale of available-for-sale securities in Treasury partially offset by higher profits from interests in associates and an increase in the value of own credit adjustments.

Operating expenses decreased by €218 million to €319 million mainly due to reductions in both staff and non-staff costs as a result of business transfers to RBS plc.

Impairment losses decreased by €31 million to €11 million driven by a smaller number of individual cases.

Tax charge for 2014 was €58 million compared with €12 million in 2013.

Financial review

Analysis of results

Net interest income

	2015 €m	2014 €m	2013 €m
Interest receivable	269	532	768
Interest payable (1)	(291)	(345)	(493)
Net interest income	(22)	187	275

Yields, spreads and margins of the banking business

	%	%	%
Gross yield on interest-earning assets of the banking business (2)	2.1	2.1	1.8
Cost of interest-bearing liabilities of the banking business	(3.4)	(1.9)	(1.3)
Interest spread of the banking business (3)	(1.3)	0.2	0.5
Benefit from interest-free funds	1.1	0.5	0.2
Net interest margin of the banking business (4)	(0.2)	0.7	0.7

Gross yield (2)			
- Group	2.1	2.1	1.8
- Domestic	0.6	1.3	1.1
- Foreign	3.0	3.5	3.2
Interest spread (3)			
- Group	(1.3)	0.2	0.5
- Domestic	(3.0)	(0.2)	0.4
- Foreign	0.1	0.4	1.0
Net interest margin (4)			
- Group	(0.2)	0.7	0.7
- Domestic	(3.7)	—	0.5
- Foreign	2.1	2.1	1.0

Notes:

- (1) Interest payable was decreased by €37 million in 2013 in respect of non-recurring adjustments.
- (2) Gross yield is the interest rate earned on average interest-earning assets of the banking business.
- (3) Interest spread is the difference between the gross yield and the interest rate paid on average interest-bearing liabilities of the banking business.
- (4) Net interest margin is net interest income of the banking business as a percentage of average interest-earning assets of the banking business.
- (5) The analysis into Domestic and Foreign has been compiled on the basis of location of the office in which the transaction takes place.

Financial review

Consolidated balance sheet at 31 December 2015

	2015 €m	2014 €m
Assets		
Cash and balances at central banks	543	1,135
Loans and advances to banks	6,896	7,661
Loans and advances to customers	2,193	3,539
Amounts due from ultimate holding company	138	123
Debt securities and equity shares	2,139	3,243
Settlement balances	71	40
Derivatives	2,221	4,432
Deferred tax	10	34
Interests in associates	1,201	947
Prepayments, accrued income and other assets	534	1,105
Assets of disposal groups	—	1,257
Total assets	15,946	23,516
Liabilities		
Bank deposits	2,944	4,510
Repurchase agreements and stock lending	—	636
Deposits by banks	2,944	5,146
Customer accounts	1,481	2,934
Debt securities in issue	403	1,017
Settlement balances and short positions	36	34
Derivatives	1,861	4,555
Accruals, deferred income and other liabilities	1,048	1,122
Deferred tax	58	88
Subordinated liabilities	4,456	5,104
Liabilities of disposal groups	—	46
Total liabilities	12,287	20,046
Total equity	3,659	3,470
Total liabilities and equity	15,946	23,516

Commentary on consolidated balance sheet

2015 compared with 2014

Total assets were €15.9 billion at 31 December 2015, a decrease of €7.6 billion, or 32%, compared with €23.5 billion at 31 December 2014.

Cash and balances at central banks decreased by €0.6 billion or 52% to €0.5 billion at 31 December 2015 reflecting reduced liquidity requirements.

Loans and advances to banks decreased by €0.8 billion, or 10%, to €6.9 billion at 31 December 2015, with the majority of the balance, €5.9 billion, being with fellow subsidiaries.

Loans and advances to customers declined €1.3 billion, or 38%, to €2.2 billion, mainly in China and India reflecting continued repayments and business run-down.

Debt securities and equity shares decreased by €1.1 billion, or 34%, to €2.1 billion at 31 December 2015, mainly reflecting the maturity and sale of available-for-sale securities in Treasury.

Derivative assets decreased by €2.2 billion, or 50%, to €2.2 billion, and derivative liabilities decreased by €2.7 billion, or 59%, to €1.9 billion. €1.3 billion of the assets and €1.5 billion of the liabilities are balances with fellow subsidiaries.

Prepayments, accrued income and other assets decreased by €0.6 billion, 52%, to €0.5 billion, mainly reflecting continued business wind-down.

Deposits by banks decreased by €2.2 billion, or 43%, to €2.9 billion, with repurchase agreements and stock lending (repos), down to nil from €0.6 billion in 2014. Bank placings decreased €1.0 mainly due to a reduction in cash collateral reflecting the reduction in third party derivatives. €2.7 billion of the balance is with fellow subsidiaries.

Customer accounts were down €1.5 billion, 50%, to €1.5 billion, mainly in China and India reflecting continued balance sheet reduction.

Debt securities in issue declined €0.6 billion, 60%, to €0.4 billion reflecting decreases in medium-term-notes.

Owners' equity increased by €0.2 billion, 5%, to €3.7 billion.

Capital and risk management

	Page
Risk overview	10
Presentation of information	10
Governance, assurance and risk models	10
Risk culture and appetite	15
Risk coverage	18
Capital management	19
Definition and overview	19
Regulatory framework	19
Liquidity and funding	23
Definition	23
Policy, framework and governance	23
Funding sources	23
Business risk	24
Reputational risk	24
Conduct and regulatory risk	25
Operational risk	26
Credit risk: management basis	28
Definition and governance	28
Risk appetite, risk measurement and models	30
Risk mitigation	31
Wholesale credit risk management	33
▪ Problem debt management	34
▪ Forbearance	35
Country risk	37
Credit risk: balance sheet analysis	39
Financial assets	39
Market risk	43
Definition and sources	43
Governance	43
Traded market risk	44
Non-traded market risk	49

Risk overview*

Presentation of information


Except as otherwise indicated by an asterisk (*), information in the Capital and risk management section (pages 9 to 50) is within the scope of the Independent auditor's report.

Capital and risk management are conducted on an overall basis within RBS Group. Therefore the discussion on Capital and risk management on pages 9 to 50 refer principally to policies and procedures in RBS Group that also apply to RBSH Group and RBS N.V..

Risk governance

Governance structure

Capital and risk management strategies are owned and set by the Managing Board of the Group and are implemented by the executive management. There are a number of committees and executives that support the execution of the business plan and strategy. Two of these committees are dedicated to the Group and report to the Group Managing Board. These are depicted and described in the structure chart and table below. Matters not specifically delegated are reserved for the Managing Board. The members of the Managing Board and Supervisory Board of RBS Holdings and RBS N.V. are the same.



There are also risk functions and committees that cover RBS Group and (parts of) the Group reflecting the integrated manner in which the business is managed within RBS Group. Service Level Agreements are in place between the Group and RBS Group to accommodate this integrated risk management oversight, including escalation procedures to the Group Managing Board as appropriate.

Risk management within the Group focuses on all material risks including credit, market, operational, regulatory and country risk and business activities. Liquidity risk and the day-to-day management of liquidity and funding of the book are the responsibility of the Group Treasury.


For a summary of the main risk types faced by the Group and how it manages each of them, refer to page 18.

*unaudited

RBS Group governance structure

RBS Group is committed to achieving the highest standards of corporate governance in every aspect of its business, including risk management. A key aspect of the Board's responsibility as the main decision-making body is the setting of risk appetite (refer to page 16 for more information on risk appetite) to ensure that the levels of risk RBS Group is willing to accept in the attainment of its strategic business and financial objectives are clearly understood. The Board delegates authority for risk management to specific committees.

The risk governance structure and the main purposes of each of the committees is illustrated below:



*unaudited

Risk overview* continued

The following table shows details of the key the Group Board and Committees and their responsibilities.

Board/Committee	Responsibilities
Supervisory Board Membership: Consists of four members. Two are executives of RBS Group.	The Supervisory Board is responsible for supervising the Group's management, its general affairs, and the business connected with it as well as for advising the Managing Board. The Supervisory Board is responsible for the review of all matters relative to accounting policies, internal control, financial reporting functions, internal audit, external audit, risk assessment, regulatory compliance and the product approval process.
Managing Board Membership: Three members led by the Group Chairman (who in addition performs the role of Chief Risk Officer (CRO)), Chief Administrative Officer (CAO) and the Chief Financial Officer (CFO).	The Managing Board reports to the Supervisory Board. It is the principal decision-making forum for the Group, setting policy framework, operating structure and yearly plan (including objectives and budgets). All members of the Managing Board have responsibility for the Group. As well as their overall corporate responsibilities, the members of the Managing Board manage one or more units, for which they have primary responsibility.
RBSH Group. Risk and Control Committee (RCC) Membership: Chaired by the Group CRO and the Chairman of the Managing Board. Members include the Senior Group Risk Manager, a Group delegate of RBS Group Credit Risk and Market Risk, the Group Head of Conduct & Regulatory Affairs, the Chief Risk Officer, Asia, and the RBS N.V. Head of Regulatory Reporting.	<p>The responsibilities of the RCC include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Advising the Managing Board on the risk appetite of the Group, and receiving direction from the Managing Board on the risk appetite; • Providing input to the Group risk-appetite-setting process in the context of the Group's overall risk appetite; • Overseeing the risk framework within the Group and reporting directly to the Managing Board on the performance of the framework and on issues arising from it; and • Monitoring the actual risk profile of the Group ensuring that this remains within the boundaries of the agreed risk appetite or else escalating excesses to the Managing Board. Prior to escalation, the RCC can ask the appropriate risk committee in RBS Group or the business that normally monitors and controls the risk item to address any excess. <p>The remit of the Committee also includes credit, market, operational and regulatory risks within the Group. Changes to the Terms of Reference of the Group RCC must be approved by the Managing Board. To execute its authority the RCC has access to all relevant risk information relating to the Group available within RBS Group including escalations from and to Group or business committees.</p>
RBSH Group Asset and Liability Committee (ALCo) Membership: Seven permanent voting members led by the Group's CFO, the Chairman of the Managing Board, the Chief Risk Officer the CAO, the Group Treasurer, the RBS Group Treasurer and the Global Head of Capital Management	<p>The mandate of ALCo covers the following specific areas in respect of the Group:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The overall governance responsibility for the strategic management of the Group balance sheet; • The review, approval and allocation of balance sheet, capital, liquidity and funding limits; • The liquidity, funding, foreign exchange and interest rate exposures of the Group's balance sheet; • The balance sheet structure and risk-weighted assets position of the Group; • Decisions on capital repatriation and loss coverage; • Compliance with all regulatory requirements at all times; • The implementation and maintenance of transfer pricing policies (although setting the liquidity spread curve remains the responsibility of the RBS Group ALCo); • The approval and implementation within the Group of RBS Group Treasury policies and procedures; and • The Group ALCo is responsible for oversight of retained business (shared assets) and the residual ABN AMRO business.

*unaudited

Three lines of defence

The three lines of defence model is used industry-wide for the management of risk. It provides a clear set of principles by which to implement a cohesive operating model, one that provides a framework for the articulation of accountabilities and responsibilities for managing risk across the organisation.

First line of defence - Management and supervision

The first line of defence includes RBS Group's customer franchises, Technology and Operations and support functions such as HR, Communications and Financial MI. Responsibilities include:

- Owning, managing and supervising, within a defined risk appetite, the risks which exist in business areas and support functions.
- Ensuring appropriate controls are in place to mitigate risk: balancing control, customer service and competitive advantage.
- Ensuring that the culture of the business supports balanced risk decisions and compliance with policy, laws and regulations.
- Ensuring that the business has effective mechanisms for identifying, reporting and managing risk and controls.

Second line of defence - Oversight and control

The second line of defence includes RBS Group's Risk Management and Conduct & Regulatory Affairs functions, (see below for further information), Legal, and the financial control aspects of Finance. Responsibilities include:

- Working with the businesses and functions to develop the risk and control policies, limits and tools for the business to use to discharge its responsibilities.
- Overseeing and challenging the management of risks and controls.
- Leading the articulation, design and development of RBS Group's risk culture and appetite.
- Analysing the aggregate risk profile and ensuring that risks are being managed to the desired level (risk appetite).
- Providing expert advice to the business on risk management.
- Providing senior executives with relevant management information and reports and escalating concerns where appropriate.
- Undertaking risk assurance (see below for more information).

Third line of defence - Internal Audit

Responsibilities include:


- Designing and delivering a risk-based audit plan to provide assurance on material risks and report on whether RBS Group is managing its material risks effectively.
- Monitoring, evaluating and reporting on the remediation of material risks across RBS Group.
- Engaging with management and participating in key governance fora to provide perspectives, insights and challenge so as to influence the building of a sustainable bank.
- Advising the RBSH Group Supervisory Board, the RBS Group Audit Committee and executive management with respect to RBSH Group's material risks and their associated controls.
- Reporting any matters which warrant escalation to the RBS Group Board, the RBS Group Board Risk Committee, the RBS Group Audit Committee and the RBS Group Executive Committee as appropriate.
- Providing independent assurance to the key jurisdictional regulators on both specific risks and control themes.

*unaudited

Risk overview* continued

Risk management structure

RBS Group's management structure and the main elements of each role are illustrated below.



Notes:

(1) RBS Group Risk Management

The RBS Group Chief Risk Officer (CRO) leads RBS Group Risk Management. The CRO reports directly to the RBS Group Chief Executive and has an indirect reporting line to the Chairman of the Board Risk Committee and a right of access to the committee's chairman.

RBS Group Risk Management is a function independent of the franchises, structured by risk discipline to facilitate the effective management of risk.

In 2015, Risk Management, which had previously been spread across the different businesses, re-organised itself into six functional areas: Credit Risk; Enterprise-Wide Risk; Risk Infrastructure; Operational Risk, Support Functions and Divested Businesses; Risk Assurance; and Market Risk. Directors of Risk were also appointed for each of the franchises and for Services. The streamlined structure consolidates risk information, allowing for more efficient decision-making.

The directors of risk functions are responsible for RBS Group-wide risk appetite and standards within their respective disciplines and report to the CRO.

CROs are in place for certain jurisdictions and legal entities to meet local regulatory and governance requirements. They lead the risk management teams locally in support of functional risk heads where teams follow a functional operating model. The key CRO roles report directly to the RBS Group CRO.

Risk committees in the customer businesses and key functional risk committees oversee risk exposures arising from management and business activities and focus on ensuring that they are adequately monitored and controlled.

(2) Conduct & Regulatory Affairs

Conduct & Regulatory Affairs (C&RA) is led by the Chief Conduct & Regulatory Affairs Officer, who reports directly to the Chief Executive and has an indirect reporting line to the Board Risk Committee and a right of access to the committee's chairman. It is responsible for providing oversight of conduct risk and regulatory risk at RBS Group, and does so by setting RBS-wide policy and standards, providing advice to each customer business, and ensuring that the mitigating controls are suitable. C&RA also provides leadership of RBS Group's relationships with its regulators.

The functional heads (the Directors of Financial Crime, Advisory, Remediation, Compliance Services and Regulatory Affairs), report to the Chief Conduct & Regulatory Affairs Officer. Each is responsible, where appropriate, for the RBS Group-wide risk appetite and standards of their respective areas.

*unaudited

Risk assurance

Risk assurance is a second line of defence function in which most of RBS Group's risk assurance activities are centralised. These primarily comprise credit risk and market risk quality assurance, controls assurance and Model Risk Management, each of which is described below.

Credit risk and market risk quality assurance: These teams provide assurance to both internal and external stakeholders including the Board, senior management, risk functions, franchises, Internal Audit and the regulators.

Credit risk and market risk quality assurance undertake reviews which assess various aspects of risk as appropriate: including: the quality of risk portfolios; the completeness, suitability, accuracy and timeliness of risk measurements; the quality of risk management practices; policy compliance; and adherence to risk appetite. This includes monitoring RBS Group's credit portfolios and market risk exposures to assist in early identification of emerging risks, as well as undertaking targeted reviews to examine specific concerns raised either by these teams or by their stakeholders.

RBS Group's Risk Assurance Committee (RAC) provides governance to ensure a consistent and fair approach to all aspects of the review activities of credit and market risk assurance. Additionally, RAC monitors and validates the ongoing programme of reviews and tracks the remediation of review actions. The credit and market risk assurance teams also attend relevant committees run by the customer franchises and other risk functions to ensure strong communication channels are maintained.

Controls assurance: This team tests the adequacy and effectiveness of key controls relating to credit and market risk, including those within the scope of Section 404 of the US Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

Model risk management

Model governance

Model governance follows a three lines of defence approach, with model developers having primary accountability and Model Risk Management (MRM) acting in a second-line-of-defence capacity.

MRM is responsible for setting policy, providing governance and insight for all of RBS Group's statistical, economic, financial or mathematical models and performing independent model validation where necessary.

MRM will be responsible for defining and monitoring model risk appetite in conjunction with model developers, monitoring the model risk profile and reporting on the model population and escalating issues to senior management.

The general approach to MRM's independent model validation for risk and pricing models is detailed below. For more specific information relating to market risk models and pricing models, refer to page 48.

*unaudited

Models used within Risk

RBS Group uses a variety of models as part of its risk management process and activities. Key examples include the use of model outputs to support risk assessments in the credit approval process, ongoing credit risk management, monitoring and reporting, as well as the calculation of risk-weighted assets.

For more information on the use of models in the management of particular types of risk, notably credit and market risk, refer to the relevant section.

Independent model validation

MRM performs reviews of relevant risk and pricing models in two instances: (i) for new models or amendments to existing models and (ii) as part of its ongoing programme to assess the performance of these models.

Based on the review and findings from MRM, the RBS Group model or risk committees with appropriate delegated authority consider whether a model can be approved for use and whether any conditions need to be imposed, including those relating to the remediation of material issues raised through the review process. Once approved through internal governance, the new or amended model is implemented. Models used for regulatory reporting may additionally require regulatory approval before implementation.

MRM reassesses the appropriateness of approved risk models on a periodic basis according to the approved Periodic Review Policy.

MRM also monitors the performance of RBS Group's portfolio of models.

Risk culture and appetite

Risk culture

RBS Group seeks to create a strong risk culture that becomes part of the way people work and think. Such a culture should be supported by robust practices on risk identification, measurement and management, and on associated controls and governance. Risk competencies, mindsets and behaviours needed to support RBS Group's risk culture should be embedded across the organisation and made integral to performance reviews.

RBS Group's target risk culture is clearly aligned to its core values of "serving customers", "working together", "doing the right thing" and "thinking long term". They act as a clear starting point for a strong and effective risk culture.

Aligned to these values is the Code of Conduct. The Code provides guidance on expected behaviour and sets out the standards of conduct that support the values. It explains the effect of decisions that are taken and describes the principles that must be followed.

Risk overview* continued

These principles cover conduct-related issues as well as wider business activities. They focus on desired outcomes, with practical guidelines to align the values with commercial strategy and actions. The embedding of these principles facilitates sound decision making and a clear focus on good customer outcomes. They are aligned with the people management and remuneration processes to support a positive and strong risk culture through appropriate incentive structures.

A simple decision-making guide (called the “YES check”) has been included in the Code of Conduct. It is a simple, intuitive set of five questions, designed to ensure the values guide day-to-day decisions:

- Does what I am doing keep our customers and RBS Group safe and secure?
- Would customers and colleagues say I am acting with integrity?
- Am I happy with how this would be perceived on the outside?
- Is what I am doing meeting the standards of conduct required?
- In five years' time would others see this as a good way to work?

Each question is a prompt to think about the situation and how it fits with RBS Group's values. It ensures that employees can think through decisions that do not have a clear answer, guiding the judgements behind their decisions and actions.

If conduct falls short of RBS Group's required standards, the accountability review process is used to assess how this should be reflected in pay outcomes for those individuals concerned.

RBS Group's policies require that risk behaviour assessment is incorporated into performance assessment and compensation processes for enhanced governance staff.

Risk-based key performance indicators

RBS Group-wide remuneration policy requires remuneration to be aligned with, and to support, effective risk management. The policy ensures that the remuneration arrangements for all employees reflect the principles and standards prescribed by the UK Remuneration Code. For further information refer to page 65 of the RBS Group Annual Report.

Training

Enabling employees to have the capabilities and confidence to manage risk is core to RBS Group's learning strategy.

RBS Group offers a wide range of risk learning across the risk disciplines: Market Risk; Credit Risk; Operational Risk; Enterprise Risk; and Conduct and Regulatory Risk. This training can be mandatory, role specific or for personal development and includes technical and behavioural content.

*unaudited

There is mandatory learning that has to be completed by everyone and is focused on keeping employees, customers and the bank safe. This learning is accessed via the online learning system and is dependent on their role and business area. This makes it easy for employees to access and complete and allows monitoring at all levels to ensure completion.

Risk appetite

Risk appetite is the way in which RBS Group expresses the level of risk it is willing to accept in order to achieve its strategic, business and financial objectives.

It is key to ensuring overall safety and soundness and in embedding a strong risk culture throughout RBS Group.

Strategic risk appetite

RBS Group's risk appetite framework is designed to ensure RBS Group remains safe and sound and serves customers and wider stakeholders.

The RBS Group Board has set out four key strategic risk appetite objectives, aligned with the strategic plan, which provide the boundaries within which the risk appetite for all material risks is set. The strategic risk appetite objectives are:

- Maintain capital adequacy.
- Deliver stable earnings growth.
- Designed to ensure stable and efficient access to funding and liquidity.
- Maintain stakeholder confidence.

Risk appetite measures

Risk appetite starts with the strategic goals set by the RBS Group Board and is set in a manner that:

- Is aligned to business and financial goals. The risk appetite framework ensures that risk is managed in a manner that aligns to and supports the attainment of business and financial objectives.
- Is meaningful, and where possible risk appetite is expressed quantitatively. Risk control frameworks and limits set detailed tolerances and limits for managing risk (such as credit risk and market risk) on a day-to-day basis. These limits support, and are required to be consistent with, the strategic risk appetite.
- Considers performance under stress. The establishment and monitoring of risk appetite considers potential risk exposures and vulnerabilities under plausible stress conditions

Effective processes exist for frequent reporting of RBS Group's risks against agreed risk appetite to the Board and senior management.

Risk appetite statements

Risk appetite statements provide clarity on the scale and type of activities permitted.

The Group has developed a framework that sets and implements an appropriate risk appetite supported by a regular monitoring and review process. A risk appetite statement setting out overall risk limits for different risk types is approved by the Supervisory Board. Risk appetite limits and risk exposures are reported regularly to the Risk & Control Committee, the Managing Board and the Supervisory Board. Any limit breach is reported to the Managing Board. The Managing Board may grant a temporary waiver or require the position to be adjusted to comply with the risk limit.

Risk control frameworks and limits

Risk control frameworks and their associated limits are an integral part of the risk appetite framework and a key part of embedding risk appetite in day-to-day risk management decisions. The risk control frameworks manage risk by expressing a clear tolerance for material risk types that is aligned to business activities.

The RBS Group Policy Framework directly supports the qualitative aspects of risk appetite, helping to rebuild and maintain stakeholder confidence in RBS Group's risk control and governance. Its integrated approach is designed to ensure that appropriate controls, aligned to risk appetite, are set for each of the material risks it faces, with an effective assurance process put in place to monitor and report on performance. Risk appetite has its own policy within the RBS Group Policy Framework. This policy sets out clear roles and responsibilities to set, measure, cascade and report performance against risk appetite, and provides assurances that business is being conducted within approved risk limits and tolerances.

*unaudited

Risk overview* continued

Risk coverage

The main risk types faced by the Group are presented below.

Risk type	How the risk arises	2015 overview
Capital adequacy risk	Capital adequacy risk arises from inefficient management of capital resources.	The Group's Common Equity Tier 1 ratio on a CRR transitional basis was 21.1%. The Group plans for and maintains an adequate amount and mix of capital consistent with its risk profile. The amount of capital required is determined through risk assessment and stress testing.
Liquidity and funding risk	Liquidity and funding risk arise through the maturity transformation role that the Group performs and arises from day-to-day operations.	As it is one of the operating entities of the RBS Group, the Group's liquidity risk is monitored and managed centrally by RBS Group in line with policies and processes set by the Group's Managing Board.
Business risk	Business risk arises from exposure to, and the ability to assess the impact of, changes in the macro-environment, competition, business operations and technology.	The Group reduced its business risk profile further as it made disposals and sold almost its entire liquidity portfolio.
Reputational risk	Reputational risk can arise from the conduct of employees; activities of customers and the countries in which they operate; provision of products and transactions; as well as operations and infrastructure.	The most material threat to the Group's reputation continued to originate from historical misconduct. The Group has been the subject of investigations and review in relation to legacy rate setting concerns involving former employees, some of which resulted in dismissals.
Conduct and regulatory risk	Conduct risk arises if customers are not treated in line with their and other stakeholders' expectations. Conduct risk also arises if the Group does not take effective action to prevent fraud, bribery and money laundering. Regulatory risk arises from the Group's regulatory, business or operating environments and the Group's response to them.	RBS Group continued to remediate historical conduct issues, while also restructuring its customer-facing businesses and support functions around the needs of its customers. In the Group, rate setting was also the primary focus. Although the impact of conduct risk was less material than before, it remained a high priority in 2015 due to its importance to RBS Group's values. A new Conduct Risk Appetite Framework was also established.
Operational risk	Operational risk arises from a failure to manage operations, transactions and assets appropriately. It may arise from human error, an inability to deliver change on time or adequately, or the unavailability of technology services or the loss of customer data. Fraud and theft are sources of operational risk, as is the impact of natural and man-made disasters. It may also arise from a failure to take appropriate measures to protect assets or take account of changes in law.	The functional operating model for operational risk was embedded, with the aim of ensuring it is managed consistently across RBS Group. The threat to the security of RBS Group's information from cyber attacks continued to be closely monitored. Further actions were taken to mitigate the risk such as improved user access controls and enhanced protection against malware. Operational Risk continued to oversee the execution of major projects, including the larger restructuring and divestments.
Credit risk	Credit risk arises from lending and AFS debt securities. Counterparty credit risk results from derivatives and securities financing transaction activities.	The Group managed credit risk based on a suite of credit approval and risk concentration frameworks and associated risk management systems and tools. Credit risk RWAs were €15.0 billion at 31 December 2015.
Market risk	The majority of the Group's traded market risk exposure arises through transactions in financial instruments including debt securities, loans, deposits and equities, as well as securities financing and derivatives. The majority of its non-traded market risk exposure arises from assets and liabilities that are not classified as held for trading.	Market risk RWAs were reported at around €0.9 billion at 31 December 2015.

*unaudited

Capital management*

Definition

Capital management lies at the core of RBS Group's strength and sustainability goals. RBS Group defines capital as that part of the liability side of its balance sheet that has the capacity to absorb losses. The construction of capital starts with Common Equity Tier 1 (CET1) and other classes of capital such as Additional Tier 1 (AT1) and Tier 2. RBS Group will build up sufficient minimum requirements for eligible liabilities (MREL) over the coming years in line with regulatory requirements. Capital management involves the optimisation and efficient use of capital required by the Group's businesses, the outcomes of stress testing, the requirements of the market and the regulators and the supply of adequate forms of capital at acceptable prices.

All the disclosures in this section are unaudited.

2015 overview

The Group's Tier 1 capital ratio of 30.1% is higher than 2014 year end ratio of 24.5%, primarily reflecting further portfolio disposals and rundown of the Group's business during 2015. The amount of AT1 capital has declined when compared with the prior year, as a result of reduced eligibility of hybrid securities under the grandfathering rules of the CRR. The disallowed AT1 is added to the eligible Tier 2 capital that is also subject to its own grandfathering limits. Within the portfolio, there is an amount of €162 million that is lent to RBS Group as Tier 2. This is in addition to a part of the expected loss shortfall, being treated as a full Tier 2 capital deduction under the Capital Requirements Directive IV (CRD IV) rules.

Regulatory framework

Capital requirements regulation and directive

The European Union has implemented the Basel III proposals and a number of important changes to the banking regulatory framework through the Capital Requirements Regulation (CRR) and the Capital Requirements Directive (CRD) collectively known as the CRD IV package. The CRD IV package was implemented on 1 January 2014 with full implementation to be completed on 1 January 2019. As part of the implementation of the CRD IV package, the European Banking Authority's technical standards, which are legal acts specifying particular aspects of the CRD IV package, are either in progress to be finalised through adoption by the European Commission or now adopted.

The CRD IV package continues to require a total amount of capital equal to at least 8% of risk-weighted assets but the share that has to be of the highest quality, that is CET1 capital, of at least 4.5%. The CRD IV package also introduces more stringent criteria for capital instruments, harmonised adjustments made to capital recognised for regulatory purposes and establishes new capital buffers to be phased in from 1 January 2014. Once fully implemented on 1 January 2019 the following minimum requirements must be met:

- Pillar 1 requirement of: CET1 of 4.5% of RWAs; Tier 1 of 6%; and total capital of 8%.
- Capital buffers: 'capital conservation buffer' of 2.5% of RWAs; 'countercyclical capital buffer' of up to 2.5%; an 'other systemically important institutions buffer' for domestically or EU important institutions and if required by a member state an additional 'systemic risk buffer'.
- Minimum Tier 1 leverage ratio of 3%.

The Group is managing the changes to capital requirements from new regulation (including model changes) and the resulting impact on the Common Equity Tier 1 ratio alongside its strategy of risk reduction and deleveraging. This is principally being achieved through the transfers/sales of countries and businesses to RBS plc and other interested parties, the continued run-off and disposal of assets and deleveraging in the Group's businesses.

The overall impacts of the regulatory changes are fully factored into the capital plans of the Group and its businesses.

Banking Union: Single Supervisory Mechanism

On 15 October 2013, the EU Council formally adopted the Regulation on the Single Supervisory Mechanism (SSM) which became operational on 4 November 2014. The SSM is a new framework for banking supervision in Europe with the aims of ensuring the safety and soundness of the European banking system and increasing financial integration and stability in Europe.

The European Central Bank has to ensure that credit institutions not only meet the minimum prudential capital requirements set by the CRR/CRD IV but also have an additional buffer reflecting their individual intrinsic risk profile. This is organised through the SSM. The SSM has to ensure that credit institutions have sufficient capital to cover unexpected losses or survive severe stressed economic and market conditions. The joint capital decision is the key outcome of the Supervisory Review and Evaluation Process. In this process, the supervisor reviews the governance and internal control arrangements used by each individual bank to manage its risks (i.e. the Internal Capital Adequacy Assessment Process).

Overall this framework governs relations between the European Central Bank (ECB), national supervisors and banks and is an important step towards banking union in the EU.

Starting late in 2013 and prior to the adoption of the SSM on 4 November 2014 a comprehensive assessment of significant banks in the euro area (including the Group) was conducted by the ECB. The Group successfully passed the comprehensive assessment which was a rigorous "financial health check" that included a comprehensive risk assessment, a detailed asset quality review and a stress test. The results of the comprehensive assessment were made public by the ECB in October 2014.

*unaudited

Capital management* continued

Governance and approach

The Group Asset and Liability Management Committee (ALCo) is responsible for ensuring the Group maintains adequate capital at all times. The ALCo manages and plans the Group capital levels taking into account current and projected capital levels and provides input to and oversees capital levels agreed in the annual Internal Capital Adequacy Assessment Process (ICAAP).

ALCo also plans and manages capital interaction with RBS Group. Such interaction would include, amongst other matters, ALCo considerations around the Group's strategic asset sales/transfers to RBS Group which would need to be capital neutral to RBS Group and are required to be structured in such way so as to satisfy DNB, ECB and PRA regulatory requirements. The ALCo is in turn ultimately governed by the Group's Managing Board who approve both ALCo and ICAAP capital management decisions.

Determining appropriate capital

The Group aims to maintain an appropriate level of capital to meet its business needs (which include requirements of its parent company RBS Group) and its regulatory requirements and to operate within an agreed risk appetite. Determination of appropriate capital levels involves regular ALCo capital monitoring and planning, budgeting cycle forecasts, and an annual ICAAP. Capital plans are subjected to governance reviews, Managing Board oversight and approval and the review by the appropriate supervisory authority.

Through the annual ICAAP process, the Group makes a determination of its desired capital levels based on maintaining a target external credit rating and risk appetite within both current and emerging regulatory requirements. Desired capital levels are evaluated through the application of both internally and externally defined stress tests that quantify changes in capital ratios under a range of scenarios. The stress tests are also used to identify management and recovery actions that the Group would expect to implement should such eventualities arise. These stress assessments are a key part of capital management and contingency planning and therefore complement agreed capital buffers.

Monitoring and maintenance

The Group operates a rigorous capital planning process via ALCo aimed at ensuring the capital position is controlled within the agreed parameters. This incorporates regular re-forecasts of the capital position of the Group. In the event that the projected position deteriorates beyond acceptable levels, the Group would revise business plans accordingly. The Group is managing the changes to capital requirements from new regulation and model changes and the resulting impact on the Common Equity Tier 1 ratio, focusing on risk reduction and deleveraging. This is principally being achieved through the transfers to RBS plc, the continued run-off and disposal of assets and deleveraging in the Group as it focuses on the most productive returns on capital.

The overall impacts of the regulatory changes are fully factored into the capital plans of Group and its businesses.

Capital allocation

Capital resources are allocated to the Group's businesses based on both key performance parameters agreed by the RBS Group Board in an annual strategic planning process and the requirements of strategic asset transfer programmes and capital allocation requirements determined in consultation with RBS Group.

The Group has regard to the supervisory requirements of De Nederlandsche Bank (DNB) and the ECB under the SSM. The DNB/ECB uses the capital ratio as a measure of capital adequacy in the Netherlands and Eurozone banking sector, comparing a bank's capital resources with its RWAs (the assets and off-balance sheet exposures are weighted to reflect the inherent credit and other risks).

*unaudited

Capital ratios and risk-weighted assets

The Group aims to maintain an appropriate level of capital to meet its business needs and regulatory requirements. The Group's capital ratios and RWAs are set out below.

	2015 CRR transitional basis %	2014 CRR transitional basis %
Capital ratios		
Common Equity Tier 1	21.1	16.2
Tier 1	30.1	24.5
Total	42.4	33.9
Risk-weighted assets		
	€m	€m
Credit risk	15,033	18,179
Market risk	897	1,182
Operational risk	463	732
Settlement risk	—	28
	16,393	20,121

With effect from 1 January 2014 the Group is regulated under the CRD IV package of Basel III. Since 2014 the Credit Valuation Adjustment RWA charge was part of credit risk. As from December 2015 this was captured within the market risk line in the above table, the amount is €139 million. The corresponding amount for 2014 was €298 million.

The Group has used the basic indicator approach since 2013 to calculate the operational risk capital charge. Within risk-weighted assets €9,350 million is included as a consequence of the proportional consolidation of the 40% interest in Saudi Hollandi Bank. This amount is made up of €9,324 million in credit risk and €26 million in market risk.

*unaudited

Financial review Capital and risk management

Capital management* continued

Capital resources and flow statement

The Group's regulatory capital resources were as follows:

	2015 CRR transitional basis €m	2014 CRR transitional basis €m
Tier 1		
Controlling interests	3,659	3,470
Adjustment for:		
- Goodwill and other intangible assets	(3)	(44)
- Unrealised losses on available-for-sale debt securities	9	54
- Unrealised gains on available-for-sale equities	(7)	(7)
- Other regulatory adjustments	(195)	(225)
Common Equity Tier 1	3,463	3,248
Trust preferred securities	3,006	2,686
Disallowed Tier 1 capital	(1,538)	(1,008)
Total Tier 1 capital	4,931	4,926
Tier 2		
Subordinated debt	891	1,043
Disallowed Tier 1 capital	1,538	1,008
Disqualified Tier 2 capital	(237)	-
Deductions from Tier 2 capital	(166)	(153)
Total Tier 2 capital	2,026	1,898
Total regulatory capital	6,957	6,824

The table below analyses the movement in Common Equity Tier 1 capital during the year.

	€m
At 1 January 2015	3,248
Regulatory adjustment: fair value changes in own credit spreads	29
Foreign currency reserves	87
Loss of non-controlling interest and reduction in goodwill	41
Attributable loss	(6)
Other	64
At 31 December 2015	3,463

*unaudited

Liquidity and funding risk

Definition

Liquidity risk is the risk that the Group is unable to meet its financial obligations, including financing wholesale maturities or customer deposit withdrawals, as and when they fall due.

As one of the operating entities of the RBS Group, the Group's liquidity risk is monitored and managed centrally by the RBS Group in line with the policies and processes set by the Group's Managing Board.

Policy, framework and governance

Internal liquidity policies are designed to ensure that the Group:

- *Has a clearly stated liquidity risk tolerance:* Appetite for liquidity risk is set by the Board as a percentage of the Individual Liquidity Adequacy Assessment (ILAA) stressed outflows, and the Group monitors its liquidity position against this risk tolerance on a daily basis. In setting risk limits the Board considers the nature of the Group's activities, overall risk appetite, market best practice and regulatory compliance.
- *Has in place strategies, policies and practices to ensure that the Group maintains sufficient liquidity:* The risk management framework determines the sources of liquidity risk and the steps that can be taken when these risks exceed certain actively monitored limits. These actions include when and how to use the liquid asset portfolio, and what other adjustments to the balance sheet should be undertaken to manage these risks within the bank's risk appetite.
- *Incorporates liquidity costs, benefits and risks in product pricing and performance management:* The Group uses internal funds transfer pricing to ensure that these costs are reflected in the measurement of business performance, and to correctly incentivise businesses to source the most appropriate mix of funding.

The Asset and Liability Management Committee (ALCo) sets and reviews the liquidity risk management framework and limits within the risk appetite set by the Managing Board. ALCo oversees the implementation of liquidity management across the Group.

In setting risk limits the Group Board takes into account the nature of the Group's various activities, the Group's overall risk appetite and regulatory compliance.

Funding sources

The table below shows the Group's primary funding sources excluding repurchase agreements.

	2015		2014	
	€m	%	€m	%
Deposits by banks	2,944	31.7	4,510	33.3
Debt securities in issue - medium term notes and other bonds (including securitisations)	403	4.3	1,017	7.5
Subordinated liabilities	4,456	48.0	5,104	37.6
Wholesale funding	7,803	84.0	10,631	78.4
Customer deposits	1,481	16.0	2,933	21.6
Total funding	9,284	100	13,564	100

*unaudited

Regulatory oversight*

With effect from November 2014, the Group is subject to the European Central Bank's (ECB), supervisory regime for liquidity and each member of the Group also complies with their local regulatory framework for the assessment and management of liquidity risk as well as meeting internal standards.

Measurement and monitoring

In implementing the Group's liquidity risk management framework, a suite of tools are used to monitor, limit and stress test the risks within the balance sheet. The limits control the amount and composition of funding sources, asset and liability mismatches and funding concentrations, in addition to the level of liquidity risk.

Monitoring, reporting and internal limits are under regular review, given the need to appropriately represent and control the business through its considerable changes over time.

The Group maintains a Contingency Funding Plan (CFP), which forms the basis of analysis and management actions to be undertaken in a liquidity stress. The CFP is linked to stress test results and forms the foundation for liquidity risk limits. The CFP sets out the circumstances under which the plan would be invoked; this includes material worsening of early warning indicators. It also prescribes a communications plan, roles and responsibilities, as well as potential management actions to take in response to various levels of liquidity stress. The Group's CFP is fully integrated with the CFP of RBS Group.

Liquidity reserves

The Group's liquidity portfolio is managed centrally by RBS Group's Treasury. In addition, local liquidity reserves are the responsibility of local Treasurers who report to the Group Treasurer functionally.

Within the liquidity portfolio the Group holds cash at central banks €0.2 billion (2014 - €0.8 billion) and government securities of €3.3 billion (2014 - €1.8 billion).

Funding markets

As part of the reduction in the Group's balance sheet, funding is now concentrated with RBS Group, which has access to a broad funding base, thereby providing funding to meet the Group's requirements.

Business risk*

Definition

Business risk is the risk that the Group suffers losses as a result of adverse variances in its revenues, costs or both as a result of its business plan and strategy.

Sources of risk

Business risk exists at all levels of the organisation and is generated at the transaction level. It is affected by other risks the Group faces, which could contribute to any adverse changes in revenues or costs.

Governance

The Managing Board has ultimate responsibility for business risk.

Risk management, measurement and mitigation

The Group seeks to minimise its exposure to business risk, subject to its wider strategic objectives. Business risk is identified, measured and managed through planning cycles and performance management processes. Expected profiles for revenues and costs are determined, on a bottom-up basis, through plans reflecting expectations of the external environment and the Group's strategic priorities. The Managing Board has ultimate responsibility for the impact of any volatility in revenues and costs on the Group's performance.

Reputational risk*

Definition

Reputational risk is the risk to the Group's public image owing to a failure to meet stakeholders' expectations in relation to performance, conduct and business profile. Stakeholders include customers, investors, employees, suppliers, government, regulators, special interest and consumer groups, media and the general public.

Sources of risk

Reputational risk can arise from the conduct of either the Group, as a whole or that of the individuals it employs; from the activities of customers and the countries in which they operate; from the products the Group offers and the transactions it supports; and from its operations and infrastructure.

Governance

Managing reputational risk is taken very seriously, with RBS Group Board-level oversight reinforced by a Reputational Risk Policy, and by governance frameworks across business franchises. Reputational risk management at the Group relies on the reputational risk governance, appetite and risk mitigation of RBS Group and is entirely embedded into the RBS Group reputational risk management programme.

The RBS Group Board and the Executive Committee have the ultimate responsibility for managing RBS Group's reputation although all staff have some responsibility. The RBS Group Board has set RBS Group's Purpose, Vision and Values, which outline the objective, which is "to be trusted, respected and valued by our customers, shareholders and communities". Refer to the Risk governance section on page 10.

*unaudited

The Sustainable Banking Committee is responsible for overseeing and challenging how management is addressing sustainable banking and reputation issues including risk appetite for environmental, social and ethical (ESE) issues.

The RBS Group Board's oversight of reputational issues is supported by the senior RBS Group-wide Reputational Risk Forum (RRF) which opines on cases that represent a material reputational risk to the whole organisation. The RRF, which has delegated authority from the Executive Risk Forum, also acts as a central forum to review thematic issues and risk appetite positions.

Risk appetite

The Reputational and ESE Risk management team assists business franchises, as well as other control functions, to articulate risk appetite to manage reputational risk. Risk appetite positions for certain issues or markets, for example tax or money remitters, are developed through conducting research on legislation, regulation and potential reputational risk factors, undertaking peer analysis and engaging with internal and external stakeholders to discuss the issues. The risk appetite positions classify risks associated with a particular area into Normal, Sensitive and Prohibited. Customers or transactions designated Sensitive require enhanced due diligence and escalation to a reputational risk forum or individual while those rated Normal can be approved by the business without additional escalation. The team also helps set risk appetite to manage reputational risk related to business engagements and customer relationships in some sensitive industry sectors, such as mining and defence, through the ESE policy framework.

A Reputational Risk Policy has also been developed to help employees, businesses and functions effectively identify, assess and manage issues that potentially represent a reputational risk. In addition, other policies, such as those related to conduct, address key sources of reputational risk. These policies are implemented in accordance with the Policy Framework through business and functional policy standard owners. The effectiveness of these policies within each franchise is reported on through the Control Environment Certification process (Refer to the Operational risk section on page 26). Reputational aspects also form a core part of the RBS Group conduct risk framework, with a series of enhanced policies developed in line with conduct risk appetite.

Risk monitoring and measurement

Emerging reputational issues are identified by the business and relevant teams, including RBS Group Sustainability and Enterprise Wide Risk, which assess new and emerging business, sector and country risks for RBS Group. The Risk Management Monthly Report, provided to the Executive Committee and BRC, may also discuss reputational risks facing RBS Group, and the annual Sustainability Report covers progress on sustainability principles.

ESE ratings of customers and transactions are captured and analysed centrally by the Reputational and ESE Risk Team.

Risk mitigation

Reputational risk is mitigated through governance frameworks and training of staff to ensure early identification, assessment and escalation of customers, transactions or products with potential reputational risk, if appropriate. This includes creating appropriate fora, for example reputational risk committees or individual reputational risk approvers.

Also important is the setting of clear reputational risk appetite criteria, ensuring higher risk cases are escalated for senior level approval. Effective communication channels and incident response planning also ensure that cases that result in reputational impact are appropriately managed, for example by declining or exiting business or by ensuring incident management plans are implemented to manage the impact of negative media coverage.

Conduct and regulatory risk*

Definition

Conduct and regulatory risk is the risk that the behaviour of RBS Group and its staff towards customers, or in the markets in which it operates, leads to unfair or inappropriate customer outcomes and results in reputational damage, financial loss or both. The damage or loss may be the result of a failure to comply with (or adequately plan for changes to relevant official sector policy, laws, regulations, or major industry standards, or of failing to meet customers' or regulators' expectations.

All the disclosures in this section are unaudited.

Sources of risk

Conduct and regulatory risk exists across all stages of the Group's relationships with its customers, from the development of its business strategies, through governance arrangements, to post-sales processes. Activities through which conduct risk may arise are diverse and include product design, marketing and sales, complaint handling, staff training, and handling of confidential and non-public price sensitive information. Conduct risk also exists if the Group does not take effective action to prevent fraud, bribery and money laundering. Regulatory risk arises from the regulatory, business or operating environment and from the Group's response to it.

*unaudited

Governance

Conduct & Regulatory Affairs (C&RA) is responsible for defining appropriate standards of conduct, and for driving adherence to them, for designing the framework for managing conduct and regulatory risk, and for overseeing remediation activity. It also provides appropriate controls, challenge and oversight to ensure good customer outcomes. In so doing, C&RA acts as a second line of defence control function.

Key elements of the governance structure are set out below:

- The C&RA Executive Committee considers emerging issues material to C&RA's strategy, and implements Board and Executive Committee risk management policy decisions;
- The Financial Crime Accountable Executive Committee (accountable to the Executive Risk Forum) ensures that the customer businesses and the Services function fulfil strategic objectives by identifying and managing their financial crime risks effectively; and
- The Mandatory Change Advisory Committee (MCAC), reports to the Bank-Wide Investment Committee, and comprises representatives of the customer businesses and functions. The MCAC acts as the 'reception committee' for reviewing externally mandated changes that may affect RBS Group and recommending appropriate responses, including the timely mobilisation of change implementation activities. In doing so, it agrees business or function owners of individual risks; and commissions and reviews impact assessments from customer businesses and functions.

Controls and assurance

The RBS Group Policy Framework is designed to provide both high-level direction and RBS Group-wide requirements. The policies are designed to ensure RBS Group meets its regulatory obligations, and to provide the necessary clarity for staff on their conduct obligations.

C&RA's Regulatory Affairs department separately oversees the regulatory changes, interactions with regulators and regulatory approvals for individuals.

Assurance and monitoring activities are essential to help measure the extent to which RBS Group manages its delivery of specific customer outcomes.

Risk assessments are used to identify material conduct risks and key controls across all business areas. The risk assessment process is designed to confirm that risks are effectively managed and prioritised and that controls are tested.

Scenario analysis is used to assess the impacts of extreme but plausible conduct risks including financial crime. The scenarios assess the exposures that could significantly affect RBS Group's financial performance or reputation and are an important

Risk appetite

Work to refine and embed the risk appetite framework and associated control processes continued in 2015. The risk appetite statements set the minimum standards.

Conduct and regulatory risk* continued

Risk monitoring and measurement

C&RA works closely with the customer-facing businesses to assess business models, strategy and products and influence better outcomes for customers.

The Group's senior boards and committees receive updates on conduct risk exposures and action plans through monthly C&RA-initiated reporting. The reporting is focused on the particular risks of RBSH Group and to ensure that the risks remain within RBS Group's risk appetite.

C&RA provides appropriate reporting of all material regulatory reviews and other regulatory developments relevant to the Group to the appropriate Group committees.

The RBS Group Anti Money Laundering Officer submits his annual Report to the Board and the FCA. Covering the operation and effectiveness of the systems and controls in place to comply with Anti-Money Laundering (AML) law and regulation, it also describes RBS Group's AML framework. In addition, it covers the systems and controls in place to prevent the financing of terrorism and to ensure compliance with sanctions as well as embargoes and export controls imposed by the UN, governments and other supranational bodies.

The RBS Group Audit Committee is provided with an annual Whistleblowing Update Report. It details cases by internal reporting categories based on the Public Interest Disclosure Act (1998); identifies underlying causal and subject trends; and highlights the outcome of investigations and actions taken.

C&RA is working with each business to enhance the management information linked to their risk appetite statements. This is required to ensure appropriate customer outcomes are delivered, and that the management information is compliant with the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision principles for effective risk data aggregation and risk reporting.

Risk mitigation

C&RA communicates information on regulatory developments, and follow-ups with regulators, to customer-facing businesses and functions, helping them identify and execute any required mitigating changes to strategy or business models.

Early identification and effective management of changes in legislation and regulation, are critical to the successful mitigation of conduct and regulatory risk. All regulatory and compliance changes are managed to ensure timely compliance readiness. Those changes assessed as having a 'High' or 'Medium-High' impact are managed especially closely, with the aim of mitigating the impact through, for instance, changes to strategy or business activities, or external engagement.

*unaudited

Operational risk*

Definition

Operational risk is the risk of loss resulting from inadequate or failed internal processes, people and systems, or external events. It arises from day-to-day operations and is relevant to every aspect of the business.

Operational risks may have a direct customer or reputational impact (for example, a major IT systems failure or fraudulent activity) or both. Operational risk failures may also have a link with conduct risk.

Sources of risk

Operational risk may arise from a failure to manage operations, systems, transactions and assets appropriately. It may arise from forms of human error, an inability to deliver change on time or adequately, or the non-availability of technology services or the loss of customer data. Fraud and theft are sources of operational risk, as is the impact of natural and man-made disasters. It may also arise from a failure to take appropriate measures to protect assets or take account of changes in law or regulations.

Cyber risk

Cyber attacks are increasing in frequency and severity across the industry and their threat to the security of RBS Group's information from continues to be closely monitored. During 2015 RBS Group participated in industry-wide cyber attack simulations in order to help test and develop defence planning. To mitigate the risks, a large-scale programme to improve user access controls is in progress, along with a number of other actions, including a reduction in the number of external websites, enhancement of protection against malware, and the implementation of a staff education programme on information protection.

Governance

A strong operational risk management function is vital to support profitability. Better management of operational risk directly supports the strategic objective of improving stakeholder confidence and is vital for stability and reputational integrity.

The operational risk function, an independent second line of defence, plays a leadership role and seeks to achieve a robust operational risk management framework and culture across RBS Group.

The operational risk function is responsible for the design, development, delivery and continuous improvement of the Operational Risk Management Framework. The Operational Risk Policy is incorporated in the RBS Group Policy Framework and provides direction for the consistent identification, assessment, management, monitoring and reporting of operational risk. Through a network of oversight teams, the function undertakes second line of defence oversight and challenge to ensure the integrity of the ORMF, and manages the overall operational risk profile.

The Operational Risk Executive Committee (OREC), which is a sub-committee of the Executive Risk Forum, acts on all operational risk matters. This includes; identifying and assessing material operational risks, encompassing both current and emerging material risks; reviewing and monitoring the operational risk profile; and reviewing and approving material policy changes.

Controls and assurance

Control Environment Certification (CEC) is used to review and assess the internal control framework.

Risk appetite

The Group's operational risk appetite statement is agreed by the Managing and Supervisory Boards. It comprises a number of specific measures of risk. To confirm that RBS Group operates within the set risk appetite, the high-level statement is supplemented by specific tolerances for different types of operational risk. Operational risk appetite measures and frameworks are reviewed regularly and approved by the Supervisory Board.

The objective of operational risk management is not to remove operational risk altogether, but to manage it to an acceptable level, taking into account the customer journey and the cost of minimising the risk against the resultant reduction in exposure. Strategies to manage operational risk include avoiding undertaking a particular type of activity or operating in a particular market; transferring the risk to a third party; accepting the risk as a cost of doing business; or mitigating the risk by implementing controls.

Risk identification and assessment

Risk assessments are used to identify and assess material operational risks, conduct risks and key controls across all business areas. To support identification of risk concentrations, all risks and controls are mapped to the risk directory. Risk assessments are refreshed at least annually to ensure they remain relevant and capture any emerging risks.

The process is designed to confirm that risks are effectively managed and prioritised in line with the stated risk appetite. Controls are tested at the appropriate time to verify that they remain fit for purpose and operate effectively.

During 2015 an enhanced end-to-end risk assessment methodology was designed, which is now being implemented across RBS Group. This approach enhances the understanding of the risk profile of RBS Group's key products and services, and it will be used to identify and quantify the most material risks. Subject matter experts and key stakeholders are engaged from across RBS Group to support management decision-making in line with RBS Group's financial and non-financial appetite statement.

The New Product Risk Assessment (NPRA) process aims to ensure that the risks represented by new products (and material variations to existing products) are identified and assessed before launch. There is now a requirement to demonstrate that all products provide fair outcomes to customers.

Risk mitigation

Risks are mitigated through the application of key preventative and detective controls as an integral step in risk assessment methodology, to enable a determination of the residual risk. Control owners are accountable for the design, execution, performance and maintenance of key controls.

These key controls are regularly assessed for adequacy and tested for effectiveness. The control testing results are monitored and, where a material change in performance is identified, it results in a re-evaluation of the associated risk

RBS Group purchases insurance to provide the business with financial protection against specific losses and to comply with statutory or contractual requirements.

Risk monitoring

Monitoring and reporting are part of RBS Group's operational risk management processes, which aim to ensure that risks and issues are identified, considered by senior executives, and managed effectively.

Risk measurement

The Group uses the basic indicator approach to calculate its operational risk capital requirement. This is based on multiplying three years' average historical gross income by co-efficients set by the regulator.

Event and loss data management

The event and loss data management process ensures the capture and recording of operational risk loss events that meet defined criteria. The loss data is used for regulatory and industry reporting and is included in the capital modelling, for the calculation of the regulatory capital for operational risk. The most serious events are escalated in a simple, standardised process to all senior management, by way of the Group Notifiable Event Process.

All losses and recoveries associated with an operational risk event are reported against their financial accounting date. A single event can result in multiple losses (or recoveries) that may take time to crystallise. Losses and recoveries with a financial accounting date in 2015 may relate to events that occurred, or were identified in, prior years.

Capital

The Group calculates the Pillar 1 capital requirement for operational risk using the basic indicator approach. For 2015, the Group's minimum Pillar 1 capital requirement was €37 million (2014 - €58 million).

*unaudited

Credit risk: management basis

Risk management is conducted on an overall basis within RBS Group. Therefore the discussion on pages 28 to 38 refer principally to policies and procedures in RBS Group that also apply to the Group.

Definition

Credit risk is the risk of financial loss due to the failure of a customer or counterparty to meet its obligation to settle outstanding amounts.

Sources of credit risk

The Group is exposed to credit risk through wholesale lending, derivatives and off-balance-sheet products such as trade finance and guarantees. The Group is also exposed to credit risk as a result of debt securities held for liquidity management purposes.

Credit risk management function

Governance

The activities of the RBS Group credit risk management function, which is led by the Group Chief Credit Officer (GCCO), include:

- approving credit for customers;
- ensuring that credit risk is within the risk appetite set by the Board;
- managing concentration risk and credit risk control frameworks;
- developing and ensuring compliance with credit risk policies; and
- conducting RBS Group-wide assessments of provision adequacy.

The GCCO has overall responsibility for the credit risk function. The GCCO chairs the Credit Risk Committee and, with the RBS Group CRO, co-chairs the RBS Group provisions committee.

Credit risk in the Group is managed by the RBS Group credit risk function through a Group credit risk delegate who reports to the GCCO and has a functional line to the Group CRO. Group credit risk management activities include credit risk appetite setting, transaction and portfolio analysis, and ongoing credit risk monitoring and oversight.

Key trends in the credit risk profile of the Group, performance against limits and emerging risks are set out in the Risk Report provided to the Group Risk Controls Committee (RCC) and the Supervisory Board.

The key elements of the credit risk management function are set out below.

Leadership	GCCO	The GCCO has overall responsibility for the credit risk function. The GCCO chairs the Credit Risk Committee and, with the CRO, co-chairs the RBS Group's provisions committee.
Governance	<p>Credit Risk committee</p> <p>Provisions Committee (1)</p>	<p>Authority over risk appetite (within the appetite set by the board), strategy, frameworks and policy as well as oversight of RBS Group's credit profile.</p> <p>Authority over provisions adequacy and approval of recommendations from business provisions committees in accordance with approval thresholds.</p>
Risk appetite	<p>Concentration frameworks</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Wholesale <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ Single name ◦ Sector ◦ Country ◦ Product and asset class - Personal credit appetite framework <p>Reputational and environmental, social and ethical frameworks</p> <p>Credit policy</p>	<p>Frameworks are maintained to ensure that the risk of an outsized loss due to a concentration to a particular borrower, sector, product type or country remains within appetite. The credit frameworks are aligned to the RBS Group's risk appetite framework.</p> <p>RBS Group uses a product and asset class framework to control credit risk for its Personal businesses. The framework sets limits that measure and control the quality of both existing and new business.</p>
Controls and risk assurance	<p>Control assurance</p> <p>Quality assurance</p> <p>Model risk management</p>	Credit policy standards are in place for both Wholesale and Personal portfolios and are expressed as a set of mandatory controls. Assurance activities, as defined by credit policy, are undertaken by an independent assurance function.
Credit stewardship	<p>Credit assessment standards</p> <p>Credit risk mitigation and collateral</p> <p>Credit documentation</p> <p>Regular portfolio/customer review</p> <p>Problem debt identification and management</p>	<p>Credit risk stewardship takes place throughout the customer lifecycle, from initial credit approval and on a continuous basis thereafter.</p> <p>The methodology applied for assessing and monitoring credit risk varies between customer types and businesses.</p>
Customers	Segmentation	Customers are grouped by industry clusters and managed on an individual basis (including Corporates, Banks and Financial Institutions).

Note:

(1) Authority is delegated by Executive Risk Forum.

Credit risk: management basis continued

Risk appetite*

Risk appetite across all risk types is set by RBS Group using specific quantitative targets under stress, including earnings volatility and capital adequacy. The credit risk appetite frameworks have been designed to reflect factors that influence the ability to meet those targets. These include product and asset class, industry sector, single name and country concentrations. Any of these factors could generate higher earnings volatility under stress and, if not adequately controlled, undermine capital adequacy. Tools such as stress testing and economic capital are used to measure credit risk volatility and develop links between credit risk appetite frameworks and risk appetite targets. The frameworks are supported by a suite of policies and transaction acceptance standards that set out the risk parameters within which franchises must operate. For further information of the specific frameworks for wholesale refer to page 33.

Risk measurements and models*

Credit risk assets

RBS Group uses a range of measures for credit risk exposures. The internal measure used, unless otherwise stated, is credit risk assets (CRA) consisting of:

- Lending exposure - measured using drawn balances (gross of impairments). Comprises cash balances at central banks as well as loans and advances to banks and customers.

- Counterparty exposures - measured using the mark-to-market value of derivatives after the effect of enforceable netting agreements and regulator-approved models but before the effect of collateral. Calculations are gross of credit value adjustments.
- Contingent obligations - measured using the value of the committed amount and including primarily letters of credit and guarantees.

CRA exclude issuer risk (primarily debt securities) and securities financing transactions. CRA take account of regulatory netting although, in practice, obligations are settled under legal netting arrangements that provide a right of legal set-off but do not meet the offset criteria under IFRS.

Risk models

RBS Group uses the output of credit risk models in the credit approval process - as well as for ongoing credit risk assessment, monitoring and reporting - to inform credit risk appetite decisions. These models may be divided into different categories:

Model	Calculation method	Wholesale
PD model	Individual counterparty	Each customer is assigned a probability of default (PD) rating and corresponding grade. PD is calculated using a combination of quantitative inputs, such as recent financial performance, and qualitative inputs such as management performance and sector outlook.
LGD model	Individual counterparty	Loss given default (LGD) models estimate the amount that cannot be recovered in the event of a customer default. When estimating LGD, RBS Group's models assess both borrower and facility characteristics, as well as any credit risk mitigants. The cost of collections and a time-discount factor for the delay in cash recovery are also incorporated.
EAD model	Individual counterparty	Exposure at default (EAD) models provide estimates of credit facility utilisation at the time of a customer default, recognising that customers may make further drawings on unused credit facilities prior to default or that exposures may increase due to market movements. Regulatory requirements stipulate that EAD must always be equal to, or higher, than current utilisation, though exposures can be reduced by a legally enforceable netting agreement.
EC model	Portfolio level	The credit economic capital model is a framework that allows for the calculation of portfolio credit loss distributions and associated metrics over a given risk horizon for a variety of business purposes. The model takes into account migration risk (the risk that credit assets will deteriorate in credit quality across multiple years), factor correlation (the assumption that groups of obligors share a common factor) and contagion risk (for example, the risk that the weakening of the sovereign's credit worthiness has a significant impact on the creditworthiness of a business operating in that country).

*unaudited

Changes to credit models

RBS Group reviews and updates models on an ongoing basis in order to reflect the impact of more recent data, changes to products and portfolios, and new regulatory requirements. Extensive changes were made to wholesale models between 2012 and 2014. To a lesser extent, the impact of these changes continued through 2015, resulting in some downwards rating migrations across internal asset quality bands. For further information, refer to the Asset quality section on page 40.

Risk mitigation

Risk mitigation techniques are used in the management of credit portfolios across RBS Group, typically to mitigate credit concentrations in relation to an individual customer, a borrower group or a collection of related borrowers. Where possible, customer credit balances are netted against obligations.

Mitigation tools applied can include: structuring a security interest in a physical or financial asset; use of credit derivatives, including credit default swaps, credit-linked debt instruments and securitisation structures; and use of guarantees and similar instruments (for example, credit insurance) from related and third parties.

When seeking to mitigate risk, at a minimum RBS Group considers the following:

- The suitability of the proposed risk mitigation, particularly if restrictions apply;
- The means by which legal certainty is to be established, including required documentation, supportive legal opinions and the steps needed to establish legal rights;
- The acceptability of the methodologies to be used for initial and subsequent valuation of collateral, the frequency of valuations and the advance rates given;
- The actions which can be taken if the value of collateral or other mitigants is less than needed;
- The risk that the value of mitigants and counterparty credit quality may deteriorate simultaneously;
- The need to manage concentration risks arising from collateral types; and
- The need to ensure that any risk mitigation remains legally effective and enforceable.

The RBS Group business and credit teams are supported by specialist in-house documentation teams. RBS Group uses industry-standard loan and security documentation wherever possible. However, when non-standard documentation is used, external lawyers are employed to review it on a case-by-case basis. Mitigants (including any associated insurance) are monitored throughout the life of the transaction to ensure that they perform as anticipated. Similarly, documentation is also monitored to ensure it remains enforceable.

For further information refer to the Wholesale credit risk management sub-section.

Counterparty credit risk

The Group mitigates counterparty credit risk arising from both derivatives transaction and repurchase agreements through the use of netting, collateral and market standard documentation.

Amounts owed by the Group to a counterparty are netted against amounts the counterparty owes the bank, in accordance with relevant regulatory and internal policies. However, generally, this is only done if a netting and collateral agreement is in place as well as a legal opinion to the effect that the agreement is enforceable in the relevant jurisdictions.

Collateral may consist of either cash or securities. In the case of derivatives, collateral generally takes the form of cash. In the case of securities financing transactions, collateral usually takes the form of debt securities and, to a much lesser extent, equity securities at the outset. However, if the value of collateral falls relative to the obligation, the Group may require additional collateral in the form of cash (variation margin). The vast majority of agreements are subject to daily collateral calls with collateral valued using RBS Group's internal valuation methodologies.

Industry standard documentation - such as master repurchase agreements and credit support annexes accompanied by legal opinion - is used for financial collateral taken as part of trading activities.

The Group restricts counterparty credit exposures by setting limits that take into account the potential adverse movement of an exposure after adjusting for the impact of netting and collateral (where applicable).

Risk assessment and monitoring

Practices for credit stewardship - includes credit assessment, approval and monitoring as well as the identification and management of problem debts. A key aspect of credit risk stewardship is ensuring that, when signs of impairment are identified, appropriate impairment provisions are recognised.

Impairment, provisioning and write-offs

In the overall assessment of credit risk, impairment, provisioning and write-offs are used as key indicators of credit quality. The impairment, provisioning and write-off processes are described in more detail below:

Impairment

A financial asset is impaired if there is objective evidence that the amount, or timing, of future cash flows has been adversely affected since its initial recognition. Refer to accounting policies on page 70 for details regarding the quantification of impairment losses.

Days-past-due measures are typically used to identify evidence of impairment. In the Wholesale portfolio, a period of 90 days past due is used. In Sovereign portfolios, the period used is 180 days past due. Indicators of impairment include the borrower's financial condition; a forbearance event; a loan restructuring; the probability of bankruptcy; or any evidence of diminished cash flows.

*unaudited

Credit risk: management basis continued

Provisioning

The amount of an impairment loss is measured as the difference between the asset carrying amount and the present value of the estimated future cash flows discounted at the financial asset's original effective interest rate. The current net realisable value of the collateral will be taken into account in determining the need for a provision. This includes cash flows from foreclosure (less costs of obtaining and selling the collateral), whether or not foreclosure is probable. Impairment provisions are not recognised where amounts due are expected to be settled in full on the realisation of collateral. The Group uses primarily one of the following two methods to quantify the provision required: individual; and latent, as set out below:

Provision method	Customer type	Quantification method	Key factors considered
Individual	Impaired, individually significant	Case-by-case assessment of future cashflows	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Customer and guarantor performance. • Future value of collateral. • Future economic conditions based on factors available at the time.
Latent	Not impaired	PD% x LGD% x EAD x Emergence Period	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • For wholesale customers PD, LGD and EAD values are used. • For personal, calculations are performed at portfolio level by product (e.g. mortgages, credit cards or unsecured loans). • Portfolio-level emergence periods are based on products or businesses with similar homogenous characteristics. Emergence periods range from 120 to 274 days.

The Restructuring credit team will ultimately recommend or approve any provision that may be required.

Sensitivity of impairments to assumptions

Key assumptions relating to impairment levels relate to economic conditions, the interest rate environment, the ease and timing of enforcing loan agreements in varying legal jurisdictions and the level of customer co-operation.

In addition, for secured lending, key assumptions relate to the valuation of the security and collateral held, as well as the timing and cost of asset disposals based on underlying market depth and liquidity. Assessments are made by relationship managers on a case-by-case basis for individually-assessed provisions and are validated by credit teams. For individual impairments greater than £1 million, oversight is provided by the RBS Group's Provisions Committee.

Available-for-sale portfolios

Available-for-sale portfolios are also regularly reviewed for evidence of impairment, including: default or delinquency in interest or principal payments; significant financial difficulty of the issuer or obligor; and increased likelihood that the issuer will enter bankruptcy or other financial reorganisation. Determining whether evidence of impairment exists requires the exercise of management judgement. It should be noted that the following factors are not, of themselves, evidence of impairment, but may be evidence of impairment when considered with other factors:

- Disappearance of an active market because an entity's financial instruments are no longer publicly traded.
- A downgrade of an entity's credit rating.
- A decline in the fair value of a financial asset below its cost or amortised cost.

Write-offs

Impaired loans and receivables are written-off when there is no longer any realistic prospect of recovery of part, or the entire loan. For loans that are individually assessed for impairment, the timing of write-off is determined on a case-by-case basis. Such loans are reviewed regularly and write-offs may be prompted by bankruptcy, insolvency, forbearance and similar events.

Amounts recovered after a loan has been written-off are credited to the loan impairment charge for the period in which they are received.

Wholesale credit risk management

This section sets out further detail on RBS Group's approach to credit risk management for its Wholesale customers. Four formal frameworks are used to manage Wholesale credit concentration risks within RBS Group's risk appetite. These frameworks are regularly reassessed to ensure they remain appropriate for RBS Group's varied business franchises, economic and market conditions and to reflect refinements in risk measurement models as well as agreed risk appetite.

Through the overlay model, the Group's concentration risks are captured in and primarily governed by, the RBS Group's risk control frameworks. However, concentration risks are actively monitored from a the Group perspective through monthly reporting and appropriate action is taken if necessary.

A summary of the frameworks is set out below:*

Concentration framework	Single name (SNC)	Sector	Product and asset class	Country
Risk addressed	Outsized loss due to concentration on a single borrower or borrower group.	Concentration in a single sector or across sectors that are susceptible to similar stress events.	Concentration on certain products or asset classes.	Concentration on a particular country.
Basis for classification	Size or LGD - based on net customer exposure for a given probability of default.	Size - based on exposure; and Risk - based on Economic Capital and other qualitative factors.	Size - based on exposure to a product or asset class.	Size - based on exposure to a particular country.
Limit types	Customer exposure and LGD limits relative to PD.	Bank-wide sector and sub-sector exposure limits.	Bank-wide product/asset class exposure limits and sub-limits.	Bank-wide country limits.
Controls within the framework	Elevated approval requirements, monitoring and reporting, the requirement for regular reviews and for plans to address any exposures in excess of limit.			
Exposure measure (net/gross)	Both net and gross of "eligible" mitigants. To be eligible under the framework, mitigants must be legally enforceable, structurally effective and of appropriate maturity.	Gross exposure to a sector/sub-sector.	Net/gross - dependent on type of product.	Net of provisions and risk transfer.

*unaudited

Credit risk: management basis continued

Risk assessment

Before credit facilities are made available to customers a credit assessment is undertaken. The assessment process is the same for all customers. However, in RBS Group credit risk management is organised in terms of the complexity of the assessment rather than aligned to businesses. Capital Resolution is not managed separately but is shown in tables to aid understanding of the size of the exit portfolio. Credit is only granted to customers following joint approval by an approver from the business and the credit risk function.

These approvers act within a delegated approval authority under the wholesale Credit Authorities Framework (CAF) approved by the Executive Risk Forum.

The level of delegated authority held by approvers is dependent on their experience and expertise. Only a small number of senior executives hold the highest authority provided under the CAF. Both business and credit approvers are accountable for the quality of each decision taken but the credit risk approver holds ultimate sanctioning authority.

In 2015, new Transaction Acceptance Standards (TAS) were introduced to provide more detailed transactional lending and risk acceptance guidelines which are one of the tools to control risk appetite at the customer/transaction level. TAS are supplementary to Credit Policy.

When assessing credit risk the following must be considered at a minimum:

- The amount, terms, tenor, structure, conditions, purpose and appropriateness of all credit facilities;
- Compliance with relevant credit policies and transaction acceptance standards;
- The customer's ability to meet obligations, based on an analysis of financial information and a review of payment and covenant compliance history;
- The customer's risk profile, including sector, sensitivity to economic and market developments and management capability;
- Legal capacity of the customer to engage in the transaction;
- Credit risk mitigation including requirements for valuation and revaluation. The customer's credit grade and the loss given default estimate for the facilities;
- The requirement for the provision of financial information, covenants and/or monitoring formulae to monitor the customer's financial performance;
- Refinancing risk - the risk of loss arising from the failure of a customer to settle an obligation on expiry of a facility through the drawdown of another credit facility provided by the Group or by another lender;
- Consideration of other risks such as environmental, social and ethical, regulatory and reputational risks; and
- The portfolio impact of the transaction, including the impact on any credit risk concentration limits or agreed business risk appetite.

Where the customer is part of a group, the credit assessment considers aggregated credit risk limits for the customer group as well as the nature of the relationship with the broader group (e.g. parental support) and its impact on credit risk.

At a minimum, credit relationships are reviewed and re-approved annually. The renewal process addresses borrower performance, including reconfirmation or adjustment of risk parameter estimates; the adequacy of security; compliance with terms and conditions; and refinancing risk.

Risk mitigation

The Group mitigates credit risk through the use of netting, collateral and market standard documentation, depending on the nature of the counterparty and its assets. The most common types of collateral are:

- Physical assets - These may include stock, plant, equipment, machinery, vehicles, ships and aircraft. Such assets are suitable collateral only if the Group can identify, locate, and segregate them from other assets on which it does not have a claim. The Group values physical assets in a variety of different ways, depending on the type of asset concerned and may rely on balance sheet valuations in certain cases.
- Receivables - These are amounts owed to the Group's counterparties by their own customers. The Group values them after taking into account the quality of its counterparty's receivable management processes and excluding any that are past due.
- Financial collateral - Refer to Counterparty credit risk section on page 31.

All collateral is assessed case-by-case to ensure that it will retain its value independently of the provider. The Group monitors the value of the collateral and, if there is a shortfall, will seek additional collateral.

Problem debt management

Early problem identification

Each business has defined early warning indicators (EWIs) to identify customers experiencing financial difficulty, and to increase monitoring if needed. EWIs may be internal, such as a customer's bank account activity, or external, such as a publicly-listed customer's share price. If EWIs show a customer is experiencing potential or actual difficulty, or if relationship managers or credit officers identify other signs of financial difficulty they may decide to place the customer on the Watchlist. RBS Group has reviewed the Watchlist process and will implement a new Risk of Credit Loss framework in early 2016. This will ensure the problem debt portfolio is managed consistently and efficiently, with added focus on customers whose credit profiles have deteriorated outside original risk appetite.

Watchlist*

There are three Watch classifications - Amber, Red and Black - reflecting progressively deteriorating conditions.

Watch Amber customers are performing customers who show early signs of potential financial difficulty, or have other characteristics that warrant closer monitoring.

Watch Red customers are performing customers who show signs of declining creditworthiness and so require active management. When a customer is classified as Watch Red, the Restructuring team is engaged to consider whether the relationship should be transferred to them. Watch Red customers who continue to be managed outside of Restructuring tend to be those requiring specialist subject matter expertise that is only available outside of Restructuring.

The Watch Black portfolio includes AQ10 exposures.

Once on the Watchlist a number of mandatory actions are taken, including a review of the customer's credit grade and facility and security documentation. Depending on the severity of the financial difficulty and the size of the exposure, the customer relationship strategy is reassessed by credit officers, by specialist credit risk or relationship management units in the relevant business or by Restructuring.

In more material cases, a forum of experienced credit, portfolio management and remedial management specialists in either the relevant business or Restructuring may reassess the customer relationship strategy.

Appropriate corrective action is taken when circumstances emerge that may affect the customer's ability to service its debt. Such circumstances include deteriorating trading performance, imminent breach of covenant, challenging macroeconomic conditions, a late payment or the expectation of a missed payment.

Remediation strategies available in the business include granting a customer various types of concessions. Any decision to approve a concession will be a function of specific country and sector appetite, the credit quality of the customer, the market environment and the loan structure and security. For further information, refer to the Forbearance section below.

Other potential outcomes of the relationship review are to: take the customer off the Watchlist; offer additional lending and continue monitoring; transfer the relationship to Restructuring if appropriate; or exit the relationship altogether.

Customers managed in Capital Resolution are by their nature subject to heightened scrutiny and regular review against specific disposal plans. Capital Resolution customers are separately identified in RBS Group's internal Watchlist reporting, with their Watchlist classification based on asset quality.

Restructuring

The Restructuring team manages customer relationships with RBS Group's wholesale problem debt portfolio. The factor common to all customers with Restructuring involvement is that RBS Group's exposure is outside risk appetite. The primary function of Restructuring is to restore customers to an acceptable credit profile, minimise losses to RBS Group and protect RBS Group's capital.

*unaudited

Specialists in Restructuring work with customers experiencing financial difficulties, and showing signs of financial stress, with the aim of restoring their business to financial health whenever possible. The objective is to find a mutually acceptable solution, including restructuring of existing facilities, repayment or refinancing if that is the customer's preferred option.

The specialists conduct a detailed assessment of the viability of the business, as well as the ability of management to deal with the causes of financial difficulty, focusing on both financial and operational issues. Following the assessment, options which may include forbearance and/or restructuring of facilities are developed. Credit risk decisions, including reviewing and approving any operational and financial restructuring solutions in relation to these customers, are made by a dedicated Restructuring Credit team, which is part of the credit risk management function.

Where a solvent solution is not possible, insolvency may be considered as a last resort. However, helping the customer return to financial health and restoring a normal banking relationship is always the desired goal.

Forbearance

Definition

Forbearance takes place when a concession is made on the contractual terms of a loan in response to a customer's financial difficulties.

Concessions granted where there is no evidence of financial difficulty, or where any changes to terms and conditions are within usual risk appetite (for a new customer), or reflect improving credit market conditions for the customer, are not considered forbearance.

The aim of forbearance is to restore the customer to financial health while minimising risk to RBS Group. To ensure that forbearance is appropriate for the needs and financial profile of the customer, RBS Group applies minimum standards when assessing, recording, monitoring and reporting forbearance.

Types of Wholesale forbearance

The type of forbearance offered is tailored to the customer's individual circumstances. For wholesale customers forbearance may involve the following types of concessions:

- **Covenant waiver**

A recalibration of covenants or a covenant amendment may be used to cure a potential or actual covenant breach. In return for this relief, RBS Group would seek to obtain a return commensurate with the risk that it is required to take. The increased return for the increased risk can be structured flexibly to take into account the customer's circumstances. For example it may be structured as either increased margin on a cash or payment-in-kind basis, deferred-return instruments or both. While RBS Group considers these types of concessions qualitatively different from other forms of forbearance, they constitute a significant proportion of wholesale forbore loans and are therefore included in these disclosures.

Credit risk: management basis continued

- **Amendment to margin**

Contractual margin may be amended to bolster the customer's day-to-day liquidity to help sustain its business as a going concern. This would normally be a short-term solution. RBS Group would seek a return commensurate to the risk that it is required to take.

- **Payment concessions and loan rescheduling (including extensions in contractual maturity)**

May be granted to improve the customer's liquidity or on the expectation that the customer's liquidity will recover when market conditions improve. In addition, they may be granted if the customer will benefit from access to alternative sources of liquidity, such as an issue of equity capital. These options have been used in CRE transactions, particularly during periods where a shortage of market liquidity has ruled out immediate refinancing and made short-term collateral sales unattractive.

- **Debt forgiveness/debt for equity swap**

May be granted where the customer's business condition or economic environment is such that it cannot meet obligations and where other forms of forbearance are unlikely to succeed. Debt forgiveness can be used for stressed corporate transactions and is typically structured on the basis of projected cash flows from operational activities, rather than underlying tangible asset values. Provided that the underlying business model, strategy and debt level are viable, maintaining the business as a going concern is the preferred option, rather than realising the value of the underlying assets.

Loans may be forbore more than once, generally where a temporary concession has been granted and circumstances warrant another temporary or permanent revision of the loan's terms. All customers are assigned a PD and related facilities a LGD. These are re-assessed prior to finalising any forbearance arrangement in light of the loan's amended terms and any revised grading is incorporated in the calculation of the impairment loss provisions for RBS Group's wholesale exposures.

The ultimate outcome of a forbearance strategy is unknown at the time of execution. It is highly dependent on the cooperation of the borrower and the continued existence of a viable business. Where forbearance is no longer viable, RBS Group will consider other options such as the enforcement of security, insolvency proceedings or both. The following are generally considered to be options of last resort:

- **Enforcement of security or otherwise taking control of assets**

- Where RBS Group holds collateral or other security interest and is entitled to enforce its rights, it may enforce its security or otherwise take control of the assets. The preferred strategy is to consider other possible options prior to exercising these rights.

- **Insolvency** - Where there is no suitable forbearance option or the business is no longer sustainable, insolvency will be considered. Insolvency may be the only option that ensures that the assets of the business are properly and efficiently distributed to relevant creditors.

Provisions for forbore wholesale loans are assessed in accordance with normal provisioning policies (refer to Impairment loss provision methodology). The customer's financial position and prospects as well as the likely effect of the forbearance, including any concessions granted, are considered in order to establish whether an impairment provision is required.

Wholesale loans granted forbearance are individually assessed in most cases and are not therefore segregated into a separate risk pool.

Forbearance may result in the value of the outstanding debt exceeding the present value of the estimated future cash flows. This may result in the recognition of an impairment loss or a write-off.

For performing loans, credit metrics are an integral part of the latent provision methodology and therefore the impact of covenant concessions will be reflected in the latent provision. For non-performing loans, covenant concessions will be considered in determining the overall provision for these loans.

In the case of non-performing forbore loans, the loan impairment provision assessment almost invariably takes place prior to forbearance being granted. The amount of the loan impairment provision may change once the terms of the forbearance are known, resulting in an additional provision charge or a release of the provision in the period the forbearance is granted.

The transfer of Wholesale loans subject to forbearance from impaired to performing status follows assessment by relationship managers and the Restructuring credit risk function. When no further losses are anticipated and the customer is expected to meet the loan's revised terms, any provision is written off and the balance of the loan returned to performing status. This course of action is not dependent on a specified time period and follows the credit risk manager's assessment that it is appropriate.

Country risk

Risk measurement*

In this section, country exposure includes wholesale net on-balance sheet exposure (drawn amounts under lending facilities, net of provisions, mark-to-market derivatives positions and issuer-risk debt securities positions in the banking book and trading book) together with off-balance sheet exposure (contingent obligations and undrawn commitments).

Basis of preparation*

The tables in this section show the Group's exposure at 31 December 2015 and 2014. Exposures are reported by country of operation of the obligor, except exposures to governments and individuals, which are shown by country of residence.

The country of operation is the counterparty's country of main operations, taking account of branches and subsidiaries.

Countries shown are those which had ratings of A+ or below from Standard and Poor's, Moody's or Fitch at 31 December 2015, and in which the Group's net balance sheet exposure to counterparties operating (or individuals residing) in them exceeded €0.5 billion. Selected eurozone countries are also included.

The exposures are stated before taking into account risk mitigants such as guarantees, insurance or collateral (with the exception of reverse repos) which may have been put in place to reduce or eliminate exposure to country risk events. The tables separately show CDS positions, as the Group may be either a net buyer or a net seller of protection.

Overview

The comments below relate to changes in country exposures in 2015 unless indicated otherwise*.

- Net balance sheet and off-balance sheet exposures to nearly all countries were further wound down. The euro depreciated against sterling by 5.7% while the US dollar appreciated by 5.3%, impacting exposures.
- Total eurozone (excluding the Netherlands) - net balance sheet exposure decreased by €2.3 billion or 90%, to less than €0.3 billion. The depreciation of the euro played a significant role in the reduction.
- Eurozone periphery - Ireland is no longer considered part of this group because of its improved economic conditions. The remaining exposure to the eurozone periphery was less than €0.2 billion.
- Italy - exposure fell by €0.9 billion to €0.1 billion. This was the result of the maturity of a few large derivatives transactions with banks.
- India - net balance sheet exposure increased by €0.3 billion to €1.9 billion, reflecting higher AFS government bonds exposure. The remaining exposure is largely debt securities and corporate lending.
- China - net balance sheet exposure decreased by €0.6 billion to €0.9 billion, with reductions mostly in corporate lending, driven by RBS Group's strategy. The remaining portfolio is focused on the largest banks.

*unaudited

Credit risk: management basis continued

Summary of country exposures

	Net balance sheet exposure				Analysis of net balance sheet exposures			Off-balance sheet			Total exposure	CDS notional less fair value	Gross derivatives
	Sovereign & other FI	Banks	Corporate	Total	Net lending	Debt securities	HFT	Derivatives	SFT	sheet			
	€m	€m	€m	€m	€m	€m	€m	€m	€m	€m	€m	€m	€m
2015													
Eurozone periphery													
Italy	—	114	—	114	95	19	—	—	—	—	114	(193)	—
Cyprus	—	—	39	39	39	—	—	—	—	—	39	—	—
Portugal	—	5	1	6	—	—	—	7	—	—	7	—	7
Spain	—	—	3	3	3	—	—	—	—	—	3	—	—
Greece	—	—	1	1	1	—	—	—	—	—	1	—	—
Eurozone other													
Belgium	—	54	—	54	54	—	—	—	—	10	64	—	—
Ireland	—	—	33	33	33	—	—	—	—	—	33	—	—
Germany	—	4	3	7	3	—	—	4	—	1	8	—	4
France	—	3	—	3	—	—	—	3	—	—	3	—	4
Luxembourg	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	4
Total eurozone	—	180	80	260	228	19	—	14	—	11	272	(193)	19
Asia													
India	1,138	194	568	1,900	835	903	151	12	—	381	2,282	—	27
China	347	560	31	938	756	178	—	4	—	10	948	—	—
2014													
Eurozone periphery													
Italy	135	869	1	1,005	138	161	—	706	—	—	1,005	(196)	707
Cyprus	—	—	42	42	42	—	—	—	—	—	42	—	—
Portugal	—	42	4	46	—	—	—	46	—	—	46	—	64
Spain	53	1	4	58	5	53	—	—	—	3	61	(4)	—
Eurozone other													
Belgium	324	155	9	488	17	333	—	138	—	4	492	(1)	140
Ireland	—	—	54	54	54	—	—	—	—	12	66	—	—
Germany	141	33	53	227	50	165	—	12	—	105	332	(14)	6
France	254	4	13	271	1	267	—	3	—	148	419	(28)	6
Luxembourg	—	3	—	3	3	—	—	—	—	—	3	(1)	4
Other	217	113	—	330	—	330	—	—	—	—	330	(2)	—
Total eurozone	1,124	1,220	180	2,524	310	1,309	—	905	—	272	2,796	(246)	927
Asia													
India	784	185	646	1,615	912	533	143	27	—	460	2,075	—	62
China	428	587	543	1,558	1,169	312	—	76	1	292	1,850	—	1

Notes:

- (1) Net balance sheet exposure - Comprises net lending, debt securities, derivatives (net) and SFT (net) exposures, as defined below.
- (2) Net lending - Comprises loans and advances net of provisions and includes cash balances.
- (3) Debt securities - includes held-for-trading (HFT), designated as fair value through profit or loss (DFV), available for-for-sale (AFS) and loans and receivables (LAR). HFT debt securities, aggregated with DFV securities, are presented as long positions net of short positions.
- (4) Derivatives (net) - Comprise the mark-to-market (mtm) value of such contracts after the effect of legally enforceable netting agreements in line with regulatory capital models, but before the effect of collateral.
- (5) Securities financing transactions (SFT) (net) - Comprise the mtm value of the cash and securities that are due to the Group at a future date after the effect of collateral intrinsic to the transaction and legally enforceable netting agreements. Counterparty netting is applied in line with regulatory capital approach. Additional collateral called to offset mtm positions (variation margin) is not included.
- (6) Off-balance sheet - Comprises letters of credit, guarantees, other contingent obligations and legally committed undrawn facilities.
- (7) Total exposure - Comprises net balance sheet exposure and off-balance sheet exposure, as defined above.
- (8) Credit default swaps (CDSs) - Under a CDS contract, the credit risk on the reference entity is transferred from the buyer to the seller. 'Fair value' (or 'mtm value') represents the balance sheet carrying value of the resulting exposure. The mtm value of CDSs is included in derivatives against the counterparty of the trade, as opposed to the reference entity. The notional is the par value of the credit protection bought or sold and is included against the reference entity of the CDS contract. The column 'CDS notional less fair value' represents the net effect on exposure should the CDS contracts be triggered by a credit event, assuming a zero recovery rate on the reference exposure. This net effect would be the increase in exposure arising from sold positions netted against the decrease arising from bought positions. For a sold position, the change in exposure equals the notional less the fair value amount; this represents the amount the Group would owe to its CDS counterparties if the reference entity defaulted. Positive recovery rates would tend to reduce the gross components (increases and decreases) of those numbers.
- (9) Other eurozone - Austria, Estonia, Finland, Latvia, Malta, Slovakia and Slovenia. The Netherlands, though a eurozone country, has been excluded in these country risk tables as the Group is based in the Netherlands.

Credit risk: balance sheet analysis

Sector and geographic concentration

The analysis in this section is provided to support the discussion on credit risk on pages 28 to 38. All disclosures in this section are audited.

The following table provides an analysis of the concentration of financial assets by sector.

	Loans and advances €m	Securities €m	Derivatives €m	Other (1) €m	Total €m
2015					
Central and local government	52	1,249	28	—	1,329
Financial institutions (2)	8,278	696	1,880	614	11,468
Personal	7	—	—	—	7
Corporate	976	215	313	—	1,504
Total gross of provisions	9,313	2,160	2,221	614	14,308
Provisions	(86)	(21)	—	—	(107)
Total	9,227	2,139	2,221	614	14,201
2014					
Central and local government	59	2,093	32	—	2,184
Financial institutions (2)	9,192	1,092	4,033	1,174	15,491
Personal	9	—	—	—	9
Corporate	2,177	77	367	1	2,622
Total gross of provisions	11,437	3,262	4,432	1,175	20,306
Provisions	(114)	(19)	—	—	(133)
Total	11,323	3,243	4,432	1,175	20,173

Notes:

(1) Includes settlement balances of €71 million (2014 - €40 million).

(2) Includes reverse repurchase agreements of €3.4 billion (2014 - €1.1 billion).

Loans and advances to banks and customers by geographical region

The table below analyses loans and advances net of provisions by geographical region (location of office).

	2015 €m	2014 €m
Loans and advances to banks		
Netherlands	4,634	4,013
US	316	—
Rest of world	1,946	3,648
	6,896	7,661
Loans and advances to customers		
Netherlands	686	645
Rest of world	1,507	2,894
	2,193	3,539
Balances with ultimate holding company		
Netherlands	138	123
Total	9,227	11,323

Refer to Credit risk - Country risk for additional disclosures on lending and other exposures by country.

Credit risk: balance sheet analysis continued

Asset quality

The asset quality analysis is based on RBS Group's internal asset quality (AQ) ratings which have ranges for the probability of default. Customers are assigned credit grades that reflect the key drivers of default for the customer type. All credit grades map to both an AQ scale, used for external financial reporting, and a master grading scale, for wholesale exposures used for internal management reporting. Debt securities are analysed by external ratings and are therefore excluded.

The table below details the relationship between internal AQ bands and external ratings published by Standard & Poor's (S&P). It is for illustrative purposes only, applying mainly to wholesale portfolios and does not indicate that exposures reported against S&P ratings either have been or would be assigned those ratings if assessed by S&P.

Internal AQ band	Probability of default range	Indicative S&P rating
Investment grades:		
AQ1 – AQ4	0% - 0.381%	AAA to BBB-
Non-investment grades:		
AQ5 – AQ10	0.0381% - 100%	BB+ to D

Debt securities

The table below analyses debt securities by issuer and external ratings. Ratings are based on the lowest of Standard and Poor's, Moody's and Fitch.

	Central and local government €m	Banks €m	Other financial institutions €m	Corporate €m	Total €m	Total %	Of which ABS (1) €m
2015							
AAA	4	—	—	—	4	0.2	—
AA to AA+	—	—	249	—	249	12.9	—
A to AA-	178	—	148	—	326	17.0	149
BBB- to A-	1,053	—	—	119	1,172	60.9	—
Non-investment grade	14	—	—	—	14	0.7	—
Unrated	—	1	158	—	159	8.3	—
Total	1,249	1	555	119	1,924	100	149

2014

AAA	48	—	—	16	64	2.1	—
AA to AA+	462	—	92	—	554	18.6	—
A to AA-	700	164	154	—	1,018	34.1	154
BBB- to A-	811	—	—	133	944	31.7	—
Non-investment grade	72	15	146	—	233	7.8	—
Unrated	—	135	33	2	170	5.7	26
Total	2,093	314	425	151	2,983	100	180

Note:

(1) Asset-backed securities.

Refer to Credit risk - Country risk for additional disclosures by country.

Financial review Capital and risk management

Sector and geographical regional analyses

The following tables analyse gross loans and advances to customers and the related debt management measures and ratios by sector and geography (by location of office). Gross loans, risk elements in lending (REIL) and provisions exclude amounts relating to businesses held for disposal, consistent with the balance sheet presentation required by IFRS.

	Gross loans €m	REIL €m	Provisions €m	REIL as a % of gross loans %	Provisions as a % of REIL %	Provisions as a % of gross loans %	Impairment releases €m	Amounts written-off €m
2015								
Central and local government	52	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Financial institutions	1,245	15	5	1.2	33	0.4	(8)	—
Personal	7	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Corporate	975	118	79	12.1	67	8.1	(12)	19
Latent impairment	—	—	2	—	—	—	(7)	—
Total	2,279	133	86	5.8	65	3.8	(27)	19
Of which:								
- Netherlands	723	84	37	11.6	44	5.1	(12)	—
- Overseas	1,556	49	49	3.1	100	3.1	(15)	19
Total	2,279	133	86	5.8	65	3.8	(27)	19

2014

Central and local government	59	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Financial institutions	1,408	40	9	2.8	23	0.6	(4)	—
Personal	9	—	—	—	—	—	(22)	—
Corporate	2,177	163	100	7.5	61	4.6	(12)	30
Latent impairment	—	—	5	—	—	—	(10)	—
Total	3,653	203	114	5.6	56	3.1	(48)	30
of which:								
- Netherlands	686	130	41	19.0	32	6.0	(44)	3
- Overseas	2,967	73	73	2.5	100	2.5	(4)	27
Total	3,653	203	114	5.6	56	3.1	(48)	30

Credit risk: balance sheet analysis continued

Available-for-sale debt securities and reserves

The table below analyses available-for-sale (AFS) debt securities by issuer and related AFS reserves net of tax, relating to securities issued by governments and other entities by country.

	2015					2014				
	Government €m	ABS €m	Other €m	Total €m	AFS reserves €m	Government €m	ABS €m	Other €m	Total €m	AFS reserves €m
Netherlands	—	149	—	149	(47)	—	154	99	253	(47)
Spain	—	—	—	—	—	52	—	—	52	(2)
Germany	—	—	—	—	—	111	—	54	165	—
United States	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	19	19	—
France	—	—	—	—	—	254	—	13	267	(11)
Italy	—	—	—	—	—	135	—	—	135	(41)
Belgium	—	—	—	—	—	324	—	9	333	(17)
India	903	—	—	903	2	533	—	—	533	—
Austria	—	—	—	—	—	208	—	113	321	(2)
China	178	—	—	178	—	266	—	46	312	(2)
Other	17	—	367	384	31	64	—	195	259	55
Total	1,098	149	367	1,614	(14)	1,947	154	548	2,649	(67)

Key point

- The increase in exposure to India of €370 million to €903 million reflects the reinvestment of the proceeds of the sale of the International Diamond and Jewellery Group on behalf of ABN AMRO Bank which was completed during the year.

Market risk

Definition

Market risk is the risk of losses arising from fluctuations in interest rates, credit spreads, foreign currency rates, equity prices, commodity prices and other factors, such as market-implied volatilities, that may lead to a reduction in earnings, economic value or both.

The Group is exposed to traded market risk through its trading activities and to non-traded market risk as a result of its banking activities. In many respects, it manages its traded and non-traded market risk exposures separately, largely in line with the regulatory definitions of the trading and non-trading books.

Sources of risk

The Group is exposed to traded market risk through its trading activities and to non-traded market risk as a result of its banking activities. In many respects, it manages its traded and non-traded market risk exposures separately, as described in this section, largely in line with the regulatory definitions of the trading and non-trading books.

Traded market risk

The primary objective of the Group's trading activities is to provide a range of financing, risk management and investment services to its customers - including major corporations and financial institutions around the world. From a market risk perspective, the trading activities are included within the following markets: currencies; emerging markets; rates; asset-backed products; and traded credit.

The Group undertakes transactions in financial instruments including debt securities, loans, deposits and equities, as well as securities financing and derivatives.

Some of these transactions involve trading or clearing financial instruments on an exchange, including interest rate swaps, futures and options. Holders of these instruments provide margin on a daily basis with cash or other security at the exchange.

Other products are not transacted on an exchange. Of these over-the-counter transactions, those with standard terms may be cleared through central counterparties, while those that are more complex are settled directly with the counterparty and may give rise to counterparty credit risk. For more information on the management of counterparty credit risk, refer to the Credit risk section on page 31.

Non-traded market risk

The majority of non-traded market risk exposure from assets and liabilities that are not classified as held for trading.

Non-traded market risk is largely managed in line with the following two key categories: non-traded interest rate risk and non-traded foreign exchange risk.

*unaudited

Interest rate risk

Non-traded interest rate risk (NTIRR) arises from the provision to customers of a range of banking products that have differing interest rate characteristics. When aggregated, these products form portfolios of assets and liabilities with varying degrees of sensitivity to changes in market interest rates. Mismatches in these characteristics can give rise to volatility in net interest income as interest rates vary.

Foreign exchange risk

Non-traded foreign exchange risk exposures for the Group arise from two main sources:

- *Structural foreign exchange risk* - arising from the capital deployed in foreign subsidiaries, branches and joint arrangements and related currency funding where it differs from the euro; and
- *Transactional foreign exchange risk* - arising from customer transactions and profits and losses that are in a currency other than the functional currency of the transacting operation.

Risk governance*

RBS Group's Market Risk function is responsible for identifying, measuring, monitoring and controlling the market risk arising from both trading and non-trading activities.

For general information on risk governance, refer to the Risk governance section on page 10.

More specific information on the governance, management and measurement of traded and non-traded market risk is provided in each of the dedicated sections below.

Risk appetite*

Market risk appetite is the level of market risk that the Group accepts when pursuing its business objectives, taking into account stressed scenarios. The Group has a comprehensive structure and controls in place aimed at ensuring that this appetite is not exceeded. The Group's risk appetite is aligned with that of RBS Group.

RBS Group's qualitative market risk appetite is set out in policy statements.

Its quantitative market risk appetite is expressed in terms of limits for the trading and non-trading activities that are consistent with business plans.

RBS Group's Director of Market Risk cascades the limits further down the organisation as required. For each trading business, a document known as a dealing authority compiles details of all applicable limits and trading restrictions.

The limit framework at RBS Group level comprises VaR, stressed value-at-risk (SVaR) and sensitivity and stress limits. The limit framework at trading unit level also comprises additional metrics that are specific to the market risk exposures within its scope. These additional metrics aim to control various risk dimensions such as product type, exposure size, aged inventory, currency and tenor.

Market risk continued

The limits are reviewed to reflect changes in risk appetite, business plans, portfolio composition and the market and economic environments.

To ensure approved limits are not breached and that RBS Group remains within its risk appetite, triggers at RBS Group and lower levels have been set such that if exposures exceed a specified level, action plans are developed by the front office, Market Risk and Finance.

For further information on risk appetite, refer to page 16.

Risk controls and assurance

For information on risk controls and assurance, refer to page 15.

Traded market risk

Risk assessment

Identification and assessment of traded market risk is achieved through gathering, analysing, monitoring and reporting market risk information by business line or at a consolidated level. Industry expertise, continued system developments and techniques such as stress testing are also used to enhance the effectiveness of the identification and assessment of all material market risks.

This is complemented by the New Product Risk Assessment process, which requires market risk teams to assess and quantify the market risk associated with all proposed new products.

Risk monitoring


The Group's traded market risk exposures are monitored against limits and analysed daily by market risk reporting and control functions. A daily report that summarises the Group's market risk exposures against the limits is sent to the Group Head of Market Risk.

The market risk function also prepares daily risk reports that detail exposures against a more granular set of limits and triggers.

Finally, a market risk update is included in the monthly risk management report sent to the Group Risk and Control Committee (RCC).

Risk measurement

RBS Group uses a comprehensive set of methodologies and techniques to measure traded market risk.



The main measurement methods are VaR and SVaR. Risks that are not adequately captured by these model methodologies are captured by the risks not in VaR (RNIV) framework to ensure that RBS Group is adequately capitalised for market risk. In addition, stress testing is used to identify any vulnerabilities and potential losses in excess of VaR and SVaR.

The key inputs into these measurement methods are market data and sensitivities. Sensitivities refer to the changes in deal or portfolio value that result from small changes in market parameters that are subject to the market risk limit framework.

These methods have been designed to capture correlation effects and allow RBS Group to form an aggregated view of its traded market risk across risk types, markets and business lines while also taking into account the characteristics of each risk type.

*unaudited

Value-at-risk*

VaR is a statistical estimate of the potential change in the market value of a portfolio (and, thus, the impact on the income statement) over a specified time horizon at a given confidence level.

For internal risk management purposes, VaR assumes a time horizon of one trading day and a confidence level of 99%. RBS Group's VaR model is based on a historical simulation, utilising market data from the previous 500 days on an equally weighted basis.

At the Group level, a different VaR model is employed. It remains based on a historical simulation model and assumes a time horizon of one trading day and a confidence level of 99%. However, it uses 401 observations of historical market data exponentially weighted with a weighted average history of six months.

The internal VaR model captures the potential impact on the income statement of the following risk factors:

- Interest rate risk - which arises from the impact of changes in interest rates and volatilities on cash instruments and derivatives. This includes interest rate tenor basis risk and cross-currency basis risk.
- Credit spread risk - which arises from the impact of changes in the credit spreads of sovereign bonds, corporate bonds, securitised products and credit derivatives.
- Currency risk - which arises from the impact of changes in currency rates and volatilities.
- Equity risk - which arises from the impact of changes in equity prices, volatilities and dividend yields.
- Commodity risk - which arises from the impact of changes in commodity prices and volatilities.

The following types of risk - which are components of the above-mentioned factors - are also considered:

- Basis risk - which is the risk that imperfect correlation between two instruments in a hedging strategy creates the potential for excess gains or losses, thus adding risk to the position;
- Prepayment risk - which is the risk associated with early unscheduled return of principal on a fixed rate security; and
- Inflation risk - which is the risk of a decrease in the value of instruments as a result of changes in inflation rates and associated volatilities.

1-day 99% traded internal VaR

The table below analyses the internal VaR for the Group's trading portfolios segregated by type of market risk exposure.

	2015				2014			
	Average €m	Period end €m	Maximum €m	Minimum €m	Average €m	Period end €m	Maximum €m	Minimum €m
Interest rate	2.0	1.9	2.7	1.3	2.1	1.8	4.8	1.1
Credit spread	1.8	1.5	2.0	1.5	2.1	1.8	4.0	0.1
Currency	1.6	1.4	4.4	1.1	1.4	1.5	3.0	0.6
Equity	-	-	0.2	-	0.5	0.2	1.3	0.1
Commodity	-	-	-	-	0.4	0.7	1.0	0.1
Diversification (1)		(1.9)				(3.3)		
Total	3.3	2.9	4.5	2.5	3.2	2.7	5.7	2.0

Note:

(1) The Group benefits from diversification, which reflects the risk reduction achieved by allocating investments across various financial instrument types, industry counterparties, currencies and regions. The extent of diversification benefit depends on the correlation between the assets and risk factors in the portfolio at a particular time.

Key point

- Average total VaR utilisation remained at similar low levels to 2014.

*unaudited

Market risk continued

VaR limitations*

Historical VaR and the Group's implementation of this risk measurement methodology have a number of known limitations, as summarised below, and the Group's VaR should be interpreted in light of these. The approach taken is to supplement VaR with other risk metrics that address these limitations to ensure appropriate coverage of all material market risks.

Historical simulation VaR may not provide the best estimate of future market movements. It can only provide a forecast of portfolio losses based on events that occurred in the past. The Group model uses the previous 401 days of data; this period represents a balance between model responsiveness to recent shocks and risk factor data coverage.

The use of a 99% confidence level VaR statistic does not provide information about losses beyond this level, usually referred to as 'tail' risks. These risks are more appropriately assessed using measures such as Stressed VaR and stress testing.

The use of a one-day time horizon does not fully capture the profit and loss implications of positions that cannot be liquidated or hedged within one day. This may not fully reflect market risk at times of severe illiquidity in the market when a one-day period may be insufficient to liquidate or hedge positions fully. Thus, the regulatory VaR that is used for modelled market risk capital uses a ten-day time horizon.

Finally, volatile market conditions, such as those experienced in 2015, can lead to new risk factors emerging. This issue is addressed by using a combination of proxy risk factors and the RNIV framework to supplement the VaR model.

VaR validation*

Within RBS Group, quantitative analysis is used to:

- Perform internal back-testing to complement the regulatory back-testing; and
- Identify risks not adequately captured in VaR, and ensure that such risks are addressed via the RNIV framework (refer to page 47).

In addition, as part of ongoing risk management, any market or portfolio weaknesses that could become significant are identified.

The VaR model is also subject to independent reviews carried out by Model Risk Management (refer to page 15).

As well as being an important market risk measurement and control tool, the VaR model is also used to determine a significant component of the market risk capital requirement. Therefore, it is subject to not only ongoing internal review and validation but also regulator-prescribed back-testing.

VaR back-testing*

The main approach employed to assess the ongoing performance of the VaR model is back-testing, which counts the number of days when a loss exceeds the corresponding daily VaR estimate, measured at a 99% confidence level.

Two types of profit and loss (P&L) are used in back-testing comparisons: Actual P&L and Hypothetical (Hypo) P&L.

The Actual P&L for a particular business day is the firm's actual P&L for that day in respect of the trading activities within the scope of the firm's regulatory VaR model, including any intraday activities, adjusted by stripping out fees and commissions, brokerage, and additions to and releases from reserves that are not directly related to market risk.

The Hypo P&L reflects the firm's Actual P&L excluding any intra-day activities.

A portfolio is said to produce a back-testing exception when the Actual or Hypo P&L exceeds the VaR level on a given day. Such an event may be caused by a large market movement or may highlight issues such as missing risk factors or inappropriate time series. Any such issues identified are analysed and addressed through taking appropriate remediation or development action. The Group monitors both Actual and Hypo back-testing exceptions.

Back-testing at the legal entity level is performed and reported on 1-day 99% regulatory VaR. Back-testing at lower-level portfolios is performed on 1-day 99% internal VaR.

The VaR model is categorised as green, amber or red. A green model is consistent with a good working model and is achieved for models that have four or fewer back-testing exceptions over a period of 250 business days. For the Group's trading book, a green model status was maintained throughout 2015.

SVaR*

As with VaR, the SVaR technique produces estimates of the potential change in the market value of a portfolio, over a specified time horizon, at a given confidence level. SVaR is a VaR-based measure using historical data from a one-year period of stressed market conditions.

The risk system simulates 99% VaR on the current portfolio for each 250-day period from 1 January 2005 to the current VaR date, moving forward one day at a time. The SVaR is the worst VaR outcome of the simulated results.

This is in contrast with VaR, which is based on a rolling 401-day historical data set. For the purposes of both internal risk management and regulatory SVaR calculation, a time horizon of ten trading days is assumed with a confidence level of 99%.

*unaudited

*Risks not in VaR (RNIV)**

The RNIV approach is used for market risks that are insufficiently captured by the VaR and SVaR model methodologies, for example due to a lack of suitable historical data. The RNIV framework has been developed to quantify these market risks and to ensure that the Group holds adequate capital.

The need for an RNIV calculation is typically identified in one of the following two circumstances: (i) as part of the New Product Risk Assessment process, when a risk manager assesses that the associated risk is not adequately captured by the VaR model; or (ii) as a result of a recommendation made by Model Risk Management or the model validation team when reviewing the VaR model.

The RNIV calculations provide a capital estimate of risks not captured in the VaR model and are regularly reported and discussed with senior management and the regulator. The methodology used in the material RNIV calculations is internally reviewed by Model Risk. Where appropriate, risk managers set sensitivity limits to control specific risk factors giving rise to the RNIV. RNIV calculations form an integral part of the Group's ongoing model and data improvement efforts to capture all market risks in scope for model approval in VaR and SVaR.

The Group adopts two approaches for the quantification of RNIVs:

- A VaR/SVaR approach. Under this approach, two values are calculated: (i) the VaR RNIV; and (ii) the SVaR RNIV.
- A stress-scenario approach. Under this approach, an assessment of ten-day extreme, but plausible, market moves is used in combination with position sensitivities to give a stress-type loss number - the stress-based RNIV value.

For each legal entity covered by RBS Group's PRA VaR approval, RNIV amounts are aggregated to obtain the following three measures: (i) Total VaR RNIV; (ii) Total SVaR RNIV; and (iii) Total stress-based RNIV. In each of these categories, potential diversification benefits between RNIVs are ignored.

*Stress testing**

The Group undertakes daily market risk stress testing to identify vulnerabilities and potential losses in excess of or not captured in VaR. The calculated stresses measure the impact of changes in risk factors on the fair values of the Group's trading and available-for-sale portfolios.

The Group conducts scenario-based sensitivity analysis and historical, macroeconomic and vulnerability-based stress testing.

Scenario-based sensitivity analysis measures the sensitivity of the current portfolio to defined movements in market risk factors. These risk factor movements and the resulting valuation changes are typically smaller than those considered in other stress tests.

*unaudited

Historical stress testing is a measure that is used for internal management. Using the historical simulation framework used for VaR, the current portfolio is stressed using historical data since 1 January 2005. The methodology simulates the impact of the 99.9 percentile loss that would be incurred by historical risk factor movements over the period, assuming variable holding periods specific to the risk factors and the businesses.

Historical stress tests form part of the Group market risk limit framework and stress test exposures are discussed with senior management and relevant information is reported to the Group Risk and Control Committee (RCC), the RBS Group Board and the Group Managing Board. Breaches in the Group's market risk stress testing limits are monitored and reported.

RBS Group carries out macroeconomic stress tests periodically as part of the firm-wide, cross-risk capital planning process. The scenario narratives are translated into risk factor shocks using historical events and insights by economists, risk managers and the front office. Market risk stress results are combined with those for other risks into the capital plan that is presented to the RBS Group Board. The cross-risk capital planning process is conducted twice a year, in April/May and October/November, with a planning horizon of five years. The scenario narratives cover both regulatory scenarios and macroeconomic scenarios identified by the firm.

Vulnerability-based stress testing begins with the analysis of a portfolio and expresses the key vulnerabilities of the portfolio in terms of plausible, so-called vulnerability scenarios under which the portfolio would suffer material losses. These scenarios can be historical, macroeconomic or forward-looking/hypothetical. Vulnerability-based stress testing is used for internal management information and is not subject to limits. However, relevant scenarios are reported to senior management.

Market risk regulatory capital*

Regulatory treatment

The market risks subject to capital requirements under Pillar 1 are primarily interest rate, credit spread and equity risks in the trading book and foreign exchange and commodity risks in both the trading and non-trading books. Interest rate and equity risks are split between general and specific risks. General risks represent market risks due to a move in a market as a whole, such as a main index or yield curve, while specific risks represent market risks arising from events particular to an underlying issuer.

RBS Group uses two broad methodologies to calculate its market risk capital charge: (i) the non-modelled approach, whereby regulator-prescribed rules are applied, and (ii) the internal model approach, where, subject to regulatory approval, a model such as VaR is used to calculate the capital charge.

The Group's VaR model has been approved by the DNB to calculate regulatory capital for the trading book via a scaling approach of 10 days. Its SVaR model has also been approved by the DNB for use in the capital requirement calculation.

Market risk continued

The regulatory VaR and SVaR differ from internal VaR and SVaR as they cover only regulator-approved products, locations and legal entities. VaR and SVaR capture general and specific risks but not risks arising from the impact of defaults and rating changes associated with traded credit products and their derivatives.

The Incremental Risk Charge (IRC) model captures risks arising from defaults and rating changes for the more liquid traded credit instruments and their derivatives held in the trading book. It is calculated over a one-year horizon to a 99.9% confidence level, and therefore represents a 1-in-1,000 loss over the following year.

Valuation and independent price verification

Within RBS Group, traders are responsible for marking-to-market their trading book positions daily, ensuring that assets and liabilities in the trading book are measured at their fair value. Any profits or losses on the revaluation of positions are recognised daily.

Product controllers are responsible for ensuring that independent price verification processes are in place covering all trading book positions held by their business. Independent price verification and trader supervision are the key controls over front office marking of positions.

Model validation*

RBS Group uses a variety of models to manage and measure market risk. These include pricing models (used for valuation of positions) and risk models (for risk measurement and capital calculation purposes). They are developed in both RBS Group-level and lower-level functions and are subject to independent review and sign-off.

For general information on the independent model validation carried out by Model Risk Management (MRM), which applies also to market risk models (including VaR), refer to page 15. Additional details relating to pricing and market risk models are presented below.

Pricing models

Pricing models are developed by a dedicated front office quantitative team, in conjunction with the trading desk. They are used for the valuation of positions for which prices are not directly observable and for the risk management of the portfolio.

Any pricing models that are used as the basis for valuing books and records are subject to approval and oversight by asset-level modelled product review committees.

These committees comprise representatives of the major stakeholders in the valuation process - trading, finance, market risk, model development and model review functions.

The review process comprises the following steps:

- The committees prioritise models for review by MRM, considering the materiality of the risk booked against the model and an assessment of the degree of model risk, that is the valuation uncertainty arising from the choice of modelling assumptions.
- MRM quantifies the model risk by comparing front office model outputs with those of alternative models independently developed by MRM.
- The sensitivities derived from the pricing models are validated.
- The conclusions of the review are used by MRM to inform risk limits and by Finance to inform model reserves.

Risk models

All model changes are approved through model governance committees at franchise level. Changes to existing models that have an impact on VaR exceeding 5% at legal entity level or 15% at a major business level are also subject to MRM review and sign-off as are all model changes that require regulator approval before implementation.

MRM's independent oversight provides additional assurance that RBS Group holds appropriate capital for the market risk to which it is exposed.

In addition to MRM's independent oversight, the model testing team monitors the model performance for market risk through back-testing, which is discussed in more detail on page 46, and other processes.

*unaudited

Non-traded market risk

Risk governance

The Group manages non-traded market risk separately for non-traded interest rate risk and non-traded foreign exchange risk.

The Executive Risk Forum (ERF) approves the non-traded market risk framework. The non-traded market risk policy statement sets out the governance and risk management framework through effective identification, measurement, reporting, mitigation, monitoring and control.

The key models used for managing non-traded market risk benefit from the validation process described on page 48.

Interest rate risk

The Group policy is to manage interest rate sensitivity in banking book portfolios within defined risk limits. Interest rate risk is transferred from the businesses to Group Treasury. Aggregate positions are then hedged externally using cash and derivative instruments, primarily interest rate swaps, to manage exposures within the Group Asset and Liability Management Committee approved limits. The Group is required to manage non-traded interest rate risk (NTIRR) through transactions with RBS plc to the greatest extent possible.

Residual risk positions are routinely reported to ALCo, the Managing and Supervisory Boards and the RCC.

Key measures used to evaluate NTIRR are subjected to approval granted by the ALCo. Limits on NTIRR are set according to the Non-Trading Interest Rate and Foreign Currency Risk Policy Statement and are subject to Group ALCo approval.

NTIRR is measured using a version of the same Value at Risk (VaR) methodology that is used by RBS Group. VaR metrics are based on interest rate repricing gap reports as at the reporting date. These incorporate customer products and associated funding and hedging transactions as well as non-financial assets and liabilities such as property, equipment, capital and reserves. Behavioural assumptions are applied as appropriate.

NTIRR one-day VaR at 99% confidence level for the Group's retail and commercial banking activities was as follows. The figures exclude the banking books of Short Term Markets and Finance (STMF), which are managed by the traded market risk function.

	Average €m	Period end €m	Maximum €m	Minimum €m
2015	3.1	1.7	6.0	1.7
2014	8.6	6.3	9.9	5.4

Key point

- The Group's exposure to interest rate risk fell from end-2014 to end-2015 as a result of shorter maturity assumptions for some capital securities.

Market risk continued

Structural foreign currency exposures

The Group does not maintain material non-trading open currency positions, other than the structural foreign currency translation exposures arising from its investments in foreign subsidiaries and associated undertakings and their related currency funding.

The table below sets out the Group's structural foreign currency exposures.

	Net investments in foreign operations (1) €m	Net investment hedges €m	Structural foreign currency exposures €m
2015			
US dollar	1,040	(165)	875
Pound sterling	392	(228)	164
Saudi Arabian riyal	1,154	(1,154)	—
Other non-euro	935	(789)	146
	3,521	(2,336)	1,185
2014			
US dollar	1,047	(687)	360
Pound sterling	277	(215)	62
Saudi Arabian riyal	946	(935)	11
Other non-euro	1,079	(835)	244
	3,349	(2,672)	677

Note:

(1) Includes non-controlling interests.

Key points

- The Group's structural foreign currency exposure at 31 December 2015 was €1.2 billion, an increase of €0.5 billion from 31 December 2014.
- Net investments in foreign operations increased by €0.2 billion. Hedging decreased by €0.3 billion driven by a reduction in US dollar hedging.
- Changes in foreign currency exchange rates will affect equity in proportion to structural foreign currency exposure. A 10% movement in foreign currencies against the euro would result in a gain of €132 million (2014 - €75 million), while a 10% weakening would result in a loss of €108 million (2014 - €62 million) in equity.

	Page
Introduction	52
Corporate Governance in the Netherlands	52
Approval of Annual Report	52
Boards and Committees	53
The report of the Supervisory Board	53
The report of the Managing Board	55
Code of conduct	57
Sustainability	57
Relations with shareholders	57
Employees	57
The Dutch Banking Code (Code Banken)	59
Management's report on internal control over financial reporting under the Dutch Corporate Governance Code	61
Management's report on the Annual Report 2015 for purposes of Section 5:25 sub 2 Financial Supervision Act	61

Introduction

Corporate governance is conducted on an overall basis within RBS N.V., therefore discussion in relation to RBSH Group is relevant for RBS N.V..

The Group maintains high standards of corporate governance and its operations are guided by its code of conduct.

In order to achieve good corporate governance the Group organises the business in a way that promotes first-class stewardship by the Managing Board and effective supervision by the Supervisory Board. Integrity, transparency and accountability are key elements of the Group's corporate governance and they are embedded in the Group's business as a whole. These key elements ensure that the controls and oversight necessary for effective risk management, compliance with regulations, and accurate and complete disclosure of information to the market are effective.

The Supervisory Board of the Group has prepared its annual report which is included on pages 53 and 54 of this report. The report provides an overview of its activities during 2015.

Corporate governance in the Netherlands Dutch Corporate Governance Code

As a result of its delisting in 2008, RBS Holdings is no longer required to adhere to the Dutch Corporate Governance Code. The Group ensures proper corporate governance by focusing on the Dutch Banking Code (Code Banken) which contains specific corporate governance rules for banks. However the Group adheres where possible with the Dutch Corporate Governance Code.

The Dutch Banking Code (Code Banken)

The Code Banken came into force on 1 January 2010 and was updated on 1 January 2015. It requires banks to either comply with the Code Banken or explain any deviation from it. The Code Banken is applicable to RBS N.V. as it has a banking licence issued under the Dutch Financial Supervision Act. A further explanation on compliance with the updated Code Banken, is provided on page 59 of this report.

Capital Requirements Directive IV (CRD IV)

On 1 January 2014, CRD IV came into force in the European Union. The Directive contains several Corporate Governance related requirements, which were implemented in Dutch law on 1 August 2014. It forces banks to set up separate Audit, Nomination and Remuneration Committee for its Supervisory Board. The has implemented this requirement by allocating specific responsibilities on the three mentioned areas to the entire Supervisory Board.

European Banking Authority (EBA) guidelines on internal governance

The EBA has issued guidelines relating to internal governance arrangements of credit institutions. These take into account weaknesses identified in the financial crisis and build upon the Committee of European Banking Supervisors (CEBS) Guidelines.

The EBA guidelines are implemented by the local competent authorities, which is the Dutch Central Bank. The Group adheres to the implemented guidelines.

DNB Principles on Sound Remuneration

The principles of sound compensation policies were implemented by the publication of the Regulation by the Dutch Central Bank on Sound Compensation Policies for Financial Institutions, which came into effect on 1 January 2011 and was updated in 2014. The Group adheres fully to these principles.

On 7 February 2015, the Wet belongingsbeleid financiële ondernemingen ('Wbfo') entered into force. With this new legislation, the Dutch government has introduced a broad set of rules to ensure that financial services companies carry out a sound remuneration policy and avoid payment of excessive variable remuneration. Headline measure is the 20% bonus cap. The Group adheres fully to these principles.

Further details of the remuneration policy can be found in the RBS Group's 2015 Annual Report & Accounts, available at www.rbs.com.

Approval of Annual Report

The Managing Board approved the Annual Report at its meeting on 28 April 2016. The Supervisory Board approved the Annual Report at its meeting on 28 April 2016. The Group has proposed to its Shareholders that it adopts the 2015 financial statements, as included in this Annual Report, and discharge the Managing Board and Supervisory Board in respect of their management and supervision respectively.

Boards and Committees

RBS Holdings and RBS N.V. are public limited liability companies incorporated under the laws of the Netherlands. Both companies have a two-tier system of corporate governance; consisting of a Supervisory Board and a Managing Board. The day to day management of the companies is vested with the Managing Board supervised by the Supervisory Board. The members of the Managing Board and Supervisory Board of RBS Holdings and RBS N.V. are the same.

From October 2015, business ownership has been allocated to Capital Resolution. As a result, the activities of the Group are reported under Capital Resolution from a RBS Group perspective.

The report of the Supervisory Board

Dear Reader,

It is with great pleasure that I present the 2015 report of the Supervisory Board. This report provides an overview of the tasks and the activities of the Board during 2015.

Work continues to further de risk the Group.

The Supervisory Board had oversight of the execution of this strategy, working together with the Managing Board through meetings and conference calls. The Supervisory Board challenged the Managing Board on client focus, the timing and speed at which the further derisking is taking place and the potential impact on the bank's international network. The corporate strategy was regularly discussed at the Supervisory Board meetings in 2015.

Succession planning for the Managing Board and senior management was another important subject addressed by the Supervisory Board through the execution of the integration activities. This has been evidenced by the appointment of Mr Idzard van Eeghen as Chairman of the Managing Board (in addition to his role as Chief Risk Officer), as a replacement for Mr Jan de Ruiter, who stepped down on the 31 July 2015.

The tasks of the Supervisory Board

The main task of the Supervisory Board is to supervise the Managing Board, as well as the general affairs of RBS Holdings and the entities connected to it. Furthermore, it assists and advises management and supervises the corporate governance structure of RBS Holdings.

In performing their duties, the members of the Supervisory Board are guided by the interests of RBSH Group and the businesses connected to it and shall take into account the relevant interests of RBSH Group's stakeholders. Certain powers are vested in the Supervisory Board, including the approval of certain resolutions by the Managing Board. A complete overview of the powers vested with the Supervisory Board can be found in the Rules governing the Supervisory Board's Principles and best Practices, which are published on the RBSH Group's website at www.rbs.nl. These rules are also applicable to the Supervisory Board of RBS N.V. and include provisions on Risk & Audit items that were previously discussed in the separate Risk & Audit Committee of the Supervisory Board. They now also include rules in relation to specific remuneration and nomination topics as prescribed by the implementation of CRD IV in the Netherlands.

Members of the Supervisory Board

The Supervisory Board is an independent corporate body. Members of the Supervisory Board are appointed at the General Meeting of Shareholders. For each vacant seat the Supervisory Board nominates one or more candidates. Under the Dutch Corporate Governance Code, which is mandatory for listed companies and which the RBSH Group adheres to where possible, all members of the Supervisory Board must be independent with the exception of not more than one person. RBSH Group is not compliant with that standard. RBSH Group has three Supervisory Board members who cannot be considered as independent within the scope of the Dutch Corporate Governance Code. Therefore an independent member, Mr Maarten Klessens, was appointed to the Supervisory Board on 2 September 2015.

Also, the Chairman and Vice-Chairman of the Supervisory Board are appointed by the Supervisory Board from among its members.

The Supervisory Board confirms that its current composition has the necessary experience, expertise and independence to ensure that its members are able to properly execute their duties. All appointments were made in accordance with the Supervisory Board profile resulting in the current composition of the board. The Supervisory Board is of the opinion that the addition of a female Supervisory Board member would be beneficial to its functioning and would then align with the RBSG Group policy on diversity and inclusion. The Supervisory Board will therefore strive to identify and nominate a female candidate on the company's Supervisory Board if a vacancy should arise.

Supervisory Board members are appointed for a term of four years and may be re-appointed after that term, with a maximum term of 12 years from the date of their first appointment. In addition, each member is required to resign at the first General Meeting of Shareholders after reaching the age of 70.

Candidates recommended for appointment or re-appointment to the Supervisory Board must meet the criteria of the membership profile, which are set out in the Rules Governing the Supervisory Board's Principles and Best Practices of RBS Holdings, which are also applicable to the Supervisory Board of RBS N.V..

Newly appointed Supervisory Board members undertake an induction programme. New Supervisory Board members receive relevant documentation necessary for their role in RBSH Group and undertake a series of meetings with management of RBSH Group to gain insight and understanding of RBSH Group and its enterprises. The programme is tailor-made and is adjusted to the specific needs of each new Supervisory Board member.

In case of a (potential) conflict of interest of material significance between a member of the Supervisory Board and RBS Holdings, the Chairman of the Supervisory Board shall be notified. If the Chairman of the Supervisory Board has a (potential) conflict of interest of material significance, the Vice-Chairman is notified. The Supervisory Board member concerned will not take part in the assessment by the Supervisory Board where a conflict of interest exists. During 2015, no conflicts of interest have arisen.

Details of the remuneration of the Supervisory Board can be found on page 118.

Activities of the Supervisory Board

Risk and audit topics are discussed on a regular basis and a report with deliberations and findings is prepared for each regular meeting. In addition, specific nomination and remuneration topics are discussed on a regular basis. The members of the Supervisory Board collectively have sufficient accounting and financial management expertise to understand the Group's business, financial statements and risk profile.

The Supervisory Board works alongside RBS Group Remuneration Committee to ensure the implementation of a restrained and long-term remuneration policy which is aligned with the organisation's strategy and risk appetite, whilst also catering for an evermore globalising industry. The Supervisory Board extensively discussed remuneration at one of its meetings, highlighting the focus that this topic continues to receive in the organisation. In addition, specific Remuneration provisions have been included into the Rules of the Supervisory Board.

The Supervisory Board met on five occasions during 2015, either in person or by telephone conference. Only on a few occasions the members were also asked to give their approval on a few matters via email procedure.

The assessment of the functioning of the Managing Board members and Supervisory Board members took place in the fourth quarter of 2015 /beginning of 2016.

Composition of the Supervisory Board

The members of the Supervisory Board as at 28 April 2016 are as follows:

		Date of first appointment	Date for re-election
Ewen Stevenson (Chairman)	(50, British/New Zealand, male)	11 August 2014	11 August 2018
Christopher Campbell (Vice-Chairman)	(57, British, male)	23 June 2011	23 June 2019
John Cummins	(54, British, male)	1 October 2013	1 October 2017
Maarten Klessens	(57 Dutch, male)	2 September 2015	2 September 2019

Furthermore, the Supervisory Board has determined that Mr Ewen Stevenson possesses the necessary relevant expertise in financial administration and accounting for listed companies and other large companies and therefore qualifies as a financial expert within the meaning of the Dutch Corporate Governance Code. It has also been determined that Mr Ewen Stevenson qualifies as an audit committee financial expert in accordance with Section 407 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act.

The Chairman of the Supervisory Board and the Company Secretary prepared the agenda for the meetings of the Supervisory Board in close co-operation with the Chairman of the Managing Board.

The Supervisory Board reviewed and adopted the full year 2014 results at its meeting on 24 April 2015 and reviewed and adopted the half-year financial report 2015 on 27 August 2015. The Board reviewed regulatory, control and audit issues, including Sarbanes-Oxley Act 404 compliance in these meetings.

Throughout 2015, the Supervisory Board received regular updates on the further de-risking of the RBSH Group. The financial performance of the Group was extensively discussed during a number of Supervisory Board meetings, which were attended by members of the Managing Board who presented the results. Also, relevant members discussed the findings of the internal and external auditors.

Comprehensive information provided by the Managing Board and reviewed by the Supervisory Board gave a clear picture of the Group's risks, results, capital and liquidity position.

The strategy of the Group was determined in conjunction with the strategy of RBS Group and was adopted by the Supervisory Board during its meeting on 9 April 2010 and further updated in their meeting of 8 April 2011. Regular updates were provided during 2015.

The Shareholder reappointed Deloitte Accountants B.V. as the external auditors of RBS Holdings for the statutory reporting of the 2015 financial year. Deloitte LLP was appointed as the auditor for the 2015 20-F filing. On April 22, 2016, the supervisory board of the Group approved the nomination of Ernst & Young Accountants LLP, the Netherlands as the external auditors of RBS Holdings for the statutory reporting of the 2016 financial year and Ernst & Young LLP, United Kingdom as the independent registered public accounting firm ("external auditor") for the Company and its subsidiaries for the year ending December 31, 2016 and in connection with the Company's annual report on Form 20-F for the year ended December 31, 2016. Subsequently, the shareholders approved the appointment on the same date.

All members of the Supervisory Board have complied with the requirement to attend meetings on a frequent basis.

Ewen Stevenson - Chairman of the Supervisory Board

Mr Stevenson became Chief Financial Officer of The RBS Group in May 2014. He is a member of the RBS Group Board and the RBS Group Executive Committee.

Mr Stevenson was previously at Credit Suisse for 25 years where he was latterly co-Head of the EMEA Investment Banking Division and Co-Head of the Global Financial Institutions Group. Mr Stevenson has over 20 years of experience advising the banking sector while at Credit Suisse.

Mr Stevenson has a Bachelor of Commerce and Administration majoring in Accountancy and a Bachelor of Law from Victoria University of Wellington, New Zealand.

Christopher Campbell - Vice-Chairman of the Supervisory Board

Mr Campbell joined RBS Group in August 2005 as Deputy General Counsel and Director, Group Legal and became Group General Counsel in May 2010, in which role Mr Campbell had overall responsibility for advising the RBS Group Board and Executive Committee and for the provision of legal support to all of RBS Group's business globally. Mr Campbell retired from his executive role with RBS Group with effect from 31 March 2015 but continues to be associated with the bank as a non-executive director of Ulster Bank Limited and as a member of the Supervisory Board of RBS Holdings and RBS N.V..

Prior to joining RBS Group, Mr Campbell was a partner for 18 years in Scotland's largest law firm, Dundas & Wilson, and was Managing Partner from 1996 until he joined RBS Group.

John Cummins

In 2007, Mr Cummins joined RBS Group as Group Treasurer. He is responsible for the management of the RBS Group's capital, liquidity, and structural FX & interest rate risk and his role also includes responsibility for funding the RBS Group balance sheet, and the management of the RBS Group's capital and resources policies.

Prior to this Mr Cummins managed the Treasury function for Standard Life Assurance Group and acted as interim Finance Director whilst at Standard Life Bank.

From 2013 - Mr Cummins was appointed a Trustee for the Sabin Foundation Europe, a UK-registered charity founded in 2011 to support vaccine research and development, advocacy efforts and treatment programs for vaccine - preventable and neglected tropical disease.

Between 2006 - 2012 Mr Cummins was appointed Director of the International Finance Facility for Immunisation (IFFIm), a AAA rated development institution. The IFFIm Board is responsible for the management of the International Finance Facility for Immunisation Company, registered as a UK Charity. IFFIm has raised over \$4 billion for vaccines in the developing world.

Mr Cummins holds an MA in Modern History from Oxford University and an MBA from Bradford University. He completed the Corporate Finance Course at London Business School and attended the Risk Management in Banking programme at INSEAD.

Maarten Klessens

Mr Klessens was appointed as a member of the Supervisory Board on 2 September 2015. Mr. Klessens started his career in finance with ABN in 1986, in structured aircraft finance. In 1997 he was appointed corporate Executive Vice President for ABN AMRO and had subsequent responsibilities in wholesale product teams, client management and Group Risk. For 12 years he was a voting member of ABN AMRO's Group Risk Committee. From 2011 he was acting head of Global Country Risk for RBS Group and was responsible for country appetite setting and exposure management with special attention for the financial stress in the Eurozone periphery. He was senior advisor Benelux for StormHarbour Securities LLP, London in 2014- 2015.

Mr. Klessens is chairing the board in The Netherlands of 'Future Hope' a NGO in Calcutta and has been member and chairman of foundation 'Multiple Sclerosis Research' Netherlands. Mr. Klessens holds a post graduate in Financial Economics of Tilburg University and a master in Business Economics of Erasmus University Rotterdam and has had executive training at IMD, INSEAD and University of Michigan.

Ewen Stevenson
Chairman of the Supervisory Board

The report of the Managing Board

The members of the Managing Board of RBS N.V. collectively manage RBS N.V. and are responsible for the general affairs of RBS N.V. business and general affairs of all its subsidiaries. The members are appointed by the General Meeting of Shareholders.

The Supervisory Board of RBS N.V. nominates one or more candidates for each vacant seat. If the Supervisory Board nominates two or more candidates for a vacant seat in the Managing Board, the nomination list is binding. The members of the Managing Board are accountable both collectively and individually for all decisions taken by the Managing Board.

The Chairman of the Managing Board leads the Managing Board in its overall management of RBS N.V. to achieve its performance goals and ambitions. The Chairman of the Managing Board is the main point of liaison with the Supervisory Board. The Chief Financial Officer is responsible for the financial affairs of RBS N.V., and the Chief Risk Officer is responsible for RBS N.V.'s risk management and operational risk control. Alongside their overall corporate responsibilities, the members of the Managing Board are responsible for the management of the control and support functions. The Managing Board has delegated certain tasks to a number of Managing Board committees which are described on pages 56 and 57 of this report.

Corporate governance

Composition of the Managing Board

The members of the Managing Board as at 28 April 2016 are as follows:

		Date of first appointment	Date for re-election
Idzard van Eeghen (chairman)	(55, Dutch, male)	18 June 2014	18 June 2018
Michael Geslak	(52, American, male)	1 April 2010	1 April 2018
Cornelis Visscher	(50, Dutch, male)	18 July 2013	18 July 2017

Mr Jan de Ruiter stepped down from the Board on 31 July 2015 and was succeeded as chairman by Mr Idzard van Eeghen (for which regulatory approval was received on 2 September 2015).

[Idzard van Eeghen](#)

[Chairman and Chief Risk Officer](#)

Mr van Eeghen started his career at AMRO Bank in 1984, which later merged into ABN AMRO Bank. From 1988 until 1996 he was corporate relationship manager and senior relationship manager after which he became senior advisor structured finance in the Wholesale/Investment Bank division. In 1999 he joined the risk management division in Amsterdam where he held various senior positions including Head of Credit Ratings & Portfolio Management, and Head of Integrated Risk & Country Risk. After the acquisition of ABN AMRO Bank in 2007 he was a member of the Risk Management Team overseeing the transition of the bank. In this period he was also seconded to Fortis Central Risk Management as Director Risk Performance in Brussels. In 2010 Mr van Eeghen joined RBS Holdings to establish the risk office function of RBS Holdings, which he subsequently headed. In this period he also led a number of other projects in RBS Group Risk Management. In 2014 Mr. van Eeghen became Chief Risk Officer and member of the Managing Board of RBS Holdings. In addition, in 2015 Mr Van Eeghen became Chairman of the Managing Board of RBS Holdings and also Country Executive of RBS Netherlands.

Mr. van Eeghen holds a Master's degree in Economics from the State University of Groningen and a Master's degree in Finance from TIAS Business school which is associated with the Tilburg University.

[Michael Geslak](#)

[Chief Administrative Officer](#)

Mr Geslak joined ABN AMRO in New York in 1988 as an accountant, in 1992 he formed the Market Risk function in New York, and after moving to Chicago in 1993 became Head of Market Risk for North America. In 1995 he became Head of Investment Banking Operations and Product Control in Chicago, which was later expanded to cover all Investment Banking Operations for North America. In 2000 he was promoted to Chief Administrative Officer for Wholesale Banking in the Americas. Mr Geslak then moved to London as Global Chief Information Officer for ABN AMRO Wholesale Banking and managed the provision of all technology to the Global Markets and Global Transaction Services businesses. In 2006 he became Head of Services for Global Markets and EMEA. From 2009 to 2012 he became the RBS Group COO for EMEA, during which time he also led the effort to de-risk RBS NV by transferring businesses to RBS PLC. His current roles are CAO and Managing Board member for RBS Holdings and Head of Transformation for the bank.

In addition to these responsibilities, for the past two years Mr Geslak has been leading the programme to de-risk RBS N.V. by transferring businesses to RBS plc.

[Cornelis Visscher](#)

[Chief Financial Officer,](#)

Mr Visscher graduated from the Vrije Universiteit in Amsterdam with a degree in Business Economics, specialised in Financial Accounting and Management Accounting. He started his career at ABN AMRO in 1988, where, after several functions in Divisional and Group Finance, he ultimately became responsible for the delivery of ABN AMRO's Group Management Information. Following the acquisition of (parts of) ABN AMRO by the RBS Group, Mr Visscher became the head of Group Consolidation, in which he was responsible for the split of the ABN Amro accounts between the Consortium members. Furthermore, in 2011 Mr Visscher was seconded to Edinburgh where he became the Head of Financial Control for the Retail & Wealth, Corporate and Business Services Divisions of RBS Group. In this role, he was amongst other responsible for the Offshore programme. As of 2013 he is the CFO for RBS Holdings and a member of the RBS Holdings Managing Board. In addition to this role, he serves as CFO for RBS Netherlands Branch and in this role is part of the Netherlands Country Management team.

[Information, induction and professional development](#)

As part of the Code Banken, both the Managing Board and the Supervisory Board participate in a programme of Life Long Learning. The programme consists of a modular approach, addressing matters that are mentioned in the Code Banken, including relevant developments in the financial sector in general and the banking sector in specific, corporate governance in general the duty of care towards clients, integrity, risk management, financial reporting and audits. Subject matter experts are invited, both from within the Group and from outside the Group, to deliver education modules on the above mentioned matters.

[Performance evaluation](#)

The members of the Managing Board participate in the annual performance management process of RBS Group.

[Managing Board committees](#)

In order to provide effective oversight and leadership, the Managing Board has three sub-committees, the Risk & Control committee (RCC), the Asset & Liability management Committee (ALCo) and the Disclosure Committee.

Risk & Control Committee (RCC)

The Risk & Control Committee (RCC) oversees the risk framework within RBS N.V., monitors the actual risk profile and advises the Managing Board on these matters. Its scope is, amongst others, credit, market, operational and regulatory risk within the Group.

Asset & Liability management Committee (ALCo)

The Managing Board has delegated to the ALCo the responsibility for the management of capital, liquidity, interest rate risk and foreign exchange risk. This includes responsibility for reviewing, approving and allocating balance sheet, capital, liquidity and funding limits.

Disclosure Committee

The Disclosure Committee advises and assists the Managing Board in fulfilling its responsibilities for overseeing the accuracy and timeliness of public disclosures made by the Group. This inter alia includes advising the Managing Board on the disclosure of financial information.

Group structure

RBS Holdings submits the overview of its subsidiary structure with the Dutch Chamber of Commerce, which is publically accessible.

Code of conduct

Our Code of Conduct lets everyone know what to expect of each other, what to do when unsure of a decision, and where to go for advice when needed. Our Code of Conduct available at [rbs.com/about us/our values](http://rbs.com/about-us/our-values). In 2015, we incorporated the following five new standards of behaviour into the Code of Conduct: (1) You must act with integrity; (2) You must act with due skill, care and diligence; (3) You must be open and cooperative with the FCA, the PRA and other regulators; (4) You must pay due regard to the interests of customers and treat them fairly; and (5) You must observe proper standards of market conduct. The rules have been added to our Code of Conduct to reflect the bank's responsibilities as set out by the Individuals Accountability Regime but are very much in keeping with the values and behaviours that the Bank is already following.

Sustainability

Our purpose is to serve customers well. Sustainable banking means serving today's customers in a way that also helps future generations. We will rebuild our reputation and earn our customers' trust by putting customers first, making RBS Group a great place to work, supporting our communities and being mindful of environmental impacts with the Sustainable Banking Committee's role to oversee how the bank will reach its ambition to be number 1 for customer service, trust and advocacy by 2020.

For more information on our approach and progress read the RBS Sustainability Report, available on rbs.com/sustainable.

Relations with shareholders

Rights of shareholders

Any resolution to amend the Articles of Association of RBS N.V may only be passed by the General Meeting of Shareholders following a proposal by the Managing Board which has been approved by the Supervisory Board.

A copy of the proposed amendments shall be made available for inspection by the holders of shares of RBS N.V at the offices of RBS N.V and at the offices stated in the convocation to the meeting, from the day of convocation to the end of the Meeting. Each shareholder may obtain a full copy of the proposal free of charge.

Meetings of shareholders and convocation

The general meetings of shareholders shall be held in Amsterdam, or in The Hague, Rotterdam, Utrecht or Haarlemmermeer (Schiphol). The Annual General Meeting of Shareholders must be held within six months of the end of each financial year. In addition, general meetings of shareholders shall be held as frequently as deemed necessary by the Managing Board or the Supervisory Board and when required by law or by the Articles of Association. General meetings of shareholders shall be convened by the Managing Board or the Supervisory Board, without prejudice to the provisions of Sections 110, 111 and 112 of Book 2 of the Netherlands Civil Code. Convocation shall take place not later than on the fifteenth day prior to the day of the meeting. Convocation shall state the items to be discussed or alternatively notice shall be given that such items may be inspected at the company's offices. Proposals to amend the Articles of Association or proposals relating to a reduction of the company's capital shall always be included in the actual convocation.

Employees

Policies and practices in respect of employee issues are managed on a consistent basis across the RBS Group, and the following sections reflect this approach.

As at 31 December 2015, the Group employed approximately 800 employees (full-time equivalent basis) throughout the world. Details of employee related costs are included in Note 3 on the accounts.

Living our values

Our values, introduced in 2012, guide our actions every day, in every part of our business. They are at the heart of the way we work. They are embedded within our behavioural frameworks - this means the way we recruit, promote, reward and manage our people are all aligned.

Building a healthy culture and risk culture that lives up to our values is one of our core priorities. We have governance to monitor and guide and track progress on our cultural goals. We gather qualitative and quantitative feedback to assess our progress and respond accordingly. We do this in tandem with feedback from regulators and industry bodies.

Engaging our people

We recognise that building an engaged, healthy and inclusive workforce is crucial if we are to achieve our ambition. We continue to ask people across RBS Group to share their thoughts on what it's like to work at the RBS Group via our annual employee survey (OurView). The results enable our people leaders to monitor levels of engagement and work with their teams to make improvements. It also enables us to monitor employee perception and the progress we are making versus our goals. Our most recent survey at RBS Group, in which more than 62,000 colleagues took part, showed significant improvement in employee engagement and leadership.

In 2015 we launched *determined to make a difference*, an internal campaign that provides a rallying call for our employees. It was drawn from our extensive research with staff and is based on their reflections about the difference we make for our customers, colleagues, communities and shareholders.

Rewarding our people

Our approach to performance management allows us to provide clarity for our people about how their individual contribution links to our ambition. It recognises behaviour that supports our values and holds individuals to account for behaviour and performance that does not.

In the UK we are a living wage employer meaning that we adhere to Living Wage Benchmarks (both national and London) for all employees. All third party contractors who regularly work in our buildings will also be in scope by 2017 at the latest.

In 2015, we announced the removal of incentive schemes for our customer facing employees. Instead, we gave every eligible employee an increase to their guaranteed pay. This change ensures that our people are paid clearly, fairly and simply for the job they do for our customers every day. It also ensures our customers can be certain that if they take a product from us, it has no financial impact on what our people are paid.

Developing our people

Developing great leaders with the capability to deliver our ambition is a key priority. In 2015, we launched *'Determined to lead'*, a programme that focuses on great people management, a consistent tone from leaders throughout the Bank and the tools to engage our people. In 2015 we trained over 13,000 leaders.

We are committed to professionalising all our people. We offer a wide range of learning which can be mandatory, role specific or related to personal development.

We have mandatory learning that has to be completed by everyone and is focused on keeping our people, our customers and the Bank safe. Elements of our learning have been aligned to the Chartered Banker: Professional Standards Board foundation standards. We committed that our people in the UK (excluding Williams & Glyn and Ulster Bank) would complete this learning in 2015.

Youth employment

RBS Group has hired over 250 graduates and over 300 Apprentices in 2015. We have been accredited by "Investors in Young People" for how we attract, recruit and develop our talent.

Health and wellbeing of our people

We offer a wide range of health benefits and services to help maintain physical and mental health, and support our people if they become unwell.

In 2015, our wellbeing programme focused on three main areas; Mental Health, Physical Health and Resilience. Activities include the continued promotion of Lifematters (RBS Group's Employee Assistance Programme), participation in the Global Corporate Challenge, the launch of Resilience programmes and continued support for Time to Change, the UK's biggest programme to challenge mental health stigma.

Employee consultation

RBS Group recognises employee representatives such as trade unions and work councils in a number of businesses and countries. There has been ongoing engagement and discussion with those bodies given the changes the bank announced in February 2015. Management have continued to meet regularly with our European Employee Council to discuss developments and update on the progress of our strategic plans.

Inclusion

Building a more inclusive bank is essential for our customers and colleagues. Our inclusion policy standard applies to all our people globally; and our strategy for diversity and inclusion is owned by the RBS Board and Executive Committee.

During 2015 we continued our roll out of unconscious bias learning for all employees. We've introduced a gender goal to have at least 30% of women in the RBS Group's top three leadership levels by 2020. Further, we aim to have 50/50 balance at all levels by 2030. This is supported by the launch of a female development proposition. An increased focus on disability has led to the development of a comprehensive plan to support our colleagues and customers with additional needs and will help RBS group achieve its ambition of becoming a 'disability smart' organisation. From an LGBT perspective, we continue to deliver improvements to our people policies and customer operating procedures, including the introduction of guidance to support employees going through gender transition, introducing the 'Mx' honorific, and improving our customer gender change process.

We are finalising plans to improve our ethnic representation within senior roles and are continuing to support our 15,000 strong employee-led networks.

RBS Group has been recognised for its work on Equality, Diversity and Inclusion by our Platinum ranking from Opportunity Now (gender) - our Gold ranking for Race for Opportunity (race); retaining a position in the Times Top 50 Employers for Women; and improving upon our ranking in the Stonewall Workplace Equality Index (LGBT).

Group also signed up to Time to Change: the UK's biggest programme to challenge mental health stigma.

The Dutch Banking Code (Code Banken)

Introduction

The Code Banken was drawn up by the Netherlands Bankers' Association (NVB) in response to the report entitled 'Restoring Trust' (Naar herstel van vertrouwen), which was published by the Advisory Committee on the Future of Banks (Adviescommissie Toekomst Banken) on 7 April 2009. The recommendations of the Advisory Committee's report have been used as the basis for this Code Banken. The Code Banken is mandatory for the Group as stated in Book 2 of the Civil Code as from 1 January 2010. An updated version of the Code Banken came into force on 1 January 2015.

The Code Banken offers specific provisions, but underlying these provisions, its aim is to instil learning in the banking sector following the financial crisis. Drawing lessons and implementing change with the aim to restore trust among all our stakeholders, clients, staff, investors and society at large. RBS Group, including the Group has undergone and continues to undergo profound change following the crisis and is committed to high standards of corporate governance, business integrity and professionalism in all its activities.

Corporate Governance codes and the global footprint of RBS Group

The Group's ultimate parent company is RBS Group PLC. When implementing the Code Banken, the Managing Board and Supervisory Board of the Group will take into account the effects of similar codes of conduct implemented in the RBS Group with the aim to align all businesses with RBS Group.

Clients First

The Group is aware that its long term success fully depends on how successful the Group is in servicing its clients. To that extent, the Group has taken additional measures to further embed 'a client led culture' in the organisation. Over the past few years certain themes in this area have been fleshed out in detail, resulting in the 'Customer Charter' and the 'Treating Customers Fairly Policy'. The Customer Charter describes 14 'customer commitments' divided into the following four categories:

- Make banking easy
- Help when you need us
- Support the communities in which we work
- Listen to you

More information about the Customer Charter can be found on the website: www.rbs.com.

In the Netherlands, the Group primarily serves wholesale customers. The products and services which the Group provides to these customers have been tailored accordingly and the mentioned Treating Customers Fairly Policy is applicable, where appropriate. With respect to the products and services have been offered to retail investors, we use methods including market research to gauge the requirements and opinions of (potential) customers.

Compliance with the Code Banken

RBSH Group considers the Code Banken as an important yardstick for the way banks draw lessons from the crisis. The Group takes account of all relevant remuneration regulatory regimes, including the Code Banken in designing and implementing its remuneration policy as well as the Group's corporate governance structure.

Supervisory Board

The required expertise and experience are well embedded in the Supervisory Board providing for an independent board with a diverse composition. The board consists of executives of RBS Group with broad banking experience. A clearly defined process for the engagement and recruitment of a Supervisory Board member has been established. If a vacancy for a new member exists, a new member is sought based on an established supervisory board profile to ensure that the knowledge and expertise obtained when filling the vacancy is fully complementing the composition of the board.

If the position of Chairman of the Supervisory Board became vacant, a separate individual profile would be drawn up based on an established Chairman's profile to ensure alignment with the specific socio-economic and political culture and the social environment of the bank's main markets.

All Supervisory Board members have committed themselves to fulfil their responsibilities as board members to the best of their ability. Their attendance at meetings is recorded. The board furthermore operates according to a set of rules governing the Supervisory Board's principles and best practices. These have been agreed by all board members and are published on the internet site of the bank, www.rbs.nl. The remuneration received as Supervisory Board members is not dependent on RBSH Group's results.

A programme covering aspects as stated in the Code Banken is ongoing to accommodate for life long learning of Supervisory Board members and such programme is assessed on an annual basis.

Furthermore the functioning of the Supervisory Board, including its life long learning programme, has been assessed by an independent party. Their conclusion was that the Supervisory Board members discharge their roles and responsibilities in a satisfying way. The independent assessment is in accordance with the Code Banken which requires such independent assessment at least once every three years. As a result, the next assessment is taken over 2015.

In line with the requirement of the Code Banken, Supervisory Board members have each signed a moral and ethical declaration.

Managing Board

The composition of the Managing Board of RBSH Group ensures that all business areas and all control and support functions are well represented in the board. The board comprises a Chairman, (who in addition performs the role of Chief Risk Officer), a Chief Financial Officer (CFO) and a Chief Administrative Officer (CAO). To further clarify the specifics of each role on the board and to ensure adherence to agreements made on procedure and governance, a set of rules governing the Managing Board's principles and best practices has been agreed.

A programme covering aspects as stated in the Code Banken is ongoing to accommodate for life long learning of Managing Board members and such programme has been assessed on an annual basis.

The Managing Board will continuously ensure a prudent risk appetite, based on the risk appetite framework approved by the Supervisory Board. The Risk appetite framework shall be approved by the Supervisory Board at least once a year and is actively monitored during the year. Any material changes in the interim shall also require the Supervisory Board's approval. The Managing Board takes the interests of all stakeholders (e.g. employees, clients, shareholders) into account in their decision making. The Managing Board recognises that duty of care for clients is an important component in doing business.

In line with the requirement of the Code Banken, Managing Board members sign a Banker's Oath. Each Board member of RBS Holdings has signed such declaration.

The principles in the moral and ethical declaration are incorporated into the RBS Group's code of conduct which is issued to all new employees joining the Group.

Risk Management

The Managing Board has arranged Risk Management in an adequate manner in order to ensure the Managing Board is aware in good time of any material risks run by the bank and to enable the Managing Board to manage these risks properly. The Chief Risk Officer of RBS Holdings is a member of the Managing Board and next to this role, he is also Chairman of the Managing Board.

The Managing Board, through its sub committees, the Risk & Control Committee (RCC) and the Asset & Liability Management Committee (ALCo) takes any decision that is of material significance to the risk profile, the capital position or the liquidity impact.

Operations of the Risk & Audit Committee have been integrated into the Supervisory Board. In order to reflect this, specific provisions have been included in the Rules for the full Supervisory Board.

Audit

The Group has a New Product Approval Process in place to ensure that all products are launched only after careful consideration of the risks and any other relevant factor applicable to such product. Internal Audit checks the Group New Product Approval Process on design and effectiveness at least once per annum and reports its findings to both the Managing Board and Supervisory Board.

To ensure the function's independence, the Head of RBS Holdings Internal Audit reports to the Chairman of the Managing Board and the Chairman of the Supervisory Board. RBS Holdings Internal Audit also reports its opinion and findings on the quality of the control framework, the system of governance and the risk management of the bank to the Supervisory Board on a bi-annual basis and provides the Supervisory Board with their audit review in the remaining quarters of the year. RBS Holdings Internal Audit furthermore presents their annual audit plan to the Supervisory Board. The Managing Board shall ensure that a systemic audit is conducted of the risks managed in relation to the business activities of the bank. The external auditors are invited to share their findings and opinion concerning the quality and effectiveness of the system of governance, risk management and the banks' control procedures with the Supervisory Board on a quarterly basis. The external auditors present the annual audit plan to the Supervisory Board and both RBS Holdings Internal Audit and the external auditors take part in a tri-partite meeting with DNB (from November 2014, ECB as the lead regulator also partake in the meeting) to share their audit plans, analysis and findings at least once per annum. There is a clear escalation process by which the external auditors can raise, with management, any significant concerns.

Remuneration Policy

The remuneration policy for RBS Group, which is also applicable to the Group supports the RBS Group's business strategy and is designed to:

- attract, retain, motivate and reward high calibre employees to deliver superior long-term business performance; and
- ensure that the Group's metrics, reward structures and governance processes as a whole provide coverage of the key risks in an appropriate way.

The Supervisory Board is responsible for the implementation and evaluation of the remuneration policy adopted.

All members of the Managing Board are remunerated through RBS plc. The full year remuneration of the Managing Board members in office as at 31 December 2015 continues to comply with the Code Banken.

RBS Group has had claw back provisions in place since 2009. This allows the Remuneration Committee to retrospectively limit any compensation at the time of vesting if it considers that the performance factors on which reward decisions were based have later turned out not to reflect the corresponding performance in the longer term.

The intention is to allow RBS Group to adjust historic remuneration for unforeseen issues arising during the deferral period, particularly those that do not easily lend themselves to quantitative measurement. Further details on the remuneration arrangements for RBS N.V. can be found in note 31 to this Report and Accounts.

Summary Code Banken

In summary, RBS Holdings complies with the provisions that are reflected in the 2015 Banking Code. Compliance with the code is being monitored on a regular basis.

Management's report on internal control over financial reporting under the Dutch Corporate Governance Code

Although the Group is not obliged to adhere to the Corporate Governance Declaration for the purposes of Corporate Governance Degree (Besluit Corporate Governance) the Group's Managing Board has decided nevertheless to adhere to the best practice provision II.1.5 of the Dutch Corporate Governance Code and to substantiate the operation of the internal risk management and control system during the year under review, and to state its adequacy and effectiveness.

The Group's internal risk management and control system is a process, effected by the Managing Board, management, and other personnel, which is designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the achievement of objectives in the following categories: (i) effectiveness and efficiency of operations; (ii) reliability of financial reporting; and (iii) compliance with laws and regulations.

Different sections of this Annual Report 2015, including capital and risk management on pages 9 to 50, elaborate on the Group's identified risks, such as liquidity risk, credit risk, market risk, operational risk, reputational and regulatory risk and other risks.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. In addition, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions or because the degree of compliance with policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Based on its assessment, management has concluded that, as of 31 December 2015, the Group's internal control over financial reporting is effective.

Managing Board
Amsterdam, 28 April 2016

Management's report on the Annual Report 2015 for purposes of Section 5:25 sub 2 Financial Supervision Act

The Managing Board certifies that, to the best of their knowledge:

- the financial statements give a true and fair view, in all material respects, of the assets, liabilities, financial position and loss of the Group and its consolidated entities; and
- the Annual Report gives a true and fair view, in all material respects, of the Group and its consolidated entities as at 31 December 2015 and their state of affairs during 2015; and the Annual Report describes the material risks that the Group is facing.

Managing Board
Amsterdam, 28 April 2016

Consolidated income statement for the year ended 31 December 2015

	Note	2015 €m	2014 €m	2013 €m
Interest receivable		269	532	768
Interest payable		(291)	(345)	(530)
Net interest income	1	(22)	187	238
Fees and commissions receivable		17	158	119
Fees and commissions payable		(9)	(16)	(49)
Income from trading activities		18	(9)	46
Other operating income		276	(47)	83
Non-interest income	2	302	86	199
Total income		280	273	437
Staff costs		(73)	(85)	(175)
Premises and equipment		(23)	(26)	(50)
Other administrative expenses		(120)	(194)	(291)
Depreciation and amortisation		(7)	(14)	(21)
Write down of goodwill and other intangible assets		(2)	—	—
Operating expenses	3	(225)	(319)	(537)
Profit/(loss) before impairment losses		55	(46)	(100)
Impairment losses	11	(103)	(11)	(42)
Operating loss before tax		(48)	(57)	(142)
Tax credit/(charge)	6	20	(58)	(12)
Loss from continuing operations		(28)	(115)	(154)
Profit from discontinued operations, net of tax	17	22	15	19
Loss for the year		(6)	(100)	(135)
Attributable to:				
Controlling interests		(6)	(100)	(135)

The accompanying notes on pages 77 to 120, the accounting policies on pages 67 to 76 and the audited sections of the Financial review: Capital and risk management on pages 9 to 50 form an integral part of these financial statements.

Consolidated statement of comprehensive income for the year ended 31 December 2015

	2015 €m	2014 €m	2013 €m
Loss for the year	(6)	(100)	(135)
Items that do not qualify for reclassification			
Actuarial gains/(losses) on defined benefit plans	2	(8)	—
Items that do qualify for reclassification			
Available-for-sale financial assets	54	825	1,591
Cash flow hedges	2	(3)	—
Currency translation	80	82	(67)
Tax (charge)/credit	—	(1)	1
	136	903	1,525
Other comprehensive income after tax	138	895	1,525
Total comprehensive income for the year	132	795	1,390
Attributable to:			
Controlling interests	132	795	1,390

The accompanying notes on pages 77 to 120, the accounting policies on pages 67 to 120 and the audited sections of the Financial review: Capital and risk management on pages 9 to 50 form an integral part of these financial statements.

Consolidated balance sheet as at 31 December 2015

	Note	2015 €m	2014 €m
Assets			
Cash and balances at central banks	8	543	1,135
Loans and advances to banks	8	6,896	7,661
Loans and advances to customers	8	2,193	3,539
Amounts due from the ultimate holding company	8	138	123
Debt securities and equity shares	13,14	2,139	3,243
Settlement balances		71	40
Derivatives	12	2,221	4,432
Deferred tax	20	10	34
Interests in associates	15	1,201	947
Prepayments, accrued income and other assets	16	534	1,105
Assets of disposal groups	17	—	1,257
Total assets		15,946	23,516
Liabilities			
Bank deposits		2,944	4,510
Repurchase agreements and stock lending		—	636
Deposits by banks	8	2,944	5,146
Customer accounts	8	1,481	2,934
Debt securities in issue	8	403	1,017
Settlement balances and short positions	18	36	34
Derivatives	12	1,861	4,555
Provisions, accruals and other liabilities	19	1,048	1,122
Deferred tax	20	58	88
Subordinated liabilities	21	4,456	5,104
Liabilities of disposal groups	17	—	46
Total liabilities		12,287	20,046
Total equity		3,659	3,470
Total liabilities and equity		15,946	23,516

The accompanying notes on pages 77 to 120, the accounting policies on pages 67 to 76 and the audited sections of the Financial review: Capital and risk management on pages 9 to 50 form an integral part of these financial statements.

Consolidated statement of changes in equity for the year ended 31 December 2015

	2015 €m	2014 €m	2013 €m
Share premium account			
At 1 January	7,686	7,950	8,197
Transfer resulting from cross border merger	—	—	(247)
Capital injection (1)	57	139	—
Distribution (2)	—	(403)	—
At 31 December	7,743	7,686	7,950
Available-for-sale reserve			
At 1 January	(58)	(881)	(2,473)
Unrealised (losses)/gains	(10)	486	1,538
Realised losses	64	339	53
Tax	1	(2)	1
At 31 December	(3)	(58)	(881)
Cash flow hedging reserve			
At 1 January	(2)	—	—
Amount recognised in equity	2	(3)	—
Tax	(1)	1	—
At 31 December	(1)	(2)	—
Foreign exchange reserve			
At 1 January	(220)	(302)	(235)
Gains/(losses) arising during the year	78	(7)	(46)
Reclassification of foreign currency gains included in the income statement (3)	2	89	(21)
At 31 December	(140)	(220)	(302)
Retained earnings			
At 1 January	(3,936)	(3,828)	(3,693)
(Loss)/profit attributable to controlling interests			
- continuing operations	(28)	(115)	(154)
- discontinued operations	22	15	19
Actuarial gains/(losses)	2	(8)	—
At 31 December	(3,940)	(3,936)	3,828
Equity attributable to controlling interests	3,659	3,470	2,939

Notes:

- (1) Capital injection from RBS Holdings N.V. reflecting amounts received by RBS Holdings N.V. from RFS Holdings BV (2015 - €57 million; 2014 - €139 million).
(2) 2014 includes distribution of €103 million to RBS Holdings NV to fund the repayment of its loan from ABN AMRO Bank and a dividend of €300 million.
(3) Includes nil relating to gains recycled to profit and loss due to transfers to RBS plc during 2015 (2014 - €13 million; 2013 - €20 million).

The accompanying notes on pages 77 to 120, the accounting policies on pages 67 to 76 and the audited sections of the Financial review: Capital and risk management on pages 9 to 50 form an integral part of these financial statements.

Consolidated cash flow statement for the year ended 31 December 2015

	Note	2015 €m	2014 €m	2013 €m
Operating activities				
Operating loss before tax from continuing operations		(48)	(57)	(142)
Profit before tax from discontinued operations		39	27	31
Adjustments for:				
Interest on subordinated liabilities		204	182	203
Elimination of foreign exchange differences		302	242	56
Impairment losses on debt securities		126	58	34
Other non-cash items		(217)	611	267
Net cash inflow from operating activities		406	1,063	449
Changes in operating assets and liabilities	27	(2,199)	(15,467)	(8,273)
Net cash flows from operating activities before tax		(1,793)	(14,404)	(7,824)
Income taxes (paid)/received		(16)	42	(35)
Net cash flows from operating activities		(1,809)	(14,362)	(7,859)
Investing activities				
Sale and maturity of securities		1,819	12,583	8,896
Purchase of securities		(232)	(410)	(305)
Disposal of subsidiaries/businesses		484	255	(3,362)
Other (investment)/divestment		(26)	54	37
Net cash flows from investing activities		2,045	12,482	5,266
Financing activities				
Capital injection		57	139	—
Repayment of subordinated liabilities		(1,069)	(445)	(1,907)
Distribution		—	(403)	—
Interest on subordinated liabilities		(235)	(184)	(212)
Net cash flows from financing activities		(1,247)	(893)	(2,119)
Effects of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents		60	127	40
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents		(951)	(2,646)	(4,672)
Cash and cash equivalents at 1 January		2,712	5,358	10,030
Cash and cash equivalents at 31 December	29	1,761	2,712	5,358

The accompanying notes on pages 77 to 120, the accounting policies on pages 67 to 76 and the audited sections of the Financial review: Capital and risk management on pages 9 to 50 form an integral part of these financial statements.

1. Corporate information

RBS Holdings N.V. (the company) is a public limited liability company, incorporated under Dutch law on 30 May 1990 and registered at Gustav Mahlerlaan 350, 1082 ME Amsterdam, the Netherlands. RBSH Group offers a wide range of banking products and financial services principally in Europe and Asia.

The company is wholly owned by RFS Holdings B.V., itself 97.7% owned by The Royal Bank of Scotland Group plc (RBSG). RBSG is incorporated in the UK and registered at 36 St. Andrew Square, Edinburgh, Scotland. RBS Group is the ultimate parent company of RBS Holdings N.V. The consolidated financial statements of RBS Holdings N.V. are included in the consolidated financial statements of RBS Group.

The consolidated financial statements of the Group for the year ended 31 December 2015 incorporate financial information of the company, its controlled entities and interests in associates and joint ventures. The consolidated financial statements were signed and authorised for issue by the Managing Board on 28 April 2016 and by the Supervisory Board on 28 April 2016. The right to request an amendment of the financial statements is embedded in the Netherlands Civil Code. Interested parties have the right to ask the Enterprise Chamber of the Amsterdam Court of Appeal for an amendment of the financial statements.

2. Presentation of accounts

The Managing Board, having made such enquiries as they considered appropriate, including a review of the Group's forecasts, projections and other relevant evidence regarding the continuing availability of sufficient resources from the RBS Group, have prepared the financial statements on a going concern basis.

As announced on 19 April 2011, RBS Group is committed to providing the necessary support to ensure that the Group continues to meet its commitments.

The consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board (IASB) and interpretations as issued by the IFRS Interpretations Committee (IFRIC) of the IASB and IFRS as adopted by the European Union (EU) (together IFRS). The EU has not adopted the complete text of IAS 39 'Financial Instruments: Recognition and Measurement', it has relaxed some of the standard's hedging requirements.

The Group has not taken advantage of this relaxation and has adopted IAS 39 as issued by the IASB: the Group's financial statements are prepared in accordance with IFRS as issued by the IASB.

The Group adopted a number of new and revised IFRSs effective 1 January 2015:

IAS 19 'Defined Benefit Plans: Employee Contributions' was issued in November 2013. This amendment distinguishes the accounting for employee contributions that are related to service from those that are independent of service.

Annual Improvements to IFRS 2010 - 2012 and 2011 - 2013 cycles were issued in December 2013 making a number of minor amendments to IFRS.

The implementation of these requirements has not had a material effect on the Group's accounts.

The accounts are prepared on a historical cost basis of measurement except for certain financial instruments that are measured at fair value as described in Accounting Policies 11, 13 and 20.

3. Basis of consolidation

The consolidated financial statements incorporate the financial statements of the company and entities (including certain structured entities) that are controlled by the Group. The Group controls another entity (a subsidiary) when it is exposed, or has rights, to variable returns from its involvement with that entity and has the ability to affect those returns through its power over the other entity; power generally arises from holding a majority of voting rights. On acquisition of a subsidiary, its identifiable assets, liabilities and contingent liabilities are included in the consolidated accounts at their fair value. A subsidiary is included in the consolidated financial statements from the date it is controlled by the Group until the date the Group ceases to control it through a sale or a significant change in circumstances. Changes in the Group's interest in a subsidiary that do not result in the Group ceasing to control that subsidiary are accounted for as equity transactions.

All intergroup balances, transactions, income and expenses are eliminated on consolidation. The consolidated accounts are prepared under uniform accounting policies.

4. Revenue recognition

Interest income on financial assets that are classified as loans and receivables, available-for-sale or held-to-maturity and interest expense on financial liabilities other than those measured at fair value are determined using the effective interest method. The effective interest method is a method of calculating the amortised cost of a financial asset or financial liability (or group of financial assets or liabilities) and of allocating the interest income or interest expense over the expected life of the asset or liability. The effective interest rate is the rate that exactly discounts estimated future cash flows to the instrument's initial carrying amount. Calculation of the effective interest rate takes into account fees payable or receivable that are an integral part of the instrument's yield, premiums or discounts on acquisition or issue, early redemption fees and transaction costs. All contractual terms of a financial instrument are considered when estimating future cash flows.

Financial assets and financial liabilities held-for-trading or designated as at fair value through profit or loss are recorded at fair value. Changes in fair value are recognised in profit or loss.

Fees in respect of services are recognised as the right to consideration accrues through the provision of the service to the customer. The arrangements are generally contractual and the cost of providing the service is incurred as the service is rendered. The price is usually fixed and always determinable. The application of this policy to a significant fee type is outlined below.

Lending (credit facilities) - commitment and utilisation fees are determined as a percentage of the outstanding facility. If it is unlikely that a specific lending arrangement will be entered into, such fees are taken to profit or loss over the life of the facility otherwise they are deferred and included in the effective interest rate on the advance.

5. Assets held for sale and discontinued operations

A non-current asset (or disposal group) is classified as held for sale if the Group will recover its carrying amount principally through a sale transaction rather than through continuing use. A non-current asset (or disposal group) classified as held for sale is measured at the lower of its carrying amount and fair value less costs to sell. If the asset (or disposal group) is acquired as part of a business combination, it is initially measured at fair value less costs to sell. Assets and liabilities of disposal groups classified as held for sale and non-current assets classified as held for sale are shown separately on the face of the balance sheet.

The results of discontinued operations – comprising the post-tax profit or loss of discontinued operations and the post-tax gain or loss recognised either on measurement to fair value less costs to sell or on the disposal of the discontinued operation are shown as a single amount on the face of the income statement.

6. Pensions

The Group provides post-retirement benefits in the form of pensions to eligible employees under defined contribution plans.

Contributions to defined contribution pension schemes are recognised in profit or loss when payable.

For defined benefit schemes, the defined benefit obligation is measured on an actuarial basis using the projected unit credit method and discounted at a rate determined by reference to market yields at the end of the reporting period on high quality corporate bonds of equivalent term and currency to the scheme liabilities. Scheme assets are measured at their fair value. The difference between scheme assets and scheme liabilities – the net defined benefit asset or liability - is recognised in the balance sheet. A defined benefit asset is limited to the present value of any economic benefits available to the Group in the form of refunds from the plan or reduced contributions to it

The charge to profit or loss for pension costs (recorded in operating expenses) comprises:

- the current service cost.
- interest, computed at the rate used to discount scheme liabilities, on the net defined benefit liability or asset.
- past service cost resulting from a scheme amendment or curtailment.
- gains or losses on settlement.

A curtailment occurs when the Group significantly reduces the number of employees covered by a plan. A plan amendment occurs when the Group introduces, or withdraws, a defined benefit plan or changes the benefits payable under an existing defined benefit plan. Past service cost may be either positive (when benefits are introduced or changed so that the present value of the defined benefit obligation increases) or negative (when benefits are withdrawn or changed so that the present value of the defined benefit obligation decreases). A settlement is a transaction that eliminates all further obligation for part or all of the benefits.

Actuarial gains and losses (i.e. gains or/and losses on re-measuring of the net defined benefit asset or liability) are recognised in full in the period in which they arise in other comprehensive income.

7. Foreign currencies

The Group consolidated financial statements are presented in euros which is the functional currency of the company.

The Group entities record transactions in foreign currencies in their functional currency - the currency of the primary economic environment in which they operate at the foreign exchange rate ruling at the date of the transaction. Monetary assets and liabilities denominated in foreign currencies are translated into the relevant functional currency at the foreign exchange rates ruling at the balance sheet date. Foreign exchange differences arising on the settlement of foreign currency transactions and from the translation of monetary assets and liabilities are reported in income from trading activities except for differences arising on cash flow hedges and hedges of net investments in foreign operations (see Accounting policy 20).

Non-monetary items denominated in foreign currencies that are stated at fair value are translated into the relevant functional currency at the foreign exchange rates ruling at the dates the values are determined. Translation differences arising on non-monetary items measured at fair value are recognised in profit or loss except for differences arising on available-for-sale non-monetary financial assets, for example equity shares, which are recognised in other comprehensive income unless the asset is the hedged item in a fair value hedge.

Assets and liabilities of foreign operations are translated into euro at foreign exchange rates ruling at the balance sheet date. Income and expenses of foreign operations are translated into euro at average exchange rates unless these do not approximate to the foreign exchange rates ruling at the dates of the transactions. Foreign exchange differences arising on the translation of a foreign operation are recognised in other comprehensive income. The amount accumulated in equity is reclassified from equity to profit or loss on disposal or partial disposal of a foreign operation.

8. Leases

As lessor

Contracts with customers to lease assets are classified as finance leases if they transfer substantially all the risks and rewards of ownership of the asset to the customer; all other contracts with customers to lease assets are classified as operating leases.

Rental income from operating leases is recognised in income on a straight-line basis over the lease term unless another systematic basis better represents the time pattern of the asset's use. Operating lease assets are included within Property, plant and equipment and depreciated over their useful lives. Operating lease rentals receivable are included in Other operating income.

As lessee

The Group's contracts to lease assets are principally operating leases. Operating lease rental expense is included in Premises and equipment costs and recognised as an expense on a straight-line basis over the lease term unless another systematic basis better represents the benefit to the Group.

9. Provisions

The Group recognises a provision for a present obligation resulting from a past event when it is more likely than not that it will be required to transfer economic benefits to settle the obligation and the amount of the obligation can be estimated reliably.

Provision is made for restructuring costs, including the costs of redundancy, when the Group has a constructive obligation to restructure. An obligation exists when the Group has a detailed formal plan for the restructuring and has raised a valid expectation in those affected by starting to implement the plan or announcing its main features.

If the Group has a contract that is onerous, it recognises the present obligation under the contract as a provision. An onerous contract is one where the unavoidable costs of meeting the Group's contractual obligations exceed the expected economic benefits. When the Group vacates a leasehold property, a provision is recognised for the costs under the lease less any expected economic benefits (such as rental income).

Contingent liabilities are possible obligations arising from past events whose existence will be confirmed only by uncertain future events or present obligations arising from past events that are not recognised because either an outflow of economic benefits is not probable or the amount of the obligation cannot be reliably measured. Contingent liabilities are not recognised but information about them is disclosed unless the possibility of any outflow of economic benefits in settlement is remote.

10. Tax

Income tax expense or income, comprising current tax and deferred tax, is recorded in the income statement except income tax on items recognised outside profit or loss which is credited or charged to other comprehensive income or to equity as appropriate.

Current tax is income tax payable or recoverable in respect of the taxable profit or loss for the year arising in income or in equity. Provision is made for current tax at rates enacted or substantively enacted at the balance sheet date. Deferred tax is the tax expected to be payable or recoverable in respect of temporary differences between the carrying amount of an asset or liability for accounting purposes and its carrying amount for tax purposes. Deferred tax liabilities are generally recognised for all taxable temporary differences and deferred tax assets are recognised to the extent that it is probable that they will be recovered. Deferred tax is not recognised on temporary differences that arise from initial recognition of an asset or a liability in a transaction (other than a business combination) that at the time of the transaction affects neither accounting nor taxable profit or loss. Deferred tax is calculated using tax rates expected to apply in the periods when the assets will be realised or the liabilities settled, based on tax rates and laws enacted, or substantively enacted, at the balance sheet date.

Deferred tax assets and liabilities are offset where the Group has a legally enforceable right to offset and where they relate to income taxes levied by the same taxation authority either on an individual the Group company or on the Group companies in the same tax group that intend, in future periods, to settle current tax liabilities and assets on a net basis or on a gross basis simultaneously.

11. Financial assets

On initial recognition, financial assets are classified into held-to-maturity investments; held-for-trading; designated as at fair value through profit or loss; loans and receivables; or available-for-sale financial assets. Regular way purchases of financial assets classified as loans and receivables are recognised on settlement date; all other regular way transactions in financial assets are recognised on trade date.

Held-to-maturity investments - a financial asset may be classified as a held-to-maturity investment only if it has fixed or determinable payments, a fixed maturity and the Group has the positive intention and ability to hold to maturity. Held-to-maturity investments are initially recognised at fair value plus directly related transaction costs. They are subsequently measured at amortised cost using the effective interest method (see Accounting policy 4) less any impairment losses.

Held-for-trading - a financial asset is classified as held-for-trading if it is acquired principally for sale in the near term, or forms part of a portfolio of financial instruments that are managed together and for which there is evidence of short-term profit taking, or it is a derivative (not in a qualifying hedge relationship). Held-for-trading financial assets are recognised at fair value with transaction costs being recognised in profit or loss. Subsequently they are measured at fair value. Gains and losses on held-for-trading financial assets are recognised in profit or loss as they arise.

Designated as at fair value through profit or loss - financial assets may be designated as at fair value through profit or loss only if such designation (a) eliminates or significantly reduces a measurement or recognition inconsistency; or (b) applies to a group of financial assets, financial liabilities or both, that the Group manages and evaluates on a fair value basis; or (c) relates to an instrument that contains an embedded derivative which is not evidently closely related to the host contract. Financial assets that the Group designates on initial recognition as being at fair value through profit or loss are recognised at fair value, with transaction costs being recognised in profit or loss, and are subsequently measured at fair value. Gains and losses on financial assets that are designated as at fair value through profit or loss are recognised in profit or loss as they arise.

Loans and receivables - non-derivative financial assets with fixed or determinable repayments that are not quoted in an active market are classified as loans and receivables, except those that are classified as available-for-sale or as held-for-trading, or designated as at fair value through profit or loss. Loans and receivables are initially recognised at fair value plus directly related transaction costs. They are subsequently measured at amortised cost using the effective interest method (see Accounting policy 4) less any impairment losses.

Available-for-sale financial assets - financial assets that are not classified as held-to-maturity, held-for-trading, designated as at fair value through profit or loss, or loans and receivables are classified as available-for-sale.

Financial assets can be designated as available-for-sale on initial recognition. Available-for-sale financial assets are initially recognised at fair value plus directly related transaction costs. They are subsequently measured at fair value. Unquoted equity investments whose fair value cannot be measured reliably are carried at cost and classified as available-for-sale financial assets. Impairment losses and exchange differences resulting from retranslating the amortised cost of foreign currency monetary available-for-sale financial assets are recognised in profit or loss together with interest calculated using the effective interest method (see Accounting policy 4), as are gains and losses attributable to the hedged risk on available-for-sale financial assets that are hedged items in fair value hedges (see Accounting policy 20). Other changes in the fair value of available-for-sale financial assets and any related tax are reported in other comprehensive income until disposal, when the cumulative gain or loss is reclassified from equity to profit or loss.

Reclassifications - held-for-trading and available-for-sale financial assets that meet the definition of loans and receivables (non-derivative financial assets with fixed or determinable payments that are not quoted in an active market) may be reclassified to loans and receivables if the Group has the intention and ability to hold the financial asset for the foreseeable future or until maturity. the Group typically regards the foreseeable future as twelve months from the date of reclassification. Additionally, held-for-trading financial assets that do not meet the definition of loans and receivables may, in rare circumstances, be transferred to available-for-sale financial assets or to held-to-maturity investments. Reclassifications are made at fair value. This fair value becomes the asset's new cost or amortised cost as appropriate. Gains and losses recognised up to the date of reclassification are not reversed.

Fair value - the Group's approach to determining the fair value of financial instruments measured at fair value is set out in the section of Critical accounting policies and key sources of estimation uncertainty entitled Fair value - financial instruments; further details are given in Note 9.

12. Impairment of financial assets

RBSH Group assesses at each balance sheet date whether there is any objective evidence that a financial asset or group of financial assets classified as held-to-maturity, available-for-sale or loans and receivables is impaired. A financial asset or portfolio of financial assets is impaired and an impairment loss incurred if there is objective evidence that an event or events since initial recognition of the asset have adversely affected the amount or timing of future cash flows from the asset.

Financial assets carried at amortised cost - if there is objective evidence that an impairment loss on a financial asset or group of financial assets classified as loans and receivables or as held-to-maturity investments has occurred, the Group measures the amount of the loss as the difference between the carrying amount of the asset or group of assets and the present value of estimated future cash flows from the asset or group of assets discounted at the effective interest rate of the instrument at initial recognition. For collateralised loans and receivables, estimated future cash flows include cash flows that may result from foreclosure less the costs of obtaining and selling the collateral, whether or not foreclosure is probable.

Where, in the course of the orderly realisation of a loan, it is exchanged for equity shares or property, the exchange is accounted for as the sale of the loan and the acquisition of equity securities or investment property. Where the Group's interest in equity shares following the exchange is such that the Group controls an entity, that entity is consolidated.

Impairment losses are assessed individually for financial assets that are individually significant and individually or collectively for assets that are not individually significant.

Impairment losses are recognised in profit or loss and the carrying amount of the financial asset or group of financial assets reduced by establishing an allowance for impairment losses. If, in a subsequent period, the amount of the impairment loss reduces and the reduction can be ascribed to an event after the impairment was recognised, the previously recognised loss is reversed by adjusting the allowance. Once an impairment loss has been recognised on a financial asset or group of financial assets, interest income is recognised on the carrying amount using the rate of interest at which estimated future cash flows were discounted in measuring impairment.

Impaired loans and receivables are written off, i.e. the impairment provision is applied in writing down the loan's carrying value partially or in full, when the Group concludes that there is no longer any realistic prospect of recovery of part or all of the loan. For loans that are individually assessed for impairment, the timing of write-off is determined on a case-by-case basis. Such loans are reviewed regularly and write-offs will be prompted by bankruptcy, insolvency, renegotiation and similar events. The Group had no collectively assessed portfolios in 2015 or 2014.

Amounts received after a loan has been written off are credited to the loan impairment charge for the period in which they are received.

Financial assets carried at fair value - when a decline in the fair value of a financial asset classified as available-for-sale has been recognised directly in other comprehensive income and there is objective evidence that it is impaired, the cumulative loss is reclassified from equity to profit or loss. The loss is measured as the difference between the amortised cost of the financial asset and its current fair value. Impairment losses on available-for-sale equity instruments are not reversed through profit or loss, but those on available-for-sale debt instruments are reversed, if there is an increase in fair value that is objectively related to a subsequent event.

13. Financial liabilities

On initial recognition, financial liabilities are classified into held-for-trading; designated as at fair value through profit or loss; or amortised cost. Issues of financial liabilities measured at amortised cost are recognised on settlement date; all other regular way transactions in financial liabilities are recognised on trade date.

Held-for-trading - a financial liability is classified as held-for-trading if it is incurred principally for repurchase in the near term, or forms part of a portfolio of financial instruments that are managed together and for which there is evidence of short-term profit taking, or it is a derivative (not in a qualifying hedge relationship). Held-for-trading financial liabilities are recognised at fair value with transaction costs being recognised in profit or loss. Subsequently they are measured at fair value. Gains and losses are recognised in profit or loss as they arise.

Designated as at fair value through profit or loss - financial liabilities may be designated as at fair value through profit or loss only if such designation (a) eliminates or significantly reduces a measurement or recognition inconsistency; or (b) applies to a group of financial assets, financial liabilities or both that the Group manages and evaluates on a fair value basis; or (c) relates to an instrument that contains an embedded derivative which is not evidently closely related to the host contract.

Financial liabilities that the Group designates on initial recognition as being at fair value through profit or loss are recognised at fair value, with transaction costs being recognised in profit or loss, and are subsequently measured at fair value. Gains and losses on financial liabilities that are designated as at fair value through profit or loss are recognised in profit or loss as they arise.

Financial liabilities designated as at fair value through profit or loss principally comprise structured liabilities issued by the Group: designation significantly reduces the measurement inconsistency between these liabilities and the related derivatives carried at fair value.

Amortised cost - all other financial liabilities are measured at amortised cost using the effective interest method (see Accounting policy 4).

Fair value - the Group's approach to determining the fair value of financial instruments measured at fair value is set out in the section of Critical accounting policies and key sources of estimation uncertainty entitled Fair value - financial instruments; further details are given in Note 9.

14. Financial guarantee contracts

Under a financial guarantee contract, the Group, in return for a fee, undertakes to meet a customer's obligations under the terms of a debt instrument if the customer fails to do so. A financial guarantee is recognised as a liability; initially at fair value and, if not designated as at fair value through profit or loss, subsequently at the higher of its initial value less cumulative amortisation and any provision under the contract measured in accordance with Accounting policy 9. Amortisation is calculated so as to recognise fees receivable in profit or loss over the period of the guarantee.

15. Loan commitments

Provision is made for loan commitments, other than those classified as held-for-trading, if it is probable that the facility will be drawn and the resulting loan will be recognised at a value less than the cash advanced. Syndicated loan commitments in excess of the level of lending under the commitment approved for retention by the Group are classified as held-for-trading and measured at fair value.

16. Derecognition

A financial asset is derecognised when the contractual right to receive cash flows from the asset has expired or when it has been transferred and the transfer qualifies for derecognition. A transfer requires that the Group either (a) transfers the contractual rights to receive the asset's cash flows; or (b) retains the right to the asset's cash flows but assumes a contractual obligation to pay those cash flows to a third party. After a transfer, the Group assesses the extent to which it has retained the risks and rewards of ownership of the transferred asset. The asset remains on the balance sheet if substantially all the risks and rewards have been retained. It is derecognised if substantially all the risks and rewards have been transferred. If substantially all the risks and rewards have been neither retained nor transferred, the Group assesses whether or not it has retained control of the asset. If it has not retained control, the asset is derecognised. Where the Group has retained control of the asset, it continues to recognise the asset to the extent of its continuing involvement; if the Group has not retained control of the asset, it is derecognised.

A financial liability is removed from the balance sheet when the obligation is discharged, or cancelled, or expires. On the redemption or settlement of debt securities (including subordinated liabilities) issued by the Group, the Group derecognises the debt instrument and records a gain or loss being the difference between the debt's carrying amount and the cost of redemption or settlement. The same treatment applies where the debt is exchanged for a new debt issue that has terms substantially different from those of the existing debt. The assessment of whether the terms of the new debt instrument are substantially different takes into account qualitative and quantitative characteristics including a comparison of the present value of the cash flows under the new terms with present value of the remaining cash flows of the original debt issue discounted at the effective interest rate of the original debt issue.

17. Sale and repurchase transactions

Securities subject to a sale and repurchase agreement under which substantially all the risks and rewards of ownership are retained by the Group continue to be shown on the balance sheet and the sale proceeds recorded as a financial liability. Securities acquired in a reverse sale and repurchase transaction under which the Group is not exposed to substantially all the risks and rewards of ownership are not recognised on the balance sheet and the consideration paid is recorded as a financial asset.

Securities borrowing and lending transactions are usually secured by cash or securities advanced by the borrower. Borrowed securities are not recognised on the balance sheet or lent securities derecognised. Cash collateral given or received is treated as a loan or deposit; collateral in the form of securities is not recognised. However, where securities borrowed are transferred to third parties, a liability for the obligation to return the securities to the stock lending counterparty is recorded.

18. Netting

Financial assets and financial liabilities are offset and the net amount presented in the balance sheet when, and only when, the Group currently has a legally enforceable right to set off the recognised amounts and it intends either to settle on a net basis or to realise the asset and settle the liability simultaneously. The Group is party to a number of arrangements, including master netting agreements, that give it the right to offset financial assets and financial liabilities but where it does not intend to settle the amounts net or simultaneously and therefore the assets and liabilities concerned are presented gross.

19. Capital instruments

The Group classifies a financial instrument that it issues as a liability if it is a contractual obligation to deliver cash or another financial asset, or to exchange financial assets or financial liabilities on potentially unfavourable terms and as equity if it evidences a residual interest in the assets of the Group after the deduction of liabilities. The components of a compound financial instrument issued by the Group are classified and accounted for separately as financial assets, financial liabilities or equity as appropriate.

Incremental costs and related tax that are directly attributable to an equity transaction are deducted from equity.

The consideration for any ordinary shares of the company purchased by the Group (treasury shares) is deducted from equity. On the cancellation of treasury shares their nominal value is removed from equity and any excess of consideration over nominal value is added to shareholders' equity. On the sale or reissue of treasury shares the consideration received is credited to equity, net of any directly attributable incremental costs and related tax.

20. Derivatives and hedging

Derivative financial instruments are initially recognised, and subsequently measured, at fair value. The Group's approach to determining the fair value of financial instruments is set out in the section of Critical accounting policies and key sources of estimation uncertainty entitled Fair value - financial instruments; further details are given in Note 9.

A derivative embedded in a contract is accounted for as a stand-alone derivative if its economic characteristics are not closely related to the economic characteristics of the host contract; unless the entire contract is measured at fair value with changes in fair value recognised in profit or loss.

Gains and losses arising from changes in the fair value of derivatives that are not the hedging instrument in a qualifying hedge are recognised as they arise in profit or loss. Gains and losses are recorded in Income from trading activities except for gains and losses on those derivatives that are managed together with financial instruments designated at fair value; these gains and losses are included in Other operating income.

The Group enters into three types of hedge relationship: hedges of changes in the fair value of a recognised asset or liability or firm commitment (fair value hedges); hedges of the variability in cash flows from a recognised asset or liability or a highly probable forecast transaction (cash flow hedges); and hedges of the net investment in a foreign operation.

Hedge relationships are formally designated and documented at inception. The documentation identifies the hedged item and the hedging instrument and details the risk that is being hedged and the way in which effectiveness will be assessed at inception and during the period of the hedge. If the hedge is not highly effective in offsetting changes in fair values or cash flows attributable to the hedged risk, consistent with the documented risk management strategy, hedge accounting is discontinued. Hedge accounting is also discontinued if the Group revokes the designation of a hedge relationship.

Fair value hedge - in a fair value hedge, the gain or loss on the hedging instrument is recognised in profit or loss. The gain or loss on the hedged item attributable to the hedged risk is recognised in profit or loss and, where the hedged item is measured at amortised cost, adjusts the carrying amount of the hedged item. Hedge accounting is discontinued if the hedge no longer meets the criteria for hedge accounting; or if the hedging instrument expires or is sold, terminated or exercised; or if hedge designation is revoked. If the hedged item is one for which the effective interest rate method is used, any cumulative adjustment is amortised to profit or loss over the life of the hedged item using a recalculated effective interest rate.

Cash flow hedge - in a cash flow hedge, the effective portion of the gain or loss on the hedging instrument is recognised in other comprehensive income and the ineffective portion in profit or loss. When the forecast transaction results in the recognition of a financial asset or financial liability, the cumulative gain or loss is reclassified from equity to profit or loss in the same periods in which the hedged forecast cash flows affect profit or loss. Otherwise the cumulative gain or loss is removed from equity and recognised in profit or loss at the same time as the hedged transaction. Hedge accounting is discontinued if the hedge no longer meets the criteria for hedge accounting; if the hedging instrument expires or is sold, terminated or exercised; if the forecast transaction is no longer expected to occur; or if hedge designation is revoked. On the discontinuance of hedge accounting (except where a forecast transaction is no longer expected to occur), the cumulative unrealised gain or loss is reclassified from equity to profit or loss when the hedged cash flows occur or, if the forecast transaction results in the recognition of a financial asset or financial liability, when the hedged forecast cash flows affect profit or loss. Where a forecast transaction is no longer expected to occur, the cumulative unrealised gain or loss is reclassified from equity to profit or loss immediately.

Hedge of net investment in a foreign operation - in the hedge of a net investment in a foreign operation, the portion of foreign exchange differences arising on the hedging instrument determined to be an effective hedge is recognised in other comprehensive income. Any ineffective portion is recognised in profit or loss. Non-derivative financial liabilities as well as derivatives may be the hedging instrument in a net investment hedge. On disposal or partial disposal of a foreign operation, the amount accumulated in equity is reclassified from equity to profit or loss.

21. Associates and joint ventures

An associate is an entity over which the Group has significant influence. A joint venture is one which it controls jointly with other parties. Investments in associates and interests in joint ventures are recognised using the equity method.

They are stated initially at cost, including attributable goodwill, and subsequently adjusted for post-acquisition changes in the Group's share of net assets.

22. Cash and cash equivalents

In the cash flow statement, cash and cash equivalents comprises cash and demand deposits with banks together with short-term highly liquid investments that are readily convertible to known amounts of cash and subject to insignificant risk of change in value.

Critical accounting policies and key sources of estimation uncertainty

The reported results of the Group are sensitive to the accounting policies, assumptions and estimates that underlie the preparation of its financial statements. Dutch company law and IFRS require the management, in preparing the Group's financial statements, to select suitable accounting policies, apply them consistently and make judgements and estimates that are reasonable and prudent. In the absence of an applicable standard or interpretation, IAS 8 'Accounting Policies, Changes in Accounting Estimates and Errors', requires management to develop and apply an accounting policy that results in relevant and reliable information in the light of the requirements and guidance in IFRS dealing with similar and related issues and the IASB's 'Framework for the Preparation and Presentation of Financial Statements'. The judgements and assumptions involved in the Group's accounting policies that are considered by management to be the most important to the portrayal of its financial condition are discussed below. The use of estimates, assumptions or models that differ from those adopted by the Group would affect its reported results.

(i) Provisions for liabilities

As set out in Note 19, at 31 December 2015 the Group recognised provisions for liabilities in respect of litigation, €47 million.

Provisions are liabilities of uncertain timing or amount, and are recognised when there is a present obligation as a result of a past event, the outflow of economic benefit is probable and the outflow can be estimated reliably. Judgement is involved in determining whether an obligation exists, and in estimating the probability, timing and amount of any outflows. Where the Group can look to another party such as an insurer to pay some or all of the expenditure required to settle a provision, any reimbursement is recognised when, and only when, it is virtually certain that it will be received.

Provisions for litigation - the Group is party to legal proceedings in the Netherlands, the United States and other jurisdictions, arising out of their normal business operations. The measurement and recognition of liabilities in respect of litigation involves a high degree of management judgement. Before the existence of a present obligation as a result of a past event a liability can be confirmed, numerous facts may need to be established, involving extensive and time-consuming discovery, and novel or unsettled legal questions addressed. Once it is determined there is an obligation, assessing the probability of economic outflows and estimating the amount of any liability can be very difficult. In many proceedings, it is not possible to determine whether any loss is probable or to estimate the amount of any loss. Furthermore, for an individual matter, there can be a wide range of possible outcomes and often it is not practicable to quantify a range of such outcomes.

The Group's outstanding litigation is periodically assessed in consultation with external professional advisers, where appropriate, to determine the likelihood of the Group incurring a liability. A detailed description of the Group's material legal proceedings and a discussion of the nature of the associated uncertainties are given in Note 26.

(ii) Loan impairment provisions

The Group's loan impairment provisions are established to recognise incurred impairment losses in its portfolio of loans classified as loans and receivables and carried at amortised cost in accordance with accounting policy 12.

At 31 December 2015, customer loan impairment provisions amounted to €86 million (2014 - €114 million).

A loan is impaired when there is objective evidence that events since the loan was granted have affected expected cash flows from the loan. Such objective evidence, indicative that a borrower's financial condition has deteriorated can include for loans that are individually assessed, the non-payment of interest or principal; debt renegotiation; probable bankruptcy or liquidation; significant reduction in the value of any security; breach of limits or covenants; and deteriorating trading performance and for collectively assessed portfolios: the borrowers' payment status and observable data about relevant macroeconomic measures.

The impairment loss is the difference between the carrying value of the loan and the present value of estimated future cash flows at the loan's original effective interest rate.

There are two components to the Group's loan impairment provisions: individual and latent.

Individual component - all impaired loans that exceed specific thresholds are individually assessed for impairment. Individually assessed loans principally comprise the Group's portfolio of commercial loans to medium and large businesses. Impairment losses are recognised as the difference between the carrying value of the loan and the discounted value of management's best estimate of future cash repayments and proceeds from any security held. These estimates take into account the customer's debt capacity and financial flexibility; the level and quality of its earnings; the amount and sources of cash flows; the industry in which the counterparty operates; and the realisable value of any security held. Estimating the quantum and timing of future recoveries involves significant judgement. The size of receipts will depend on the future performance of the borrower and the value of security, both of which will be affected by future economic conditions; additionally, collateral may not be readily marketable. The actual amount of future cash flows and the date they are received may differ from these estimates and consequently actual losses incurred may differ from those recognised in these financial statements. Latent loss provisions are held against estimated impairment losses in the performing portfolio that have yet to be identified as at the balance sheet date. To assess the latent loss within its portfolios, the Group has developed methodologies to estimate the time that an asset can remain impaired within a performing portfolio before it is identified and reported as such.

(iii) Fair value – financial instruments

In accordance with Accounting policies 11, 13 and 20, financial instruments classified as held-for-trading or designated as at fair value through profit or loss and financial assets classified as available-for-sale are recognised in the financial statements at fair value. All derivatives are measured at fair value.

Fair value is the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. A fair value measurement takes into account the characteristics of the asset or liability if market participants would take those characteristics into account when pricing the asset or liability at the measurement date. It also uses the assumptions that market participants would use when pricing the asset or liability. In determining fair value the Group maximises the use of relevant observable inputs and minimises the use of unobservable inputs.

Where the Group manages a group of financial assets and financial liabilities on the basis of its net exposure to either market risks or credit risk, it measures the fair value of a group of financial assets and financial liabilities on the basis of the price that it would receive to sell a net long position (i.e. an asset) for a particular risk exposure or to transfer a net short position (i.e. a liability) for a particular risk exposure in an orderly transaction at the measurement date under current market conditions.

Credit valuation adjustments are made when valuing derivative financial assets to incorporate counterparty credit risk. Adjustments are also made when valuing financial liabilities measured at fair value to reflect the Group's own credit standing.

Where the market for a financial instrument is not active, fair value is established using a valuation technique. These valuation techniques involve a degree of estimation, the extent of which depends on the instrument's complexity and the availability of market-based data. Further details about the Group's valuation methodologies and the sensitivity to reasonably possible alternative assumptions of the fair value of financial instruments valued using techniques where at least one significant input is unobservable are given in Note 9.

Accounting developments

International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS)

A number of IFRSs and amendments to IFRS were in issue at 31 December 2015 that would affect RBSH from 1 January 2016 or later.

Effective for 2016

'Accounting for Acquisitions of Interests in Joint Operations' issued in May 2014 amends IFRS 11 'Joint Arrangements'. An acquirer of an interest in a joint operation that is a business applies the relevant principles for business combinations in IFRS 3 and other standards and makes the relevant disclosures accordingly. The effective date is 1 January 2016.

'Clarification of Acceptable Methods of Depreciation and Amortisation' issued in May 2014 amends IAS 16 'Property, Plant and Equipment' and IAS 38 'Intangible Assets' requiring amortisation to be based on the consumption of an asset, introducing a rebuttable presumption that this is not achieved by an amortisation profile aligned to revenue. The effective date is 1 January 2016.

Annual Improvements to IFRS 2012 - 2014 cycle was issued in September 2014 making a number of minor amendments to IFRS. Its effective date is 1 January 2016.

Amendments to IFRS 10 'Consolidated Financial Statements', IFRS 12 'Disclosure of Interests in Other Entities' and IAS 28 'Investments in Associates and Joint Ventures' were issued in September 2014 to clarify the accounting for sales between an investor, its associate or joint ventures, and in December 2014 to clarify the application of the investment entity consolidation exception. The September 2014 amendments will be effective from a date to be determined by the IASB and the December 2014 amendments from 1 January 2016.

An amendment to IAS 1 'Presentation of Financial Statements' was issued in December 2014 to clarify the application of materiality to financial statements. Its effective date is 1 January 2016.

None of these amendments is expected to have a material effect on the Group's financial statements.

Effective after 2016 - IFRS 9

In July 2014, the IASB published IFRS 9 'Financial Instruments' with an effective date of 1 January 2018. IFRS 9 replaces the current financial instruments standard IAS 39, setting out new accounting requirements in a number of areas. The Group is continuing its assessment of the standard's effect on its financial statements.

The principal features of IFRS 9 are as follows:

Recognition and derecognition

The material in IAS 39 setting out the criteria for the recognition and derecognition of financial instruments has been included unamended in IFRS 9.

Classification and measurement

Financial assets - There are three classifications for financial assets in IFRS 9: fair value through profit or loss; fair value through other comprehensive income; and amortised cost.

- Financial assets with terms that give rise to interest and principal cash flows only and which are held in a business model whose objective is to hold financial assets to collect their cash flow are measured at amortised cost.
- Financial assets with terms that give rise to interest and principal cash flows only and which are held in a business model whose objective is achieved by holding financial assets to collect their cash flow and selling them are measured at fair value through other comprehensive income.
- Other financial assets are measured at fair value through profit and loss.

However, at initial recognition, any financial asset may be irrevocably designated as measured at fair value through profit or loss if such designation eliminates a measurement or recognition inconsistency.

The Group continues to evaluate the overall effect, but expects that the measurement basis of the majority of the Group's financial assets will be unchanged on application of IFRS 9.

Financial liabilities - IFRS 9's requirements on the classification and measurement of financial liabilities are largely unchanged from those in IAS 39. However, there is a change to the treatment of changes in the fair value attributable to own credit risk of financial liabilities designated as at fair value through profit or loss which are recognised in other comprehensive income and not in profit or loss as required by IAS 39.

Hedge accounting

Hedge accounting requirements are designed to align accounting more closely to the risk management framework; permit a greater variety of hedging instruments; and remove or simplify some of the rule-based requirements in IAS 39. The basic mechanics of hedge accounting: fair value, cash flow and net investment hedges are retained. There is an option in IFRS 9 for an accounting policy choice to continue with the IAS 39 hedge accounting framework. The Group is actively considering its implementation approach.

Credit impairment

IFRS 9's credit impairment requirements apply to financial assets measured at amortised cost, to those measured at fair value through other comprehensive income, to lease receivables and to certain loan commitments and financial guarantee contracts. On initial recognition a loss allowance is established at an amount equal to 12-month expected credit losses ('ECL') that is the portion of life-time expected losses resulting from default events that are possible within the next 12 months. Where a significant increase in credit risk since initial recognition is identified, the loss allowance increases so as to recognise all expected default events over the expected life of the asset. The Group expects that financial assets where there is objective evidence of impairment under IAS 39 will be credit impaired under IFRS 9, and carry loss allowances based on all expected default events.

The assessment of credit risk and the estimation of ECL are required to be unbiased and probability-weighted: determined by evaluating at the reporting date for each customer or loan portfolio a range of possible outcomes using reasonable and supportable information about past events, current conditions and forecasts of future events and economic conditions. The estimation of ECL also takes into account the time value of money. Recognition and measurement of credit impairments under IFRS 9 are more forward-looking than under IAS 39.

A single bank-wide programme has been established to implement the necessary changes in the modelling of credit loss parameters, and the underlying credit management and financial processes; this programme is led jointly by Risk and Finance. The inclusion of loss allowances on all financial assets will tend to result in an increase in overall impairment balances when compared with the existing basis of measurement under IAS 39.

Transition

The classification and measurement and impairment requirements are applied retrospectively by adjusting the opening balance sheet at the date of initial application, with no requirement to restate comparative periods. Hedge accounting is generally applied prospectively from that date.

Effective after 2016 – other standards

In January 2016, the IASB amended IAS 7 'Cash Flow Statements' to require disclosure of the movements in financing liabilities. The amendment is effective from 1 January 2017.

In January 2016, the IASB amended IAS 12 'Income taxes' to clarify the recognition of deferred tax assets in respect of unrealised losses. The amendment is effective from 1 January 2017.

IFRS 15 'Revenue from Contracts with Customers' was issued in May 2014. It will replace IAS 11 'Construction Contracts', IAS 18 'Revenue' and several Interpretations. Contracts are bundled or unbundled into distinct performance obligations with revenue recognised as the obligations are met. It is effective from 1 January 2018.

IFRS 16 'Leases' was issued in January 2016 to replace IAS 17 'Leases'. Accounting for finance leases will remain substantially the same. Operating leases will be brought on balance sheet through the recognition of assets representing the contractual rights of use and liabilities will be recognised for the contractual payments. The effective date is 1 January 2019.

The Group is assessing the effect of adopting these standards on its financial statements.

Notes on the consolidated accounts

1 Net interest income

	2015 €m	2014 €m	2013 €m
Loans and advances to customers	156	256	339
Loans and advances to banks	35	48	100
Amounts due from ultimate holding company	11	151	183
Debt securities	67	77	146
Interest receivable	269	532	768
Customer accounts	58	94	130
Deposits by banks	20	50	188
Debt securities in issue	8	12	17
Subordinated liabilities	204	182	203
Internal funding of trading businesses	1	7	(8)
Interest payable	291	345	530
Net interest income	(22)	187	238

2 Non-interest income

	2015 €m	2014 €m	2013 €m
Fees and commissions receivable			
Lending (credit facilities), trade finance and payment services	15	27	66
Brokerage and other	2	131	53
	17	158	119
Fees and commissions payable	(9)	(16)	(49)
Income from trading activities			
Foreign exchange	(39)	(25)	(48)
Interest rate	(4)	34	294
Credit	7	5	(273)
Equities and commodities	54	(23)	73
	18	(9)	46
Other operating income/(loss)			
Changes in the fair value of own debt designated as at fair value through profit or loss attributable to own credit net of the effect of economic hedges (1)	(33)	(14)	(89)
Changes in the fair value of financial assets designated at fair value through profit or loss	175	66	(13)
Loss on sale of securities	(49)	(322)	(53)
Profit on sale of subsidiaries and associates	77	14	37
Dividend income	—	5	—
Share of profits of associated entities	155	117	59
Other income	(49)	87	142
Other operating income	276	(47)	83
Non-interest income	302	86	199

Note:

(1) Measured as the change in fair value from movements in the year in the credit risk premium payable by the Group.

Notes on the consolidated accounts

3 Operating expenses

	2015 €m	2014 €m	2013 €m
Wages, salaries and other staff costs	40	72	131
Social security costs	2	2	9
Pension costs	6	9	17
Restructuring costs	25	2	18
Staff costs	73	85	175
Premises and equipment	23	26	50
Other administrative expenses	120	194	291
Property, plant and equipment	6	7	13
Intangible assets	1	7	8
Depreciation and amortisation	7	14	21
Write down of intangible assets	2	—	—
	225	319	537

Restructuring costs included in operating expenses comprise:

	2015 €m	2014 €m	2013 €m
Staff costs	25	2	18
Premises and depreciation	5	—	18
Other administrative expenses	6	(8)	43
	36	(6)	79

The number of persons employed at 31 December 2015 (full time equivalent rounded to the nearest hundred) in continuing operations was 800 (2014 - 1,700; 2013 - 2,500). The average number of persons employed in continuing operations during the year was 1,300 (2014 - 2,200; 2013 - 5,900).

Notes on the consolidated accounts

4 Pensions

The Group sponsors a number of pension schemes overseas. These schemes include both defined contribution and defined benefit plans, whose assets are independent of the Group's finances. The Group's defined benefit schemes generally provide pensions that are based on average or final salary with annual price evaluation of vested rights. In general, employees do not make contributions for basic pensions but may make voluntary contributions to secure additional benefits.

The Group has no material post-retirement benefit obligations other than pensions.

During 2012, the Group's main pension scheme was transferred to RBS plc. The majority of the Group pension plans are located in India. At 31 December 2012, all of the Group's defined benefit plans were included in assets and liabilities of disposal groups. At 31 December 2015, 2014 and 2013, these schemes were no longer classified as disposal groups.

Interim valuations of the Group's schemes under IAS 19 'Employee Benefits' were prepared to 31 December with the support of independent actuaries, using the following assumptions:

	All schemes	
	2015 %	2014 %
Discount rate	8.1	7.9
Expected return on plan assets	8.1	7.9
Rate of increase in salaries	5.0	5.0

Major classes of plan assets as a percentage of total plan assets

	All schemes	
	2015 %	2014 %
Government fixed interest bonds	64.1	25.4
Corporate and other bonds	16.4	74.6
Cash and other assets	19.5	—

Changes in value of net pension liability

	All schemes		
	Fair value of plan assets €m	Present value of defined benefit obligations €m	Net pension liability €m
At 1 January 2014	10	30	20
Disposals	—	(2)	(2)
Currency translation and other adjustments	1	5	4
Expected return	1	—	(1)
Net interest expense	—	4	4
Current service cost	—	1	1
Actuarial gains and losses	—	8	8
Contributions by employer	2	—	(2)
Benefits paid	(5)	(5)	—
At 1 January 2015	9	41	32
Currency translation and other adjustments	—	2	2
Expected return	1	—	(1)
Net interest expense	—	3	3
Current service cost	—	1	1
Actuarial gains and losses	—	(2)	(2)
Contributions by employer	1	—	(1)
Benefits paid	(3)	(3)	—
At 31 December 2015	8	42	34

Notes on the consolidated accounts

4 Pensions continued

Net pension deficit comprises:	2015 €m	2014 €m
Net liabilities of schemes in deficit (included in Provisions, accruals and other liabilities, Note 19)	34	32

	All schemes				
History of defined benefit schemes	2015 €m	2014 €m	2013 €m	2012 €m	2011 €m
Fair value of plan assets	8	9	10	—	371
Present value of defined benefit obligations	42	41	30	—	353
Net deficit/(surplus)	34	32	20	—	(18)
Experience gains on plan liabilities	1	—	—	1	1
Experience gains on plan assets	—	—	—	—	33
Actual return on pension schemes assets	1	1	1	—	92
Actual return on pension schemes assets - %	11.1%	10.0%	—	—	7.4%

The table below sets out the sensitivities of the present value of defined benefit obligations at 31 December to a change in the principal actuarial assumptions.

	All schemes (decrease)/increase in obligation for year	
	2015 €m	2014 €m
0.25% increase in the discount rate	(2)	(2)
0.25% additional rate of increase in pensions in payment	2	2
Longevity increase of 1 year	1	1

Pension risk

The Group is exposed to risk from its defined benefit pension schemes to the extent that the assets of the schemes do not fully match the timing and amount of the schemes' liabilities. Pensions scheme liabilities vary with changes to long-term interest rates, inflation, pensionable salaries and the longevity of scheme members as well as changes in legislation. Ultimate responsibility for the Group's pension scheme is separate from the Group management. The Group is exposed to the risk that the market value of the schemes' assets, together with future returns and any additional future contributions could be considered insufficient to meet the liabilities as they fall due. In such circumstances, the Group could be obliged, or may choose, to make additional contributions to the schemes.

The pension funds in India are the main source of pension risk. The Trustees or Pension Boards of these schemes are solely responsible for the investment of the schemes' assets which are held separately from the assets of the Group.

5 Auditor's remuneration

Amounts charged to the income statement relating to the Group's auditors for statutory audit and other services are set out below. All audit-related and other services are approved by the Group Supervisory Board and are subject to strict controls to ensure external auditor's independence is unaffected by the provision of other services. The Committee recognises that for certain assignments the auditors are best placed to perform the work economically. For other work the Group selects the supplier best placed to meet its requirements. The Group's auditors are free to tender for such work in competition with other firms where the work is permissible under audit independence rules.

	2015 €m	2014 €m	2013 €m
Fees for the audit of RBSH Group's annual accounts	3.2	3.5	5.0
Audit related services (1)	0.7	0.7	0.8
Total audit and audit-related services	3.9	4.2	5.8
All other services	—	0.4	0.1
Total	3.9	4.6	5.9

Note:

(1) Includes fees of €0.7 million for 2015 in respect of regulatory audits (2014 - €0.7 million; 2013 - €0.6 million).

Deloitte Accountants B.V. provided audit and audit-related services amounting to €0.9 million (2014 - €0.8 million). The amount paid to Deloitte LLP in 2015 in respect of audit fees was €1.6 million (2014 - €1.5 million). The remaining amounts relate to services provided by other Deloitte Member Firms.

Notes on the consolidated accounts

6 Tax

	2015 €m	2014 €m	2013 €m
Current tax			
Charge for the year	(10)	(57)	(49)
Over provision in respect of prior periods	17	18	162
	7	(39)	113
Deferred tax			
Credit/(charge) for the year	12	(15)	(45)
Over/(under) provision in respect of prior periods	1	(4)	(80)
Tax credit/(charge) for the year	20	(58)	(12)

The actual tax credit/(charge) differs from the expected tax credit computed by applying the statutory tax rate of the Netherlands of 25% as follows:

	2015 €m	2014 €m	2013 €m
Expected tax credit	12	14	36
Non-deductible items	(2)	(54)	(18)
Non-taxable items (including recycling of foreign exchange reserve)	73	65	35
Foreign profits taxed at other rates	8	6	5
Losses in year not recognised	(154)	(67)	(163)
Losses brought forward and utilised	27	7	26
Reduction/(increase) in carrying value of deferred tax liability in respect of associates	38	(6)	(29)
Adjustments in respect of prior years ⁽¹⁾	18	14	111
Other	—	(37)	(15)
Actual tax credit/(charge)	20	(58)	(12)

Note:

(1) Prior period tax adjustments include tax provision movements, adjustments to reflect submitted tax computations in the Netherlands and overseas, recovery of previously written-off current tax assets and changes in the valuation of deferred tax assets previously recognised.

7 Dividends

No dividend was paid by RBS N.V. during the year ended 31 December 2015 (2014 - €300 million; 2013 - nil).

Notes on the consolidated accounts

8 Financial instruments - classification

The following tables analyse the Group's financial assets and liabilities in accordance with the categories of financial instruments in IAS 39. Assets and liabilities outside the scope of IAS 39 are shown within other assets and other liabilities.

	Held-for-trading €m	Designated as at fair value through profit or loss €m	Hedging derivatives €m	Available- for-sale €m	Loans and receivables €m	Other assets €m	Total €m
Assets							
Cash and balances at central banks	—	—		—	543		543
Loans and advances to banks (1, 8)	—	—		—	6,896		6,896
Loans and advances to customers (2, 8)	59	—		—	2,134		2,193
Amounts due from ultimate holding company	—	—		—	138		138
Debt securities (3)	133	151		1,614	26		1,924
Equity shares	82	90		43	—		215
Settlement balances	—	—		—	71		71
Derivatives (8)	2,144		77				2,221
Other assets	—	—		—	—	1,745	1,745
31 December 2015	2,418	241	77	1,657	9,808	1,745	15,946
Cash and balances at central banks	—	—		—	1,135		1,135
Loans and advances to banks (1, 8)	336	—		—	7,325		7,661
Loans and advances to customers (2, 8)	267	—		—	3,272		3,539
Amounts due from ultimate holding company	—	—		—	123		123
Debt securities (3)	160	148		2,649	26		2,983
Equity shares	125	93		42	—		260
Settlement balances	—	—		—	40		40
Derivatives (8)	4,369		63				4,432
Assets of disposal groups						1,257	1,257
Other assets	—	—		—	—	2,086	2,086
31 December 2014	5,257	241	63	2,691	11,921	3,343	23,516

For notes relating to this table see the following page.

Notes on the consolidated accounts

8 Financial instruments - classification continued

	Held-for-trading €m	Designated as at fair value through profit or loss €m	Hedging derivatives €m	Amortised cost €m	Other liabilities €m	Total €m
Liabilities						
Deposits by banks (4,8)	251	—		2,693		2,944
Customer accounts (5)	255	—		1,226		1,481
Debt securities in issue (6)	3	400		—		403
Settlement balances and short positions	1	—		35		36
Derivatives (8)	1,833		28			1,861
Subordinated liabilities	—	659		3,797		4,456
Other liabilities	—	—		—	1,106	1,106
31 December 2015	2,343	1,059	28	7,751	1,106	12,287
Deposits by banks (4,8)	1,131	—		4,015		5,146
Customer accounts (5)	335	—		2,599		2,934
Debt securities in issue (6,7)	3	590		424		1,017
Settlement balances and short positions	2	—		32		34
Derivatives (8)	4,034		521			4,555
Subordinated liabilities	—	666		4,438		5,104
Liabilities of disposal groups					46	46
Other liabilities	—	—		13	1,197	1,210
31 December 2014	5,505	1,256	521	11,521	1,243	20,046

Notes:

- (1) Includes reverse repurchase agreements of €3,335 million (2014 - €1,070 million).
- (2) Includes reverse repurchase agreements of €36 million (2014 - nil).
- (3) Includes treasury bills, similar securities and other eligible bills of €730 million (2014 - €272 million).
- (4) Includes repurchase agreements of nil (2014 - €636 million).
- (5) Includes repurchase agreements of nil (2014 - €1 million).
- (6) Includes bonds and medium term notes of €403 million (2014 - €1,017 million).
- (7) €31 million (2014 - €14 million) has been recognised in profit or loss for changes in credit risk associated with debt securities in issue designated as at fair value through profit or loss measured as the change in fair value from movements in the period in the credit risk premium payable by the Group.
- (8) Includes the following intergroup balances:
 - Loans and advances to banks of €5,858 million (2014 - €6,026 million).
 - Loans and advances to customers of €548 million (2014 - €373 million).
 - Derivative assets of €1,283 million (2014 - €2,255 million).
 - Bank deposits of €2,681 million (2014 - €3,843 million).
 - Derivative liabilities of €1,473 million (2014 - €3,403 million).

Notes on the consolidated accounts

8 Financial instruments - classification continued

Amounts included in the consolidated income statement:

	2015 €m	2014 €m	2013 €m
Gains/(losses) on financial assets/liabilities designated as at fair value through profit or loss	138	54	(28)
(Losses)/gains on disposal or settlement of loans and receivables	(2)	2	(28)

The tables below present information on financial assets and liabilities that are offset in the balance sheet under IFRS or subject to enforceable master netting agreement together with financial collateral received or given.

	Offsetable instruments			Offsetable potential not recognised by IFRS		
	Gross €m	IFRS offset €m	Balance sheet €m	Effect of master netting and similar agreements €m	Financial collateral €m	Net amount after the effect of netting arrangements and related collateral €m
2015						
Financial assets						
Derivatives	102	—	102	(14)	(21)	67
Loans and advances to customers	775	(775)	—	—	—	—
Reverse repurchase agreements	46	—	46	—	(46)	—
	923	(775)	148	(14)	(67)	67
Financial liabilities						
Derivatives	39	—	39	(14)	—	25
Customer accounts	775	(775)	—	—	—	—
	814	(775)	39	(14)	—	25
2014						
Financial assets						
Derivatives	1,673	—	1,673	(1,393)	(280)	—
Loans and advance to customers	1,104	(1,104)	—	—	—	—
	2,777	(1,104)	1,673	(1,393)	(280)	—
Financial liabilities						
Derivatives	2,874	—	2,874	(1,393)	(245)	1,236
Customer accounts	1,104	(1,104)	—	—	—	—
	3,978	(1,104)	2,874	(1,393)	(245)	1,236

9 Financial instruments - valuation

Valuation of financial instruments carried at fair value

Control environment

Common valuation policies, procedures, frameworks and models apply across the RBS Group. Therefore for the most part, discussions on these aspects below reflect those in the RBS Group as relevant for businesses and operations in the Group.

The Group's control environment for the determination of the fair value of financial instruments includes formalised protocols for the review and validation of fair values independent of the businesses entering into the transactions. There are specific controls to ensure consistent pricing policies and procedures, incorporating disciplined price verification. The Group ensures that appropriate attention is given to bespoke transactions, structured products, illiquid products and other instruments which are difficult to price.

Independent price verification (IPV)

IPV is a key element of the control environment. Valuations are first performed by the business which entered into the transaction. Such valuations may be directly from available prices, or may be derived using a model and variable model inputs. These valuations are reviewed, and if necessary amended, by a team independent of those trading the financial instruments, in light of available pricing evidence.

IPV differences are classified according to the quality of independent market observables into IPV quality bands linked to the fair value hierarchy principles, as laid out in IFRS 13 'Fair Value Measurement'. These differences are classified into fair value levels 1, 2 and 3 (with the valuation uncertainty risk increasing as the levels rise from 1 to 3) and then further classified into high, medium, low and indicative depending on the quality of the independent data available to validate the prices. Valuations are revised if they are outside agreed thresholds.

Governance framework

IPV takes place at least each month end date, for exposures in the regulatory trading book and at least quarterly for exposures in the regulatory banking book. The IPV control includes formalised reporting and escalation of any valuation differences in breach of established thresholds. The Pricing Unit determines IPV policy, monitors adherence to that policy and performs additional independent reviews of highly subjective valuation issues.

The Modelled Product Review Committee sets the policy for model documentation, testing and review, and prioritises models with significant exposure for review by RBS Group's Pricing Model Risk team. Valuation Committees are made up of valuation specialists and senior business representatives from various functions and oversee pricing, reserving and valuations issues as relevant to businesses within the RBS Group. These committees meet monthly to review and ratify any methodology changes. The Executive Valuation Committee meets quarterly to address key material and subjective valuation issues, to review items escalated by the Valuation Committees and to discuss other relevant matters including prudential valuation.

Valuation hierarchy

Initial classification of a financial instrument is carried out by the Product Control team following the principles in IFRS 13. They base their judgment on information gathered during the IPV process for instruments which include the sourcing of independent prices and model inputs. The quality and completeness of the information gathered in the IPV process gives an indication as to the liquidity and valuation uncertainty of an instrument.

These initial classifications are reviewed and challenged by the Pricing Unit, and are also subject to senior management review. Particular attention is paid to instruments crossing from one level to another, new instrument classes or products, instruments that are generating significant profit and loss and instruments where valuation uncertainty is high.

Valuation techniques

The Group derives fair value of its instruments differently depending on whether the instrument is a modelled or non-modelled product.

Non-modelled products

Non-modelled products are valued directly from a price input typically on a position by position basis and include cash, equities and most debt securities.

Modelled products

Modelled products valued using a pricing model range in complexity from comparatively vanilla products such as interest rate swaps and options (e.g. interest rate caps and floors) through to more complex derivatives. The valuation of modelled products requires an appropriate model and inputs into this model. Sometimes models are also used to derive inputs (e.g. to construct volatility surfaces). The Group uses a number of modelling methodologies.

Inputs to valuation models

Values between and beyond available data points are obtained by interpolation and extrapolation. When utilising valuation techniques, the fair value can be significantly affected by the choice of valuation model and by underlying assumptions concerning factors such as the amounts and timing of cash flows, discount rates and credit risk. The principal inputs to these valuation techniques are as follows:

- Bond prices - quoted prices are generally available for government bonds, certain corporate securities and some mortgage-related products.
- Credit spreads - where available, these are derived from prices of credit default swaps or other credit based instruments, such as debt securities. For others, credit spreads are obtained from pricing services.
- Interest rates - these are principally benchmark interest rates such as the London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR), Overnight Index Swaps rate (OIS) and other quoted interest rates in the swap, bond and futures markets.
- Foreign currency exchange rates - there are observable markets both for spot and forward contracts and futures in the world's major currencies.

- Equity and equity index prices - quoted prices are generally readily available for equity shares listed on the world's major stock exchanges and for major indices on such shares.
- Commodity prices - many commodities are actively traded in spot and forward contracts and futures on exchanges in London, New York and other commercial centres.
- Price volatilities and correlations - volatility is a measure of the tendency of a price to change with time. Correlation measures the degree which two or more prices or other variables are observed to move together.
- Prepayment rates - the fair value of a financial instrument that can be prepaid by the issuer or borrower differs from that of an instrument that cannot be prepaid. In valuing prepayable instruments that are not quoted in active markets, the Group considers the value of the prepayment option.
- Counterparty credit spreads - adjustments are made to market prices (or parameters) when the creditworthiness of the counterparty differs from that of the assumed counterparty in the market price (or parameters).
- Recovery rates/loss given default - these are used as an input to valuation models and reserves for asset-backed securities and other credit products as an indicator of severity of losses on default. Recovery rates are primarily sourced from market data providers or inferred from observable credit spreads.

Consensus pricing

The Group uses consensus prices for the IPV of some instruments. The consensus service encompasses the equity, interest rate, currency, commodity, credit, property, fund and bond markets, providing comprehensive matrices of vanilla prices and a wide selection of exotic products. The Group contributes to consensus pricing services where there is a significant interest either from a positional point of view or to test models for future business use. Data sourced from consensus pricing services is used for a combination of control processes including direct price testing, evidence of observability and model testing. In practice this means that the Group submits prices for all material positions for which a service is available. Data from consensus services are subject to the same level of quality review as other inputs used for IPV process

In order to determine a reliable fair value, where appropriate, management applies valuation adjustments to the pricing information gathered from the above sources. The sources of independent data are reviewed for quality and are applied in the IPV processes using formalised input quality hierarchy. These adjustments reflect the Group's assessment of factors that market participants would consider in setting a price.

Furthermore, on an ongoing basis, the Group assesses the appropriateness of any model used. To the extent that the price determined by internal models does not represent the fair value of the instrument, for instance in highly stressed market conditions, the Group makes adjustments to the model valuation to calibrate to other available pricing sources.

Where unobservable inputs are used, the Group may determine a range of possible valuations derived from differing stress scenarios to determine the sensitivity associated with the valuation. When establishing the fair value of a financial instrument using a valuation technique, the Group considers certain adjustments to the modelled price which market participants would make when pricing that instrument. Such adjustments include the credit quality of the counterparty and adjustments to compensate for any known model limitations.

Valuation reserves

When valuing financial instruments in the trading book, adjustments are made to mid-market valuations to cover bid-offer spread, liquidity and credit risk.

Credit valuation adjustments

Credit valuation adjustments (CVA) represent an estimate of the adjustment to fair value that a market participant would make to incorporate the risk inherent in counterparty derivative exposures. CVA reserve at 31 December 2015 was €29 million (2014 - €54 million).

The CVA is calculated on a portfolio basis reflecting an estimate of the amount a third party would charge to assume the credit risk.

Where a positive exposure exists to a counterparty that is considered to be close to default, the CVA is calculated by applying expected losses to the current level of exposure. Otherwise, expected losses are applied to estimated potential future positive exposures which are modelled to reflect the volatility of the market factors which drive the exposures and the correlation between those factors.

Expected losses are determined from market implied probabilities of default and internally assessed recovery levels. The probability of default is calculated with reference to observable credit spreads and observable recovery levels. For counterparties where observable data do not exist, the probability of default is determined from the credit spreads and recovery levels of similarly rated entities.

Collateral held under a credit support agreement is factored into the CVA calculation. In such cases where the Group holds collateral against counterparty exposures, CVA is held to the extent that residual risk remains.

9 Financial instruments - valuation continued

Own credit

The Group takes into account the effect of its own credit standing when valuing financial liabilities recorded at fair value in accordance with IFRS. Own credit spread adjustments are made to issued debt held at fair value, including issued structured notes, and derivatives. An own credit adjustment is applied to positions where it is believed that counterparties would consider the Group's creditworthiness when pricing trades.

For issued debt and structured notes this adjustment is based on debt issuance spreads above average inter-bank rates (at a range of tenors). Secondary senior debt issuance spreads are used in the calculation of the own credit adjustment applied to senior debt.

The fair value of the Group's derivative financial liabilities is adjusted to reflect the Group's own credit risk (DVA). Expected gains are applied to estimated potential future negative exposures, the modelling of which is consistent with the approach used in calculation of CVA relating to other counterparties. Expected gains are determined from market implied probabilities of default and recovery levels. Funding valuation adjustments (FVA) is also now considered the primary adjustment applied to derivative liabilities; the extent to which DVA and FVA overlap is eliminated from DVA.

The own credit adjustment for fair value does not alter cash flows, is not used for performance management, is disregarded for regulatory capital reporting processes and will reverse over time as the liabilities mature.

The reserve movement between periods will not equate to the reported profit or loss for own credit. The balance sheet reserves are stated by conversion of underlying currency balances at spot rates for each period whereas the income statement includes intra-period foreign exchange sell-offs.

The effect of change in credit spreads could reverse in future periods provided the liability is not repaid at a premium or a discount.

The cumulative own credit adjustments on own liabilities are set out below.

	Debt securities in issue and subordinated liabilities (1) €m	Derivatives €m	Total €m
Cumulative own credit adjustment - decrease in liability			
2015	(182)	(6)	(188)
2014	(212)	(5)	(217)
Book values of underlying liabilities	€bn		
2015	1.1		
2014	1.3		

Note:

(1) Includes wholesale and retail note issuances.

Notes on the consolidated accounts

Valuation hierarchy

The following tables show financial instruments carried at fair value on the balance sheet by valuation hierarchy - level 1, level 2, level 3 and level 3 sensitivities.

2015	Level 2 €bn	Level 3 €bn	Total €bn	Level 3 sensitivity (2)	
				Favourable €m	Unfavourable €m
Assets					
Loans and advances	0.1	—	0.1	—	—
Debt securities	1.7	0.2	1.9	14	(13)
- of which AFS	1.6	—	1.6	—	—
Equity shares	0.1	0.1	0.2	15	(15)
Derivatives	2.1	0.1	2.2	10	(11)
	4.0	0.4	4.4	39	(39)
Proportion	90.9%	9.1%	100.0%		
Liabilities					
Deposits	0.4	0.1	0.5	10	(10)
Debt securities in issue	0.4	—	0.4	—	—
Derivatives	1.6	0.2	1.8	16	(28)
Subordinated liabilities	0.7	—	0.7	—	—
	3.1	0.3	3.4	26	(38)
Proportion	91.2%	8.8%	100.0%		

For notes relating to this table see the following page.

Notes on the consolidated accounts

9 Financial instruments - valuation continued

2014	Level 1 €bn	Level 2 €bn	Level 3 €bn	Total €bn	Level 3 sensitivity (2)	
					Favourable €m	Unfavourable €m
Assets						
Loans and advances	—	0.6	—	0.6	—	—
Debt securities	0.7	2.3	—	3.0	4	(3)
- of which AFS	0.7	1.9	—	2.6	3	(3)
Equity shares	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.3	18	(19)
Derivatives	—	4.3	0.1	4.4	2	(2)
	0.8	7.3	0.2	8.3	24	(24)
Proportion	9.6%	88.0%	2.4%	100.0%		
Liabilities						
Deposits	—	1.4	—	1.4	—	—
Debt securities in issue	—	0.5	0.1	0.6	5	(4)
Derivatives	—	4.5	0.1	4.6	4	(3)
Subordinated liabilities	—	0.7	—	0.7	—	—
	—	7.1	0.2	7.3	9	(7)
Proportion	—	97.3%	2.7%	100.0%		

Notes:

(1) Level 1: valued using unadjusted quoted prices in active markets, for identical financial instruments.

Level 2: valued using techniques based significantly on observable market data. Instruments in this category are valued using:

(a) quoted prices for similar instruments or identical instruments in markets which are not considered to be active; or

(b) valuation techniques where all the inputs that have a significant effect on the valuations are directly or indirectly based on observable market data.

Level 3: instruments in this category have been valued using a valuation technique where at least one input which could have a significant effect on the instrument's valuation, is not based on observable market data. Where inputs can be observed from market data without undue cost and effort, the observed input is used. Otherwise, the Group determines a reasonable level for the input.

(2) Sensitivity represents the favourable and unfavourable effect on the income statement or the statement of comprehensive income due to reasonably possible changes to valuations using reasonably possible alternative inputs to the Group's valuation techniques or models. Totals for sensitivities are not indicative of the total potential effect on the income statement or the statement of comprehensive income. The level 3 sensitivities above are calculated at a trade or low level portfolio basis. They are not calculated on an overall portfolio basis and therefore do not reflect the likely overall potential uncertainty on the whole portfolio. The figures are aggregated and do not reflect the correlated nature of some of the sensitivities. In particular, for some of the portfolios the sensitivities may be negatively correlated where a downwards movement in one asset would produce an upwards movement in another, but due to the additive presentation of the above figures this correlation cannot be observed. The actual potential downside sensitivity of the total portfolio may be less than the non-correlated sum of the additive figures as shown in the above table.

(3) Transfers between levels are deemed to have occurred at the beginning of the quarter in which the instruments were transferred. There were no significant transfers between level 1 and level 2.

(4) The Group does not have any material liabilities measured at fair value that are issued with an inseparable third party credit enhancement.

(5) Level 3 instruments were €0.4 billion for assets and €0.3 for liabilities (2014 - €0.2 billion for both assets and liabilities). The decrease during the year primarily related to €0.2 billion sales for assets and €0.1 billion for liabilities (2014 - the decrease during the year primarily related to €0.2 billion sales for both assets and liabilities and income statement losses of €0.1 billion on assets and gains of €0.1 billion on liabilities). Transfers from level 2 to 3 were €143 million for assets and €163 million for liabilities (2014 - transfers from level 2 to 3 were €66 million assets and €33 million liabilities). Transfers from level 3 to level 2 were €74 million in 2015 (2014 - not material). Amounts recognised in other comprehensive income were not material in either period presented.

The amount of the total gains or losses included in profit or loss that is attributable to the change in unrealised gains or losses relating to those assets and liabilities held at the end of the reporting period was not material for any period presented.

(6) For an analysis of derivatives by type of contract – see Note 12.

The Level 3 sensitivities above are calculated at a trade or low level portfolio basis. They are not calculated on an overall portfolio basis and therefore do not reflect the likely potential uncertainty on the portfolio as a whole. The figures are aggregated and do not reflect the correlated nature of some of the sensitivities. In particular, for some of the portfolios the sensitivities may be negatively correlated where a downwards movement in one asset would produce an upwards movement in another, but due to the additive presentation of the above figures this correlation cannot be displayed. The actual potential downside sensitivity of the total portfolio may be less than the non-correlated sum of the additive figures as shown in the above table.

Judgmental issues

The diverse range of products traded by the Group results in a wide range of instruments that are classified into Level 3 of the hierarchy. Whilst the majority of these instruments naturally fall into a particular level, for some products an element of judgement is required. The majority of the Group's financial instruments carried at fair value are classified as Level 2: inputs are observable either directly (i.e. as a price) or indirectly (i.e. derived from prices).

Active and inactive markets

A key input in the decision making process for the allocation of assets to a particular level is liquidity. In general, the degree of valuation uncertainty depends on the degree of liquidity of an input.

Where markets are liquid or very liquid, little judgement is required. However, when the information regarding the liquidity in a particular market is not clear, a judgement may need to be made. This can be made more difficult as assessing the liquidity of a market is not always straightforward. For an equity traded on an exchange, daily volumes of trading can be seen, but for an over-the-counter (OTC) derivative assessing the liquidity of the market with no central exchange can be more difficult.

A key related issue is where a market moves from liquid to illiquid or vice versa. Where this change is considered to be temporary, the classification is not changed. For example, if there is little market trading in a product on a reporting date but at the previous reporting date and during the intervening period the market has been considered to be liquid, the instrument will continue to be classified in the same level in the hierarchy. This is to provide consistency so that transfers between levels are driven by genuine changes in market liquidity and do not reflect short term or seasonal effects.

The breadth and depth of the IPV data allows for a rules based quality assessment to be made of market activity, liquidity and pricing uncertainty, which assists with the process of allocation to an appropriate level. Where suitable independent pricing information is not readily available the instrument will be considered to be Level 3.

Modelled products

For modelled products the market convention is to quote these trades through the model inputs or parameters as opposed to a cash price equivalent. A mark-to-market is derived from the use of the independent market inputs calculated using the Group's model.

The decision to classify a modelled asset as Level 2 or 3 will be dependent upon the product/model combination, the currency, the maturity, the observability and quality of input parameters and other factors. All these need to be assessed to classify the asset.

If an input fails the observability or quality tests then the instrument is considered to be in Level 3 unless the input can be shown to have an insignificant effect on the overall valuation of the product.

The majority of derivative instruments, for example, vanilla interest rate swaps, foreign exchange swaps and liquid single name credit derivatives are classified as Level 2 as they are vanilla products valued using observable inputs. The valuation uncertainty on these is considered to be low and both input and output testing may be available.

Non-modelled products

Non-modelled products are generally quoted on a price basis and can therefore be considered for each of the three levels. This is determined by the market activity liquidity and valuation uncertainty of the instruments which is in turn measured from the availability of independent data used by the IPV process to allocate positions to IPV quality levels.

The availability and quality of independent pricing information is considered during the classification process. An assessment is made regarding the quality of the independent information. For example where consensus prices are used for non-modelled products, a key assessment of the quality of a price is the depth of the number of prices used to provide the consensus price. If the depth of contributors falls below a set hurdle rate, the instrument is considered to be Level 3. This hurdle rate is that used in the IPV process to determine the IPV quality rating. However, where an instrument is generally considered to be illiquid, but regular quotes from market participants exist, these instruments may be classified as Level 2 depending on frequency of quotes, other available pricing and whether the quotes are used as part of the IPV process or not.

9 Financial instruments - valuation continued

For some instruments with a wide number of available price sources, there may be differing quality of available information and there may be a wide range of prices from different sources. In these situations the highest quality source is used to determine the classification of the asset. For example, a tradable quote would be considered a better source than a consensus price.

Level 3 portfolios and sensitivity methodologies

Reasonably possible alternative assumptions of unobservable inputs are determined based on a 90% confidence interval. The assessments recognise different favourable and unfavourable valuation movements where appropriate. Each unobservable input within a product is considered separately and sensitivity is reported on an additive basis.

Alternative assumptions are determined with reference to all available evidence including consideration of the following: quality of independent pricing information taking into account consistency between different sources, variation over time, perceived tradability or otherwise of available quotes; consensus service dispersion ranges; volume of trading activity and market bias (e.g. one-way inventory); day 1 profit or loss arising on new trades; number and nature of market participants; market conditions; modelling consistency in the market; size and nature of risk; length of holding of position; and market intelligence.

Other considerations

Valuation adjustments

CVA applied to derivative exposures to other counterparties and own credit adjustments applied to derivative liabilities (DVA) are calculated on a portfolio basis. Whilst the methodology used to calculate each of these adjustments references certain inputs which are not based on observable market data, these inputs are not considered to have a significant effect on the net valuation of the related portfolios. The classification of the derivative portfolios which the valuation adjustments are applied to is not determined by the observability of the valuation adjustments, and any related sensitivity does not form part of the Level 3 sensitivities presented.

Own credit - issued debt

For structured notes issued the own credit adjustment is based on debt issuance spreads above average inter-bank rates at the reporting date (at a range of tenors). Whilst certain debt issuance spreads are not based on observable market data, these inputs are not considered to have a significant effect on the valuation of individual trades. Neither the classification of structured notes issued nor any related valuation sensitivities are determined by the observability of the debt issuance spreads.

Notes on the consolidated accounts

9 Financial instruments – valuation continued

Fair value of financial instruments not carried at fair value

The following table shows the carrying value and fair value of financial instruments carried at amortised cost on the balance sheet.

	Items where fair value approximates carrying value €m	Carrying value €m	Fair value €m	Fair value hierarchy level	
2015				Level 2 €m	Level 3 €m
Financial assets					
Cash and balances at central banks	543				
Loans and advances to banks		6,896	6,953	4,649	2,304
Loans and advances to customers		2,134	2,051	399	1,652
Amount due from ultimate holding company		138	128	128	—
Debt securities		26	26	—	26
Settlement balances	71				
Financial liabilities					
Deposits by banks	1,265	1,428	1,448	803	645
Customer accounts	502	724	720	77	643
Debt securities in issue		—	—	—	—
Settlement balances	35				
Subordinated liabilities		3,797	3,754	3,754	—
2014					
Financial assets					
Cash and balances at central banks	1,135				
Loans and advances to banks		7,325	7,348	2,855	4,493
Loans and advances to customers		3,272	3,213	54	3,159
Amount due from ultimate holding company		123	123	123	—
Debt securities		26	26	—	26
Settlement balances	40				
Financial liabilities					
Deposits by banks	367	3,648	3,666	1,415	2,251
Customer accounts	873	1,726	1,717	131	1,586
Debt securities in issue		424	424	424	—
Settlement balances	32				
Subordinated liabilities (1)		4,438	4,374	4,374	—

Notes on the consolidated accounts

The fair value is the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. Quoted market values are used where available; otherwise, fair values have been estimated based on discounted expected future cash flows and other valuation techniques. These techniques involve uncertainties and require assumptions and judgments covering prepayments, credit risk and discount rates. Furthermore there is a wide range of potential valuation techniques. Changes in these assumptions would significantly affect estimated fair values. The fair values reported would not necessarily be realised in an immediate sale or settlement.

The assumptions and methodologies underlying the calculation of fair values of financial instruments at the balance sheet date are as follows:

Short-term financial instruments

For certain short-term financial instruments: cash and balances at central banks, items in the course of collection from other banks, settlement balances, items in the course of transmission to other banks, demand deposits and notes in circulation, fair value approximates to carrying value.

Loans and advances to banks and customers

In estimating the fair value of loans and advances to banks and customers measured at amortised cost, the Group's loans are segregated into appropriate portfolios reflecting the characteristics of the constituent loans. Two principal methods are used to estimate fair value:

- (a) Contractual cash flows are discounted using a market discount rate that incorporates the current spread for the borrower or where this is not observable, the spread for borrowers of a similar credit standing. This method is used for the majority of portfolios where most counterparties have external ratings.
- (b) Expected cash flows (unadjusted for credit losses) are discounted at the current offer rate for the same or similar products. This approach is adopted for lending portfolios reflecting the more homogeneous nature of these portfolios.

Debt securities

The majority of debt securities are valued using quoted prices in active markets or using quoted prices for similar assets in active markets.

Fair values are determined using discounted cash flow valuation techniques.

Deposits by banks and customer accounts

Fair values of deposits are estimated using discounted cash flow valuation techniques.

Debt securities in issue and subordinated liabilities

Fair values are determined using quoted prices for similar liabilities where available or by reference to valuation techniques and adjusting for own credit spreads where appropriate.

10 Financial instruments - maturity analysis

Remaining maturity

The following table shows the residual maturity of financial instruments, based on contractual date of maturity.

	2015			2014		
	Less than 12 months €m	More than 12 months €m	Total €m	Less than 12 months €m	More than 12 months €m	Total €m
Assets						
Cash and balances at central banks	543	—	543	1,135	—	1,135
Loans and advances to banks	6,711	185	6,896	7,306	355	7,661
Loans and advances to customers	1,255	938	2,193	1,966	1,573	3,539
Amounts due from ultimate holding company	—	138	138	—	123	123
Debt securities	1,121	803	1,924	1,072	1,911	2,983
Equity shares	—	215	215	—	260	260
Settlement balances	71	—	71	40	—	40
Derivatives	303	1,918	2,221	481	3,951	4,432
Liabilities						
Deposits by banks	2,695	249	2,944	4,841	305	5,146
Customer accounts	1,155	326	1,481	2,446	488	2,934
Debt securities in issue	329	74	403	185	832	1,017
Settlement balances and short positions	35	1	36	34	—	34
Derivatives	199	1,662	1,861	610	3,945	4,555
Subordinated liabilities	38	4,418	4,456	884	4,220	5,104

Notes on the consolidated accounts

10 Financial instruments - maturity analysis *continued* Assets and liabilities by contractual cash flow maturity

The tables on the following page show the contractual undiscounted cash flows receivable and payable, up to a period of 20 years, including future receipts and payments of interest of on-balance sheet assets by contractual maturity. The balances in the following tables do not agree directly with the consolidated balance sheet, as the tables include all cash flows relating to principal and future coupon payments, presented on an undiscounted basis. The tables have been prepared on the following basis:

Financial assets have been reflected in the time band of the latest date on which they could be repaid, unless earlier repayment can be demanded by the Group. Financial liabilities are included at the earliest date on which the counterparty can require repayment, regardless of whether or not such early repayment results in a penalty.

If the repayment of a financial instrument is triggered by, or is subject to, specific criteria such as market price hurdles being reached, the asset is included in the time band that contains the latest date on which it can be repaid, regardless of early repayment. The liability is included in the time band that contains the earliest possible date on which the conditions could be fulfilled, without considering the probability of the conditions being met.

For example, if a structured note is automatically prepaid when an equity index exceeds a certain level, the cash outflow will be included in the less than three months period, whatever the level of the index at the year end. The settlement date of debt securities in issue, issued by certain securitisation vehicles consolidated by the Group, depends on when cash flows are received from the securitised assets. Where these assets are prepayable, the timing of the cash outflow relating to securities assumes that each asset will be prepaid at the earliest possible date. As the repayments of assets and liabilities are linked, the repayment of assets in securitisations is shown on the earliest date that the asset can be prepaid, as this is the basis used for liabilities.

The principal amounts of financial assets and liabilities that are repayable after 20 years or where the counterparty has no right to repayment of the principal are excluded from the table, as are interest payments after 20 years.

Held-for-trading assets and liabilities - held-for-trading assets of €2.4 billion (2014 - €5.3 billion) and liabilities of €2.3 billion (2014 - €5.5 billion) have been excluded from the tables below.

2015	0 - 3 months €m	3 - 12 months €m	1 - 3 years €m	3 - 5 years €m	5 - 10 years €m	10 - 20 years €m
Assets by contractual maturity						
Cash and balances at central banks	543	—	—	—	—	—
Loans and advances to banks	6,572	46	196	—	—	—
Amounts due from ultimate holding company	—	11	22	22	55	110
Debt securities	1,040	118	563	—	6	—
Settlement balances	71	—	—	—	—	—
Total maturing assets	8,226	175	781	22	61	110
Loans and advances to customers	892	357	161	348	196	1
Derivatives held for hedging	36	21	18	—	—	—
	9,154	553	960	370	257	111
Liabilities by contractual maturity						
Deposits by banks	2,092	445	142	28	—	—
Debt securities in issue	182	268	83	10	8	—
Subordinated liabilities	50	250	959	786	1,211	2,099
Settlement balances and other liabilities	103	—	—	—	—	—
Total maturing liabilities	2,427	963	1,184	824	1,219	2,099
Customer accounts	937	204	15	30	5	6
Derivatives held for hedging	18	10	2	1	2	—
	3,382	1,177	1,201	855	1,226	2,105
Maturity gap	5,799	(788)	(403)	(802)	(1,158)	(1,989)
Cumulative maturity gap	5,799	5,011	4,608	3,806	2,648	659

Notes on the consolidated accounts

10 Financial instruments - maturity analysis continued

2014	0 - 3 months €m	3 - 12 months €m	1 - 3 years €m	3 - 5 years €m	5 - 10 years €m	10 - 20 years €m
Assets by contractual maturity						
Cash and balances at central banks	1,135	—	—	—	—	—
Loans and advances to banks	6,960	19	93	237	—	5
Amounts due from ultimate holding company	—	10	20	20	49	99
Debt securities	708	406	470	331	275	497
Settlement balances	40	—	—	—	—	—
Other financial assets	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total maturing assets	8,843	435	583	588	324	601
Loans and advances to customers	1,174	548	653	318	246	287
Derivatives held for hedging	14	21	24	4	—	—
	10,031	1,004	1,260	910	570	888
Liabilities by contractual maturity						
Deposits by banks	3,325	425	193	53	20	—
Debt securities in issue	34	242	205	449	18	—
Subordinated liabilities	511	637	510	1,212	1,161	1,902
Settlement balances and other liabilities	45	—	—	—	—	—
Total maturing liabilities	3,915	1,304	908	1,714	1,199	1,902
Customer accounts	2,070	338	142	4	37	6
Derivatives held for hedging	78	22	79	64	132	154
	6,063	1,664	1,129	1,782	1,368	2,062
Maturity gap	4,928	(869)	(325)	(1,126)	(875)	(1,301)
Cumulative maturity gap	4,928	4,059	3,734	2,608	1,733	432

Notes on the consolidated accounts

10 Financial instruments - maturity analysis *continued*

	2015 €m	2014 €m
Guarantees and commitments notional amount		
Guarantees (1)	4,630	5,694
Commitments (2)	440	648
	5,070	6,342

Notes:

- (1) The Group is only called upon to satisfy a guarantee when the guaranteed party fails to meet its obligations. The Group expects most guarantees it provides to expire unused.
 (2) The Group has given commitments to provide funds to customers under undrawn formal facilities, credit lines and other commitments to lend subject to certain conditions being met by the counterparty. The Group does not expect all facilities to be drawn, and some may lapse before drawdown.

11 Financial assets - impairments

The following table shows the movement in the provision for impairment losses for loans and advances.

	Individually assessed €m	Latent €m	Total 2015 €m	Total 2014 €m
At 1 January	109	5	114	195
Currency translation and other adjustments	9	4	13	(7)
Amounts written-off	(19)	—	(19)	(30)
Recoveries of amounts previously written-off	5	—	5	4
Released to the income statement - continuing operations	(20)	(7)	(27)	(48)
At 31 December	84	2	86	114

	2015 €m	2014 €m	2013 €m
Impairment losses charged to the income statement			
Loans and advances to customers	(27)	(48)	(38)
Recoveries to RBS plc under credit protection arrangements	4	1	46
Debt securities	126	58	34
	103	11	42

Notes on the consolidated accounts

11 Financial assets – impairments *continued*

The following tables analyse impaired financial assets.

	2015			2014		
	Cost €m	Provision €m	Carrying value €m	Cost €m	Provision €m	Carrying value €m
Loans and advances to customers (1)	133	84	49	203	109	94

Note:

(1) All impairment provisions were individually assessed in 2015 and 2014.

	Carrying value 2015 €m	Carrying value 2014 €m
Debt securities	19	26

The Group holds collateral in respect of certain loans and advances to banks and to customers that are past due or impaired. Such collateral includes mortgages over property; charges over business assets such as plant, inventories and trade debtors; and guarantees of lending from parties other than the borrower.

No loans have been subject to forbearance in the past 12 months that would otherwise have been past due or impaired (2014 - nil).

12 Derivatives

Companies in the Group transact derivatives as principal either as a trading activity or to manage balance sheet foreign exchange, interest rate and credit risks.

RBSH Group enters into fair value hedges, cash flow hedges and hedges of net investments in foreign operations. The majority of RBSH Group's interest rate hedges relate to the management of RBSH Group's non trading interest rate risk. RBSH Group manages this risk within approved limits. Residual risk positions are hedged with derivatives principally interest rate swaps. Suitable larger ticket financial instruments are fair value hedged and qualifying for hedge accounting. The majority of RBSH Group's fair value hedges involve interest rate swaps hedging the interest rate risk in recognised financial assets and financial liabilities. RBSH Group hedges its net investments in foreign operations with currency borrowings and forward foreign exchange contracts.

For fair value hedge relationships of interest rate risk, the hedged items are typically large corporate fixed-rate loans, fixed-rate finance leases, fixed-rate medium-term notes or preference shares classified as debt.

The initial and ongoing prospective effectiveness of fair value hedge relationships is assessed on a cumulative basis by comparing movements in the fair value of the hedged item attributable to the hedged risk with changes in the fair value of the hedging interest rate swap or by comparing the respective changes in the price value of a basis point. Retrospective effectiveness is assessed by comparing the actual movements in the fair value of the hedged items attributable to the hedged risk with actual movements in the fair value of the hedging derivative over the life to date of the hedging relationship.

Notes on the consolidated accounts

12 Derivatives continued

The following table shows the notional amounts and fair values of the Group's derivatives.

	2015			2014		
	Notional amounts €m	Assets €m	Liabilities €m	Notional amounts €m	Assets €m	Liabilities €m
Exchange rate contracts						
Spot, forwards and futures	7,159	130	90	30,888	264	283
Currency swaps	17,240	207	363	34,554	571	669
Options purchased	63	—	—	1,011	3	—
Options written	66	—	5	970	—	9
Interest rate contracts						
Interest rate swaps	50,745	1,638	1,310	47,823	3,248	3,412
Options purchased	—	—	—	18	—	—
Options written	—	—	—	156	—	2
Credit derivatives	825	9	1	1,709	34	22
Equity and commodity contracts	341	237	92	481	312	158
		2,221	1,861		4,432	4,555

Certain derivative asset and liability balances in 2014, which met the offset criteria in IAS 32 'Financial Instruments: Presentation', were shown net.

Included above are derivatives held for hedging purposes as follows:

	2015		2014	
	Assets €m	Liabilities €m	Assets €m	Liabilities €m
Fair value hedging				
Interest rate contracts	36	6	48	451
Cash flow hedging				
Exchange rate contracts	—	3	—	6
Net investment hedging				
Exchange rate contracts	41	19	15	64

Hedge ineffectiveness recognised in other operating income comprised:

	2015 €m	2014 €m	2013 €m
Fair value hedging			
(Losses)/gains on the hedged items attributable to the hedged risk	(35)	314	(562)
Gains/(losses) on the hedging instruments	42	(312)	556
Fair value ineffectiveness	7	2	(6)

The Group enters into fair value, cash flow and net investment hedges. The majority of this fair value hedges involve interest rate swaps hedging the interest rate risk in recognised financial assets and financial liabilities. The hedging investments in net investments in foreign operations and forward foreign exchange contracts.

Notes on the consolidated accounts

13 Debt securities

	Other central and local government €m	Bank and building society €m	Corporate €m	Other financial institutions €m	Total €m	Of which ABS (1) €m
2015						
Held-for-trading	—	—	—	133	133	—
Designated as at fair value through profit or loss	151	—	—	—	151	—
Available-for-sale	1,098	—	119	397	1,614	149
Loans and receivables	—	1	—	25	26	—
	1,249	1	119	555	1,924	149
Available-for-sale						
Gross unrealised gains	3	—	—	—	3	—
Gross unrealised losses	—	—	—	(17)	(17)	(17)
2014						
Held-for-trading	4	147	2	7	160	—
Designated as at fair value through profit or loss	142	3	—	3	148	—
Available-for-sale	1,947	164	149	389	2,649	154
Loans and receivables	—	—	—	26	26	26
	2,093	314	151	425	2,983	180
Available-for-sale						
Gross unrealised gains	310	—	—	31	341	—
Gross unrealised losses	(2)	—	—	(43)	(45)	(26)

Note:

(1) Includes asset-backed securities issued by US federal agencies and government sponsored entities, and covered bonds.

Net losses of €51 million (2014 - €324 million) were realised on the sale of available-for-sale debt securities.

Notes on the consolidated accounts

13 Debt securities continued

The following table analyses the Group's available-for-sale debt securities and the related yield (based on weighted averages), by remaining maturity and issuer.

	Within 1 Year		After 1 but within 5 years		After 5 but within 10 years		After 10 years		Total	
	Amount €m	Yield %	Amount €m	Yield %	Amount €m	Yield %	Amount €m	Yield %	Amount €m	Yield %
2015										
Other central and local government	753	0.84	345	11.60	—	—	—	—	1,098	4.22
Other financial institutions	248	2.52	—	—	—	—	149	0.05	397	1.60
Corporate	119	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	119	0.07
	1,120	1.13	345	11.60	—	—	149	0.05	1,614	3.27
Of which ABS (1)	—	—	—	—	—	—	149	0.05	149	0.05
2014										
Other central and local government	532	1.48	403	13.93	111	3.36	901	3.42	1,947	5.06
Bank and building society	164	0.08	—	—	—	—	—	—	164	0.08
Other financial institutions	204	0.12	31	1.69	—	—	154	0.22	389	0.28
Corporate	149	0.09	—	—	—	—	—	—	149	0.09
	1,049	0.80	434	13.06	111	3.36	1,055	2.96	2,649	3.77
Of which ABS (1)	—	—	—	—	—	—	154	0.22	154	0.22

Note:

(1) Includes asset-backed securities issued by US federal agencies and government sponsored entities.

14 Equity shares

	2015			2014		
	Listed €m	Unlisted €m	Total €m	Listed €m	Unlisted €m	Total €m
Held-for-trading	39	43	82	13	112	125
Designated as at fair value through profit or loss	—	90	90	—	93	93
Available-for-sale	40	3	43	37	5	42
	79	136	215	50	210	260
Available-for-sale						
Gross unrealised gains	—	2	2	—	19	19

Net gains of €2 million (2014 - €2 million) were realised on the sale of available-for-sale equity shares.

Dividend income from available-for-sale equity shares was €0.1 million (2014 - €4.6 million).

Notes on the consolidated accounts

15 Interests in associates

The Group has one significant associate, Saudi Hollandi Bank (SHB) which is incorporated and has its principal place of business in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. The Group holds 40% of SHB's shares. It is accounted for using the equity method.

	2015		2014	
	Carrying value €m	Fair value €m	Carrying value €m	Fair value €m
SHB	1,199	1,614	945	1,865
Other associates	2		2	
Interests in associates	1,201		947	

The following table shows summary income statement and balance sheet information for SHB translated into €.

	2015 €m	2014 €m	2013 €m
Saudi Hollandi Bank			
Total income	864	638	512
Total expenses	(378)	(273)	(218)
Profit before tax	486	365	294
Share of profit after tax	155	117	57

	2015 €m	2014 €m
Cash and balances at central banks	1,869	2,088
Loans to banks	180	118
Loans and advances to customers	18,631	14,284
Debt securities and equity shares	5,194	4,118
Other assets	568	576
Total assets	26,442	21,184
Deposits by banks	332	670
Customer deposits	21,735	16,841
Other liabilities	1,433	1,318
Total liabilities	23,500	18,829

16 Prepayments, accrued income and other assets

	2015 €m	2014 €m
Prepayments and deferred expenses	78	72
Current tax	38	43
Intangible assets	1	6
Property, plant & equipment	19	16
Other assets	398	968
	534	1,105

Notes on the consolidated accounts

17 Discontinued operations and assets and liabilities of disposal groups

(a) Profit from discontinued operations, net of tax

	2015 €m	2014 €m	2013 €m
Total income	44	30	34
Operating expenses	(5)	(3)	(3)
Operating profit before tax	39	27	31
Tax	(17)	(12)	(12)
Profit after tax	22	15	19

(b) Assets and liabilities of disposal groups

	2014 €m
Assets of disposal groups	
Loans and advances to customers	1,213
Other assets	44
	<u>1,257</u>
Liabilities of disposal groups	
Customer accounts	42
Other liabilities	4
	<u>46</u>

At 31 December 2014 assets and liabilities of disposal groups related mainly to the remaining Dutch State acquired business which were sold during 2015.

Notes on the consolidated accounts

18 Settlement balances and short positions

	2015 €m	2014 €m
Settlement balances (amortised cost)	35	32
Short positions (held-for-trading):		
Debt securities		
- Other issuers	1	2
	<u>36</u>	<u>34</u>

19 Provisions, accruals and other liabilities

	2015 €m	2014 €m
Current taxation	60	58
Accruals	29	73
Retirement benefit liabilities	34	32
Insurance reserves	249	247
Other liabilities	676	712
	<u>1,048</u>	<u>1,122</u>

Alcover AG (Alcover) is consolidated by RBS N.V.; Alcover's insurance business is in run-off. Claims reserves represent the risk-adjusted cash outflows required to settle outstanding claims as they develop. These reserves are established on the basis of an external actuarial valuation and reflect historical claims experience.

Included in other liabilities are the following provisions for liabilities and charges:

	Litigation €m	Restructuring €m	Other provisions €m
At 1 January 2015	47	4	75
Transfer	14	—	(14)
Currency translation and other movements	—	—	2
Charge to income statement	14	32	9
Releases to income statement	(10)	—	—
Provisions utilised	(18)	(4)	(37)
At 31 December 2015	<u>47</u>	<u>32</u>	<u>35</u>

Other provisions include tax provisions and other provisions arising in the normal course of business.

Arising out of its normal business operations, the Group is party to legal proceedings in the Netherlands, United Kingdom, the United States of America and other jurisdictions. Litigation provisions at 31 December 2015 related to numerous proceedings; no individual provision is material. Detailed descriptions of the Group's legal proceedings and discussion of the associated uncertainties are given in Note 26.

Notes on the consolidated accounts

20 Deferred taxation

	2015 €m	2014 €m
Deferred tax asset	(10)	(34)
Deferred tax liability	58	88
Net deferred tax liability	48	54

	Pension €m	Accelerated capital allowances €m	Provisions €m	Revaluations/ deferred gains €m	Available -for-sale financial assets €m	Cash flow hedging €m	Other €m	Total €m
At 1 January 2014	(12)	(1)	(21)	62	—	—	(5)	23
(Disposal)/acquisition of subsidiaries	—	—	(1)	—	2	—	10	11
Charge/(credit) to income statement	1	—	13	6	—	—	(1)	19
Charge/(credit) to other comprehensive income	—	—	—	—	1	(1)	—	—
Currency translation and other adjustments	10	—	(1)	9	—	—	(17)	1
At 1 January 2015	(1)	(1)	(10)	77	3	(1)	(13)	54
Charge/(credit) to income statement	1	—	(8)	(38)	—	—	32	(13)
(Credit)/charge to other comprehensive income	—	—	—	—	(2)	1	—	(1)
Currency translation and other adjustments	—	—	—	8	—	—	—	8
At 31 December 2015 ⁽¹⁾	—	(1)	(18)	47	1	—	19	48

Note:

(1) Other deferred tax assets are recognised, that depend on the availability of future taxable profits in excess of profits arising from the reversal of other temporary differences. Tax losses in the Netherlands can be carried forward for up to nine years, however business projections prepared for impairment review indicate it is probable that insufficient future taxable income will be available against which to offset these recognised deferred tax assets in respect of the unused tax losses. Therefore these tax assets have been fully impaired. For the remaining deferred tax assets, business projections prepared for impairment reviews indicate that it is probable that sufficient future taxable income will be available against which to offset these recognised deferred tax assets. In jurisdictions where doubt exists over the availability of future taxable profits, deferred tax assets of €2,593 million (2014 - €2,259 million; 2013 - €2,492 million) have not been recognised in respect of tax losses carried forward of €10,430 million (2014 - €9,242 million; 2013 - €10,052 million). Of these losses, nil will expire within one year, €5,880 million within five years and €3,087 million thereafter. The balance of tax losses carried forward has no time limit.

Notes on the consolidated accounts

21 Subordinated liabilities

	2015 €m	2014 €m
Dated loan capital	1,454	2,379
Undated loan capital	3,002	2,725
	4,456	5,104

RBS N.V. has now resumed payments on all discretionary non-equity capital instruments following the end of the European Commission ban in 2013. Future coupons and dividends on hybrid capital instruments will only be paid subject to, and in accordance with, the terms of the relevant instruments.

The following tables analyse the remaining contractual maturity of subordinated liabilities by the final redemption date; and by the next call date.

2015 - final redemption

	2016 €m	2017 €m	2018-2020 €m	2021-2025 €m	Thereafter €m	Perpetual €m	Total €m
US dollar	3	—	578	123	138	3,002	3,844
Euro	35	—	273	—	290	—	598
Other	—	—	14	—	—	—	14
	38	—	865	123	428	3,002	4,456

2015 - call date

	Currently €m	2016 €m	2017 €m	2018-2020 €m	2021-2025 €m	Thereafter €m	Perpetual €m	Total €m
US dollar	3,002	3	—	578	123	138	—	3,844
Euro	—	35	—	273	—	290	—	598
Other	—	—	—	14	—	—	—	14
	3,002	38	—	865	123	428	—	4,456

2014 - final redemption

	2015 €m	2016 €m	2017-2019 €m	2020-2024 €m	Thereafter €m	Perpetual €m	Total €m
US dollar	464	—	543	113	125	2,725	3,970
Euro	420	37	274	—	288	—	1,019
Other	—	—	101	14	—	—	115
	884	37	918	127	413	2,725	5,104

2014 - call date

	Currently €m	2015 €m	2016 €m	2017-2019 €m	2020-2024 €m	Thereafter €m	Perpetual €m	Total €m
US dollar	2,725	464	—	543	113	125	—	3,970
Euro	—	420	37	274	—	288	—	1,019
Other	—	101	—	—	14	—	—	115
	2,725	985	37	817	127	413	—	5,104

Notes on the consolidated accounts

Redemptions in the period (values as at date of transaction)

	Capital treatment	2015 €m	2014 €m
AUD26 million floating rate notes	Ineligible	18	—
AUD123 million floating rate notes	Ineligible	84	—
US\$564 million floating rate notes	Tier 2	527	—
€415 million floating rate notes	Tier 2	415	—
€5 million floating rate notes	Tier 2	5	—
US\$22 million floating rate notes (partial redemption)	Tier 2	20	—
AUD451.8 million 6.50% notes 2018 (partial redemption)	Tier 2	—	292
AUD149.2 million 7.46% notes 2018 (partial redemption)	Tier 2	—	97
US\$72.8 million floating rate notes 2019 (partial redemption)	Tier 2	—	56
		1,069	445

Subordinated liabilities held at 31 December:

	Capital treatment	2015 €m	2014 €m
Dated loan capital			
€250 million floating rate notes 2019	Tier 2	273	274
€150 million floating rate notes 2041	Tier 2	290	288
€15 million floating rate notes 2020	Tier 2	14	15
€415 million floating rate notes (redeemed June 2015)	Tier 2	—	415
€5 million floating rate notes (redeemed October 2015)	Tier 2	—	5
US\$25 million floating rate notes 2019 (part redeemed April and June 2015)	Tier 2	25	42
US\$72 million 5.98% notes 2019	Tier 2	57	49
US\$500 million 4.65% notes 2018	Tier 2	494	451
US\$564 million floating rate notes (redeemed March 2015)	Tier 2	—	464
AUD123 million floating rate dated notes (redeemed February 2015)	Ineligible	—	84
AUD26 million floating rate notes (redeemed February 2015)	Ineligible	—	17
€26 million 7.42% notes 2016	Tier 2	27	29
€7 million 7.38% notes 2016	Tier 2	8	8
US\$136 million 7.75% notes 2023	Tier 2	126	113
US\$150 million 7.13% notes 2093	Tier 2	140	125
		1,454	2,379

Note:

(1) Since the introduction of CRDIV and CRR, all Tier 2 subordinated debt issuances are eligible for grandfathering only and therefore subject to transitional rules.

	Capital treatment	2015 €m	2014 €m
Undated loan capital			
US\$1,285 million 6.03% trust preferred notes	Tier 1	1,179	1,059
US\$200 million 6.38% trust preferred notes	Tier 1	183	165
US\$1,800 million 6.20% trust preferred notes	Tier 1	1,640	1,501
		3,002	2,725

22 Share capital

The company's share capital at 31 December 2015 and 2014 consisted of 11,112 issued and fully paid ordinary shares of €4.50 each. The Company's authorised share capital amounts to €225,000. It comprises 41,500 ordinary shares and 8,500 preference shares, each with a nominal value of €4.50.

Each ordinary share entitles the holder to cast one vote. Subject to certain exceptions provided for by law or in the articles of association, resolutions are passed by an absolute majority of the votes cast. When shares are issued, each holder of shares shall have pre-emptive right, in proportion to the aggregate amount of their shares, except in the case of an issue of shares for a consideration other than in cash.

In the event of the dissolution and liquidation of RBS Holdings N.V., the assets remaining after payment of all debts will be distributed to the holders of ordinary shares on a pro-rata basis.

23 Reserves

Share premium reserve

In both 2015 and 2014, there were capital injections from RFS Holdings BV reflecting amounts received by RFS Holdings BV from Santander (2015 - €57 million; 2014 €72 million) and NL Financial Investments (2014 - €67 million). In addition, there was a distribution to RFS Holdings BV of €103 million in 2014 to fund the repayment of its loan from ABN Amro Bank.

As a part of the Dutch Scheme one share was issued against the share premium account.

Ordinary shares carry certain pre-emption rights and rank equally in voting, dividend and liquidation rights.

Dutch law prescribes that only the freely distributable reserves of the company are taken into account for the purpose of making distributions and in determining the permissible applications of the share premium account. The Group optimises capital efficiency by maintaining reserves in subsidiaries, including regulated entities. The remittance of reserves to the company or the redemption of capital by regulated entities may be subject to maintaining the capital resources required by the relevant regulator.

24 Structured entities and asset transfers

Structured entities

A structured entity (SE) is an entity that has been designed such that voting or similar rights are not the dominant factor in deciding who controls the entity, for example when any voting rights relate to administrative tasks only and the relevant activities are directed by means of contractual arrangements. SEs are usually established for a specific, limited purpose. They do not carry out a business or trade and typically have no employees. They take a variety of legal forms - trusts, partnerships and companies - and fulfil many different functions. As well as being a key element of securitisations, SEs are also used in fund management activities to segregate custodial duties from the fund management advice.

Consolidated structured entities

Securitisations

In a securitisation, assets, or interests in a pool of assets, are transferred generally to a SE which then issues liabilities to third party investors. The majority of securitisations are supported through liquidity facilities or other credit enhancements. The Group arranges securitisations to facilitate client transactions and undertakes own asset securitisations to sell or to fund portfolios of financial assets. The Group also acts as an underwriter and depositor in securitisation transactions in both client and proprietary transactions.

The Group's involvement in client securitisations takes a number of forms. It may: sponsor or administer a securitisation programme; provide liquidity facilities or programme-wide credit enhancement; and purchase securities issued by the vehicle.

Own asset securitisations

In own-asset securitisations, the pool of assets held by the SE is either originated by the Group, or (in the case of whole loan programmes) purchased from third parties.

Notes on the consolidated accounts

24 Structured entities and asset transfers continued

Unconsolidated structured entities

The Group's interests in unconsolidated structured entities are analysed below.

	2015			2014		
	Asset backed Securitisation vehicles €m	Investment funds and other €m	Total €m	Asset backed Securitisation vehicles €m	Investment funds and other €m	Total €m
Held-for-trading						
Loans and advances to customers and debt securities	10	—	10	8	—	8
Equity shares	—	2	2	—	22	22
Derivative assets	1	—	1	—	—	—
Derivative liabilities	(2)	—	(2)	(1)	—	(1)
Total	9	2	11	7	22	29
Other than held-for-trading						
Loans and advances to customers	12	—	12	38	—	38
Debt securities	148	—	148	153	21	174
	160	—	160	191	21	212
Maximum exposure	169	2	171	198	43	241

Notes:

(1) Income from interests in unconsolidated structured entities includes interest receivable, changes in fair value, and other income less impairments that may be required.

(2) A sponsored entity is a structured entity, established by the Group where the Group provides liquidity and/or credit enhancements or provides ongoing services to the entity. The Group can act as sponsor for its own or for customers' transactions.

Transfers that do not qualify for derecognition

Asset transfers

Under IAS 39 a financial asset is transferred if the Group either (a) transfers the contractual rights to receive the asset's cash flows; or (b) retains the right to the asset's cash flows but assumes a contractual obligation to pay those cash flows to a third party. Following a transfer the financial asset will be derecognised; not derecognised and retained in full on the Group's balance sheet; continue to be recognised on the balance sheet to the extent of the Group's continuing involvement.

Securities repurchase agreements and lending transactions

The Group enters into securities repurchase agreements and securities lending transactions under which it transfers securities in accordance with normal market practice.

Generally, the agreements require additional collateral to be provided if the value of the securities falls below a predetermined level. Under standard terms for repurchase transactions in the UK and US markets, the recipient of collateral has an unrestricted right to sell or repledge it, subject to returning equivalent securities on settlement of the transaction.

Securities sold under such repurchase transactions are not derecognised if the Group retains substantially all the risks and rewards of ownership. The fair value (and carrying value) of debt securities transferred under repurchase transactions included on the balance sheet was nil (2014 - €0.6 billion; 2013 - €12.4 billion). Securities received as collateral under reverse repurchase agreements amounted to €3.4 billion (2014 - €1.1 billion), of which €1.0 billion (2014 - nil) had been sold or repledged as collateral of the Group's own transactions.

Notes on the consolidated accounts

24 Structured entities and asset transfers continued

Assets pledged as collateral

The Group pledges collateral with its counterparties in respect of bank and other borrowings. This primarily relates to assets pledged for bank and other borrowings.

Assets pledged against liabilities	2015 €m	2014 €m
Loans and advances to banks	—	321
Loans and advances to customers	445	695
Debt securities	140	131
	585	1,147

Liabilities secured by assets

Debt securities in issue	—	424
Derivatives	53	531
	53	955

25 Capital resources

The Group's regulatory capital resources were as follows:

Composition of regulatory capital	2015 CRR transitional basis €m	2014 CRR transitional basis €m
Tier 1		
Controlling interests	3,659	3,470
Adjustment for:		
- Goodwill and other intangible assets	(3)	(44)
- Unrealised losses on available-for-sale debt securities	9	54
- Unrealised gains on available-for-sale equities	(7)	(7)
- Other regulatory adjustments	(195)	(225)
Core Tier 1 capital	3,463	3,248
Trust preferred securities	3,006	2,686
Disallowed Tier 1 capital	(1,538)	(1,008)
Total Tier 1 capital	4,931	4,926
Tier 2		
Subordinated debt	891	1,043
Disallowed Tier 1 capital	1,538	1,008
Disqualified Tier 2 capital	(237)	-
Deductions from Tier 2 capital	(166)	(153)
Total Tier 2 capital	2,026	1,898
Total regulatory capital	6,957	6,824

It is the Group's policy to maintain an appropriate capital base, to expand it as appropriate and to utilise it efficiently throughout its activities to optimise the return to shareholders, while maintaining a prudent relationship between the capital base and the underlying risks of the business. In carrying out this policy, the Group has regard to the supervisory requirements of DNB. DNB uses Capital Ratios as a measure of capital adequacy in the Dutch banking sector, comparing a bank's capital resources with its risk-weighted assets (RWAs) (the assets and off-balance sheet exposures are weighted to reflect the inherent credit and other risks). The Group has complied with its capital requirements during the year.

A number of subsidiaries and sub-groups within the Group are subject to various individual regulatory capital requirements in the UK and overseas. Furthermore, the payment of dividends by subsidiaries and the ability of members of the Group to lend money to other members of the Group may be subject to restrictions such as local regulatory or legal requirements, the availability of reserves and financial and operating performance.

Notes on the consolidated accounts

26 Memorandum items

Contingent liabilities and commitments

The amounts shown in the table below are intended only to provide an indication of the volume of business outstanding at 31 December 2015. Although the Group is exposed to credit risk in the event of non-performance of the obligations undertaken by customers, the amounts shown do not, and are not intended to, provide any indication of the Group's expectation of future losses.

	Less than 1 year €m	More than 1 year but less than 3 years €m	More than 3 years but less than 5 years €m	Over 5 years €m	2015 €m	2014 €m
Contingent liabilities and commitments						
Guarantees and assets pledged as collateral security	128	—	476	4,026	4,630	5,694
Other contingent liabilities	95	35	4	1,243	1,377	1,444
Standby facilities, credit lines and other commitments	407	27	—	48	482	921
	630	62	480	5,317	6,489	8,059

Banking commitments and contingent obligations, which have been entered into on behalf of customers and for which there are corresponding obligations from customers, are not included in assets and liabilities. The Group's maximum exposure to credit loss, in the event of non-performance by the other party and where all counterclaims, collateral or security proves valueless, is represented by the contractual nominal amount of these instruments included in the table above. These commitments and contingent obligations are subject to the Group's normal credit approval processes.

Guarantees – The Group gives guarantees on behalf of customers. A financial guarantee represents an irrevocable undertaking that the Group will meet a customer's obligations to third parties if the customer fails to do so. The maximum amount that the Group could be required to pay under a guarantee is its principal amount as disclosed in the table above. the Group expects most guarantees it provides to expire unused.

Also included within guarantees and assets pledged as collateral security as at 31 December 2015 is €4.0 billion (2014 and 2013 - €4.0 billion) which relates to the Group's obligations over liabilities held within the Dutch State acquired businesses included in the new ABN AMRO Bank N.V. On the division of an entity by demerger, Dutch law establishes a cross liability between surviving entities in respect of the creditors at the time of the demerger. The Group's cross liability is limited by law to the lower of its equity and the eligible debts of ABN AMRO Bank N.V. on 6 February 2010. The likelihood of any cross liability crystallising is considered remote.

Other contingent liabilities – these include standby letters of credit, supporting customer debt issues and contingent liabilities relating to customer trading activities such as those arising from performance and customs bonds, warranties and indemnities.

Standby facilities and credit lines - under a loan commitment the Group agrees to make funds available to a customer in the future. Loan commitments, which are usually for a specified term may be unconditionally cancellable or may persist, provided all conditions in the loan facility are satisfied or waived. Commitments to lend include commercial standby facilities and credit lines, liquidity facilities to commercial paper conduits and unutilised overdraft facilities.

Other commitments - these include documentary credits, which are commercial letters of credit providing for payment by the Group to a named beneficiary against presentation of specified documents, forward asset purchases, forward deposits placed and undrawn note issuance and revolving underwriting facilities, and other short-term trade related transactions.

Contractual obligations for future expenditure not provided in the accounts

The following table shows contractual obligations for future expenditure not provided for in the accounts at the year end.

	2015 €m	2014 €m
Minimum rentals payable under non-cancellable operating leases ⁽¹⁾		
- Within 1 year	17	15
- After 1 year but within 5 years	37	28
- After 5 years	15	19
Total	69	62

Note:

(1) Predominantly property leases.

Litigation, arbitration, investigations and reviews

RBS N.V. and other members of RBS Group are party to legal proceedings and the subject of investigation and other regulatory and governmental action ("Matters") in the Netherlands, the United Kingdom (UK), the European Union (EU), the United States (US) and other jurisdictions.

The Group recognises a provision for a liability in relation to these Matters when it is probable that an outflow of economic benefits will be required to settle an obligation resulting from past events, and a reliable estimate can be made of the amount of the obligation. While the outcome of these Matters is inherently uncertain, the directors believe that, based on the information available to them, appropriate provisions have been made in respect of the Matters as at 31 December 2015.

In many proceedings and investigations, it is not possible to determine whether any loss is probable or to estimate reliably the amount of any loss, either as a direct consequence of the relevant proceedings and investigations or as a result of adverse impacts or restrictions on the Group's reputation, businesses and operations. Numerous legal and factual issues may need to be resolved, including through potentially lengthy discovery and document production exercises and determination of important factual matters, and by addressing novel or unsettled legal questions relevant to the proceedings in question, before a liability can reasonably be estimated for any claim. The Group cannot predict if, how, or when such claims will be resolved or what the eventual settlement, damages, fine, penalty or other relief, if any, may be, particularly for claims that are at an early stage in their development or where claimants seek substantial or indeterminate damages.

In respect of certain matters described below, we have established a provision and in certain of those matters, we have indicated that we have established a provision.

There are situations where the Group may pursue an approach that in some instances leads to a settlement agreement. This may occur in order to avoid the expense, management distraction or reputational implications of continuing to contest liability, or in order to take account of the risks inherent in defending claims or investigations even for those matters for which the Group believes it has credible defences and should prevail on the merits. There are also situations where it may be in the interests of members of the Group to settle adverse claims, including involving significant amounts, on a commercial basis in order to facilitate the achievement of a wider commercial or strategic objective. The uncertainties inherent in all such matters affect the amount and timing of any potential outflows for both matters with respect to which provisions have been established and other contingent liabilities.

The future outflow of resources in respect of any matter may ultimately prove to be substantially greater than or less than the aggregate provision that the Group has recognised. Where (and as far as) liability cannot be reasonably estimated, no provision has been recognised.

The Group may not be directly involved in all of the following litigation, arbitration, investigations and reviews but due to the potential implications to RBS Group of such litigation, arbitration, investigations and reviews, if a final outcome is adverse to RBS Group it may also have an adverse effect on the Group. Other than those discussed below, no member of the Group is or has been involved in governmental, legal or regulatory proceedings (including those which are pending or threatened) that are expected to be material individually or in aggregate. RBS Group expects that in future periods additional provisions, settlement amounts, and customer redress payments will be necessary, in amounts that are expected to be substantial in some instances.

Madoff

In December 2010, Irving Picard, as trustee for the bankruptcy estates of Bernard L. Madoff and Bernard L. Madoff Investment Securities LLC, filed a clawback claim against RBS N.V. in New York bankruptcy court. In the operative complaint, filed in August 2012, the trustee seeks to recover US\$75.8 million in redemptions that RBS N.V. allegedly received from certain Madoff feeder funds and US\$162.1 million that RBS N.V. allegedly received from its swap counterparties at a time when RBS N.V. allegedly 'knew or should have known of Madoff's possible fraud.' The Trustee alleges that those transfers were preferences or fraudulent conveyances under the US bankruptcy code and New York law and he asserts the purported right to claw them back for the benefit of Madoff's estate. A further claim, for US\$21.8 million, was filed in October 2011. This matter is subject to pre-discovery motions to dismiss the claims against RBS N.V..

London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR)

Certain members of RBS Group have been named as defendants in a number of class actions and individual claims filed in the US with respect to the setting of LIBOR and certain other benchmark interest rates. The complaints are substantially similar and allege that certain members of RBS Group and other panel banks individually and collectively violated various federal laws, including the US commodities and antitrust laws, and state statutory and common law, as well as contracts, by manipulating LIBOR and prices of LIBOR-based derivatives in various markets through various means.

Most of the USD LIBOR-related actions in which RBS Group companies are defendants, including all purported class actions relating to USD LIBOR, were transferred to a coordinated proceeding in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York.

In the coordinated proceeding, consolidated class action complaints were filed on behalf of (1) exchange-based purchaser plaintiffs, (2) over-the-counter purchaser plaintiffs, and (3) corporate debt purchaser plaintiffs. Over 35 other USD LIBOR-related actions naming RBS Group as a defendant, including purported class actions on behalf of lenders and mortgage borrowers, were also made part of the coordinated proceeding.

In a series of orders issued in 2013 and 2014, the Court overseeing the coordinated USD proceeding dismissed class plaintiffs' antitrust claims and claims under RICO (Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act), but declined to dismiss (a) certain Commodity Exchange Act claims on behalf of persons who transacted in Eurodollar futures contracts and options on futures contracts on the Chicago Mercantile Exchange (on the theory that defendants' alleged persistent suppression of USD LIBOR caused loss to plaintiffs), and (b) certain contract and unjust enrichment claims on behalf of over-the-counter purchaser plaintiffs who transacted directly with a defendant. Since then, the Court has issued additional orders broadly addressing other potential grounds for dismissal of various of plaintiffs' claims, including dismissal for lack of personal jurisdiction, and the Court is now in the process of applying these rulings across the cases in the coordinated proceeding. The Court's dismissal of plaintiffs' antitrust claims is currently on appeal to the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit.

Certain members of RBS Group have also been named as defendants in class actions relating to (i) JPY LIBOR and Euroyen TIBOR, (ii) Euribor, (iii) Swiss Franc LIBOR and (iv) Pound sterling LIBOR, all of which are pending before other judges in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York. On 28 March 2014, the Court in the action relating to Euroyen TIBOR futures contracts dismissed the plaintiffs' antitrust claims, but declined to dismiss their claims under the Commodity Exchange Act for price manipulation.

Details of LIBOR investigations involving RBS Group are set out under 'Investigations and reviews' on page 113.

FX antitrust litigation

RBS Group companies have settled all claims that are or could be asserted on behalf of the classes in a consolidated action alleging an antitrust conspiracy in relation to foreign exchange transactions, which is pending in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York. Following the Court's preliminary approval of the settlement on 15 December 2015, the RBS Group paid the total settlement amount (US\$255 million) into escrow pending final court approval of the settlement. Other class action complaints, including a complaint asserting Employee Retirement Income Security Act claims on behalf of employee benefit plans that engaged in FX transactions, are pending in the same court and name certain members of the RBS Group as defendants.

In September 2015, certain members of the RBS Group, including RBS N.V., as well as a number of other financial institutions, were named as defendants in two purported class actions filed in Ontario and Quebec on behalf of persons in Canada who entered into foreign exchange transactions or who invested in funds that entered into foreign exchange transactions. The plaintiffs allege that the defendants violated the Canadian Competition Act by conspiring to manipulate the prices of currency trades.

Certain other foreign exchange transaction related claims have been or may be threatened against the RBS Group in other jurisdictions. The RBS Group cannot predict whether any of these claims will be pursued, but expects that several may.

World Online

In November 2009, the Supreme Court in the Netherlands issued a declaratory judgment against World Online International N.V. (World Online), Goldman Sachs International and ABN AMRO Bank N.V. (now RBS N.V.) in relation to claims arising out of the World Online initial public offering of 2000. It held that these defendants had committed certain wrongful acts in connection with the initial public offering. The judgment did not establish liability or the amount of any loss. The defendant banks paid settlement sums to certain investors. The potential claim that was brought to the Group's attention in December 2011, and issued in June 2014, has been settled. The claim was on behalf of a group of individuals linked to a company acquired by World Online in 2000.

CPDO Litigation

CPDO claims have been served on RBS N.V. in England, the Netherlands and Australia, and on RBS Group in England relating to the sale of a type of structured financial product known as a constant proportion debt obligation (CPDO). The claims in the Netherlands have been stayed pending the outcome of the claims in England. In November 2012, the Federal Court of Australia issued a judgment against RBS N.V. and others in one such case, holding that RBS N.V. and others committed certain wrongful acts in connection with the rating and sale of the CPDO. In March 2013, RBS N.V. was ordered to pay A\$19.7 million.

Following an unsuccessful appeal, RBS N.V. made the required payments totalling A\$19.7 million in March and April 2013. The judgment may potentially have significance to the other claims served and to any future similar claims.

Credit Default Swap Antitrust Litigation

Certain members of RBS Group, as well as a number of other financial institutions, are defendants in a consolidated antitrust class action pending in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York alleging an unlawful restraint of trade in the market for credit default swaps. The RBS Group defendants have reached an agreement to settle this matter for US\$33 million, and that settlement received preliminary approval from the Court on 29 October 2015. The settlement amount has been paid into escrow pending final court approval of the settlement.

Fondazione Monte dei Paschi di Siena

A claim for €285.9 million was brought by Fondazione Monte dei Paschi di Siena (FMPS) in July 2014 against former directors and 13 syndicate banks, including RBS N.V., in connection with an Italian law-governed term facility agreement for €600 million dated 4 June 2011. The claim is a civil action based on a non-contractual liability arising from the alleged breach of the by-laws of FMPS which set a 20 per cent limit for its debt to equity ratio (the Ratio). The lenders are alleged to have aided and abetted the former directors of FMPS to breach the Ratio. It is alleged that as sophisticated financial institutions, each lender should have known FMPS's financial situation, including its debt to equity ratio, and that putting the facility in place would cause it to breach the Ratio. The claim has been transferred to the Florence courts. RBS N.V. will defend the claim.

Freeman v. HSBC Holdings PLC and others

On 10 November 2014, RBS N.V. and certain other financial institutions (HSBC, Barclays, Standard Chartered, Credit Suisse, and Bank Saderat) were named as defendants in a complaint filed by a number of US nationals (or their estates, survivors, or heirs), most of whom are or were US military personnel, who were killed or injured in more than 70 attacks in Iraq between 2004 and 2011. The attacks were allegedly perpetrated by Hezbollah and certain Iraqi terror cells allegedly funded by the Islamic Republic of Iran. According to the plaintiffs' allegations, RBS N.V. and the other defendants are liable for damages arising from the attacks because they allegedly conspired with Iran and certain Iranian banks to assist Iran in transferring money to Hezbollah and the Iraqi terror cells, in violation of the US Anti-terrorism Act, by agreeing to engage in "stripping" of transactions initiated by the Iranian banks so that the Iranian nexus to the transactions would not be detected. On 2 April 2015, the plaintiffs filed an amended complaint adding Commerzbank as an additional defendant. On 29 May 2015, the defendants filed a motion to dismiss the amended complaint in this matter.

Arbitration

Greek Bonds

RBS N.V. and ABN AMRO Bank N.V. are in dispute over the ownership of economic exposure to certain Greek bonds. ABN AMRO Bank N.V. has commenced arbitration proceedings, claiming approximately €70 million, against RBS N.V..

Investigations and reviews

The Group's businesses and financial condition can be affected by the actions of various governmental and regulatory authorities in the Netherlands, the UK, the EU, the US and elsewhere. RBS Group has engaged, and will continue to engage, in discussions with relevant governmental and regulatory authorities, including in the Netherlands, the UK, the EU, the US and elsewhere, on an ongoing and regular basis, and in response to informal and formal inquiries or investigations, regarding operational, systems and control evaluations and issues including those related to compliance with applicable laws and regulations, including consumer protection, business conduct, competition/anti-trust, anti-bribery, anti-money laundering and sanctions regimes. The Corporate & Institutional Banking (CIB) segment of RBS Group in particular has been providing information regarding a variety of matters, including, for example, the setting of benchmark rates and related derivatives trading, conduct in the foreign exchange market, and various issues relating to the issuance, underwriting, and sales and trading of fixed income securities, including structured products and government securities. Any matters discussed or identified during such discussions and inquiries may result in, among other things, further inquiry or investigation, other action being taken by governmental and regulatory authorities, increased costs being incurred by the Group, remediation of systems and controls, public or private censure, restriction of the Group's business activities and/or fines. Any of the events or circumstances mentioned in this paragraph or below could have a material adverse effect on the Group, its business, authorisations and licences, reputation, results of operations or the price of securities issued by it.

The Group is co-operating fully with the investigations and reviews described below.

LIBOR and other trading rates

In February 2013 RBS Group announced settlements with the Financial Services Authority (FSA) in the UK, the United States Commodity Futures Trading Commission (CFTC) and the United States Department of Justice (DOJ) in relation to investigations into submissions, communications and procedures around the setting of LIBOR. RBS Group agreed to pay penalties of £87.5 million, US\$325 million and US\$150 million to these authorities respectively to resolve the investigations and also agreed to certain undertakings in its settlement with the CFTC. As part of the agreement with the DOJ, RBS plc entered into a Deferred Prosecution Agreement (DPA) in relation to one count of wire fraud relating to Swiss Franc LIBOR and one count for an antitrust violation relating to Yen LIBOR. The DPA expired in April 2015 and is of no further effect.

In April 2013, RBS Securities Japan Limited entered a plea of guilty to one count of wire fraud relating to Yen LIBOR and in January 2014, the US District Court for the District of Connecticut entered a final judgment in relation to the conviction of RBS Securities Japan Limited pursuant to the plea agreement.

In February 2014, RBS Group paid settlement penalties of approximately €260 million and €131 million to resolve investigations by the European Commission (EC) into Yen LIBOR competition infringements and EURIBOR competition infringements respectively. This matter is now concluded.

In July 2014, RBS N.V. and RBS plc entered into an Enforceable Undertaking with the Australian Securities and Investments Commission (ASIC) in relation to potential misconduct involving the Australian Bank Bill Swap Rate. RBS N.V. and RBS plc made various undertakings and agreed to make a voluntary contribution of A\$1.6 million to fund independent financial literacy projects in Australia.

In October 2014, the EC announced its findings that (1) RBS Group and one other financial institution had participated in a bilateral cartel aimed at influencing the Swiss Franc LIBOR benchmark interest rate between March 2008 and July 2009; and (2) RBS Group and three other financial institutions had participated in a related cartel on bid-ask spreads of Swiss Franc interest rate derivatives in the European Economic Area (EEA). RBS Group received full immunity from fines.

RBS Group is co-operating with investigations and new and ongoing requests for information by various other governmental and regulatory authorities, including in the UK, US and Asia, into its submissions, communications and procedures relating to a number of trading rates, including LIBOR and other interest rate settings, and non-deliverable forwards.

RBS Group is providing information and documents to the CFTC as part of its investigation into the setting of USD, EUR and GBP ISDAFIX and related trading activities. RBS Group understands that the CFTC investigation is at an advanced stage. RBS Group is also under investigation by competition authorities in a number of jurisdictions stemming from the actions of certain individuals in the setting of LIBOR and other trading rates, as well as interest rate-related trading. At this stage, as there remains considerable uncertainty around the outcome of these investigations, it is not practicable to estimate the aggregate impact reliably, if any, on RBS Group which may be material.

Foreign exchange related investigations

In November 2014, RBS plc reached a settlement with the FCA in the United Kingdom and the CFTC in relation to investigations into failings in RBSG's FX businesses within its Corporate & Institutional Banking (CIB) segment. RBS plc agreed to pay penalties of £217 million to the FCA and US\$290 million to the CFTC to resolve the investigations. The fines were paid on 19 November 2014.

On 20 May 2015, RBS plc announced that it had reached settlements with the DOJ and the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (Federal Reserve) in relation to investigations into its FX business within its CIB segment. RBS plc paid a penalty of US\$274 million to the Federal Reserve and has agreed to pay a penalty of US\$395 million to the DOJ to resolve the investigations. The DOJ fine is fully covered by existing provisions.

As part of its plea agreement with the DOJ, RBS plc pled guilty in the United States District Court for the District of Connecticut to a one-count information charging an antitrust conspiracy. RBS plc admitted that it knowingly, through one of its euro/US dollar currency traders, joined and participated in a conspiracy to eliminate competition in the purchase and sale of the euro/US dollar currency pair exchanged in the FX spot market.

The charged conspiracy occurred between as early as December 2007 to at least April 2010. Pursuant to the plea agreement (which is publicly available), the DOJ and RBS plc have agreed jointly to recommend to the Court that it impose a sentence consisting of a US\$395 million criminal fine and a term of probation, which among other things, would prohibit RBS plc from committing another crime in violation of US law or engaging in the FX trading practices that form the basis for the charged crime and require RBS plc to implement a compliance program designed to prevent and detect the unlawful conduct at issue and to strengthen its compliance and internal controls as required by other regulators (including the FCA and the CFTC). If RBS plc is sentenced to a term of probation, a violation of the terms of probation could lead to the imposition of additional penalties.

RBS plc and RBS Securities Inc. have also entered into a cease and desist order with the Federal Reserve relating to FX and other designated market activities (the FX Order). In the FX Order, which is publicly available and will remain in effect until terminated by the Federal Reserve, RBS plc and RBS Securities Inc. agreed to take certain remedial actions with respect to FX activities and certain other designated market activities, including the creation of an enhanced written internal controls and compliance program, an improved compliance risk management program, and an enhanced internal audit program. RBS plc and RBS Securities Inc. are obligated to implement and comply with these programs after they are approved by the Federal Reserve, and are also required to conduct, on an annual basis, a review of applicable compliance policies and procedures and a risk-focused sampling of key controls.

RBS Group is responding to investigations and inquiries from other governmental and regulatory (including competition) authorities on similar issues relating to failings in its FX business within its CIB segment, including with respect to potential collateral consequences of the RBS plc guilty plea described above. The timing and amount of financial penalties with respect to any further settlements and related litigation risks and collateral consequences remain uncertain and could be material.

On 21 July 2014, the Serious Fraud Office in the UK announced that it was launching a criminal investigation into allegations of fraudulent conduct in the foreign exchange market, apparently involving multiple financial institutions. On 15 March 2016, the SFO announced that it was closing its investigation, having concluded that, based on the information and material obtained, there was insufficient evidence for a realistic prospect of conviction.

Governance and risk management consent order

In July 2011, RBS Group agreed with the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, the New York State Banking Department, the Connecticut Department of Banking, and the Illinois Department of Financial and Professional Regulation to enter into a consent Cease and Desist Order (Governance Order) (which is publicly available) to address deficiencies related to governance, risk management and compliance systems and controls in the US branches of RBS plc and RBS N.V. branches (the US Branches).

In the Governance Order, RBS Group agreed to create the following written plans or programmes:

- a plan to strengthen board and senior management oversight of the corporate governance, management, risk management, and operations of RBS Group's U.S. operations on an enterprise-wide and business line basis;
- an enterprise-wide risk management programme for RBS Group's U.S. operations;
- a plan to oversee compliance by RBS Group's U.S. operations with all applicable U.S. laws, rules, regulations, and supervisory guidance;
- a Bank Secrecy Act/anti-money laundering compliance programme for the U.S. Branches on a consolidated basis;
- a plan to improve the U.S. Branches' compliance with all applicable provisions of the Bank Secrecy Act and its rules and regulations as well as the requirements of Regulation K of the Federal Reserve;
- a customer due diligence programme designed to reasonably ensure the identification and timely, accurate, and complete reporting by the U.S. Branches of all known or suspected violations of law or suspicious transactions to law enforcement and supervisory authorities, as required by applicable suspicious activity reporting laws and regulations; and
- a plan designed to enhance the U.S. Branches' compliance with Office of Foreign Assets Control (OFAC) requirements.

The Governance Order identified specific items to be addressed, considered, and included in each proposed plan or programme. RBS Group also agreed in the Governance Order to adopt and implement the plans and programmes after approval by the regulators, to comply fully with the plans and programmes thereafter, and to submit to the regulators periodic written progress reports regarding compliance with the Governance Order.

RBS Group has created, submitted, and adopted plans and/or programmes to address each of the areas identified above. In connection with RBS Group's efforts to implement these plans and programmes, it has, among other things, made investments in technology, hired and trained additional personnel, and revised compliance, risk management, and other policies and procedures for RBS Group's US operations. RBS Group continues to test the effectiveness of the remediation efforts it has undertaken to ensure they are sustainable and meet regulators' expectations. Furthermore, RBS Group continues to work closely with the regulators in its efforts to fulfil its obligations under the Governance Order, which will remain in effect until terminated by the regulators.

RBS Group may be subject to formal and informal supervisory actions and may be required by its US banking supervisors to take further actions and implement additional remedial measures with respect to these and additional matters. RBS Group's activities in the US may be subject to significant limitations and/or conditions.

Notes on the consolidated accounts

27 Changes in operating assets and liabilities

	2015 €m	2014 €m	2013 €m
Decrease in loans and advances to banks and customers	2,314	558	5,885
(Increase)/decrease in securities	(334)	548	789
Increase in other assets	(327)	(133)	(32)
Decrease in derivative assets	2,008	503	4,464
Changes in operating assets	3,661	1,476	11,106
Decrease in deposits by banks and customers	(3,659)	(15,100)	(12,418)
Decrease in debt securities in issue	(614)	(362)	(1,337)
Increase/(decrease) in other liabilities	902	21	(289)
Decrease in derivative liabilities	(2,491)	(1,434)	(5,328)
Increase/(decrease) in settlement balances and short positions	2	(68)	(7)
Changes in operating liabilities	(5,860)	(16,943)	(19,379)
Charges in operating assets and liabilities	(2,199)	(15,467)	(8,273)

28 Interest received and paid

	2015 €m	2014 €m	2013 €m
Interest received	306	702	502
Interest paid	(346)	(338)	(582)
	(40)	364	(80)

29 Analysis of cash and cash equivalents

	2015 €m	2014 €m	2013 €m
At 1 January			
- cash	2,417	4,836	8,660
- cash equivalents	295	522	1,370
	2,712	5,358	10,030
Net cash outflow	(951)	(2,646)	(4,672)
At 31 December	1,761	2,712	5,358
Comprising:			
Cash and balances at central banks	543	1,135	3,199
Loans and advances to banks ⁽¹⁾	1,037	1,478	2,145
Treasury bills and debt securities	181	99	14
Cash and cash equivalents	1,761	2,712	5,358

Note:

(1) Cash collateral posted with bank counterparties in respect of derivative liabilities is nil (2014 - €321 million, 2013 - €804 million).

The Group had mandatory reserve deposits with central banks of €255 million (2014 - €190 million; 2013 - €73 million).

Notes on the consolidated accounts

30 Segmental analysis

During 2015 the progress made by the restructuring programme reduced the reportable segments from CIB and RCR to a single segment spanning all of the Group's activities. As a consequence, prior periods have been restated. This achievement required both withdrawing from territories where the Group had less than critical mass and reducing the Treasury portfolios to a level commensurate with the new territorial footprint. In addition, the reductions enabled the Managing Board, as chief operating decision maker, to streamline reporting to a single reportable segment focussed upon customer service.

Geographical segments

The geographical analyses in the tables below have been compiled on the basis of location of office where the transactions are recorded.

	Netherlands €m	UK €m	RoW €m	Total €m
2015				
Net interest income	(184)	1	161	(22)
Net fees and commissions	(6)	(5)	19	8
Income from trading activities	(42)	64	(4)	18
Other operating income	87	8	181	276
Total income	(145)	68	357	280
Operating (loss)/profit before tax	(203)	95	60	(48)
Total assets	6,923	2,021	7,002	15,946
Total liabilities	6,939	1,603	3,745	12,287
Net assets attributable to equity owners and non-controlling interests	(16)	418	3,257	3,659
Contingent liabilities and commitments	5,758	—	731	6,489
Cost to acquire property, plant and equipment and intangible assets	—	—	21	21
2014				
Net interest income	(2)	4	185	187
Net fees and commissions	109	(14)	47	142
Income from trading activities	(35)	91	(65)	(9)
Other operating income	(313)	73	193	(47)
Total income	(241)	154	360	273
Operating (loss)/profit before tax	(221)	(15)	179	(57)
Total assets	9,378	4,185	9,953	23,516
Total liabilities	9,333	3,915	6,798	20,046
Net assets attributable to equity owners and non-controlling interests	45	270	3,155	3,470
Contingent liabilities and commitments	6,703	4	1,352	8,059
Cost to acquire property, plant and equipment and intangible assets	—	—	11	11
2013				
Net interest income	(1)	2	237	238
Net fees and commissions	(24)	18	76	70
Income from trading activities	(127)	33	140	46
Other operating income	(115)	29	169	83
Total income	(267)	82	622	437
Operating (loss)/profit before tax	(363)	11	210	(142)
Total assets	17,669	6,183	15,921	39,773
Total liabilities	14,730	6,183	15,921	36,834
Net assets attributable to equity owners and non-controlling interests	2,939	—	—	2,939
Contingent liabilities and commitments	7,024	59	2,345	9,428
Cost to acquire property, plant and equipment and intangible assets	—	—	9	9

31 Remuneration of the Managing Board and Supervisory Board

Remuneration of the Managing Board

The Managing Board during the year comprised the following members:

- (1) I. van Eeghen (appointed Chairman 7 September 2015).
- (2) M. Geslak.
- (3) C. Visscher.
- (4) J. de Ruiter (resigned 31 July 2015).

All current members of the Managing Board also perform roles in their respective fields elsewhere within RBS Group. As a consequence the following table only summarises total remuneration of the members of the Managing Board paid by RBS Holdings in respect of their functions in RBS Holdings. Remuneration has decreased reflecting reduction in size of the Managing Board in 2015 from four to three members.

The remuneration of the Managing Board is presented in aggregate in the table below. RBSG and its subsidiaries adhere to relevant statutory requirements and RBS Group discloses individual remuneration of RBS Group executive directors, compliant with the UK PRA Remuneration Code.

	2015 €000	2014 €000
Salaries and short-term benefits	533	563
Pensions	59	118
Profit sharing and bonus payments	107	176
Long term incentive plan	21	122
Total	720	979

Notes:

- (1) There are no loans from RBS N.V. to the Managing Board members.
- (2) RBS N.V. is no longer a Dutch open N.V.
- (3) The vesting of long-term incentive awards in the form of shares in RBS Group will normally be subject to the satisfaction of financial and non-financial performance conditions. The performance conditions will be set by the RBS Group Performance and Remuneration Committee for each award. In addition, awards will only vest to the extent the Committee is satisfied that the vesting outcome reflects underlying financial results and if conduct and risk management during the performance period has been effective.

Remuneration of the Supervisory Board

The Supervisory Board during the year comprised the following members:

- (1) E. Stevenson
- (2) C. Campbell.
- (3) J. Cummins.
- (4) M. Klessens (appointed 2 September 2015).

The Supervisory Board included members employed elsewhere within RBS Group. The Supervisory Board members from RBS Group were not remunerated for time spent on matters relating to RBS Holdings N.V.

The table below provides information on the remuneration of the Supervisory Board in aggregate. Members of the Supervisory Board are not entitled to emoluments in the form of RBSG shares or options on RBSG shares.

	2015 €000	2014 €000
Remuneration	23	45

Notes:

- (1) There are no loans from the Group to the Supervisory Board members.
- (2) RBS Holdings N.V. is no longer a Dutch open N.V.

32 Related parties

The Group has a related party relationship with associates, joint ventures, key management and shareholders. The UK Government through HM Treasury is the ultimate controlling party of The Royal Bank of Scotland Group plc ('RBS Group') which in turn owns 98% of RBS Holdings B.V., the immediate parent company of the Group.

Parties are considered to be related if one party has the ability to control or exercise significant influence over the other party in making financial or operational decisions. The Group enters into a number of banking transactions with related parties in the normal course of business. These transactions, which include loans, deposits and foreign currency transactions, have taken place on an arm's length basis. These transactions are carried out on commercial terms and at market rates. Employees are offered preferential terms for certain banking products. No allowances for loan losses have been recognised in respect of loans to related parties in 2015, 2014 and 2013.

Balances with Consortium Members

A substantial part of the business activities of RBS N.V. was successfully transferred to RBS plc by the end of 2013 following an announcement in April 2011 by the Boards of RBSG, RBS plc, RBS Holdings and RBS N.V.. The Thailand business was transferred to RBS plc in 2014; there were no transfers in 2015.

The Group entered into two agreements with RBS plc under which it bought credit protection over the exposures held by the Group that were subject to RBS Group's Asset Protection Scheme agreement with HM Treasury. These agreements cover 100% of losses on these assets. RBS Group exited the APS effective 18 October 2012. The Group agreements are not impacted by RBS Group's exit from APS and as a result, there has been no change to these agreements although with effect from 1 January 2013, the Managing Board of RBS Holdings N.V. agreed with RBS plc to reduce the number of covered assets. The assets covered under the agreement at 31 December 2015 was €0.9 billion (2014 - €1.0 billion; 2013 - €1.0 billion). At 31 December 2015 the prepaid fee for the financial guarantee contract had been fully amortised (2014 - €9 million carrying value; 2013 - €20 million carrying value).

Financial assets and liabilities positions held-for-trading with RBS Group include positions of which risks have been transferred to RBS plc. The assets and liabilities cannot be offset under IFRS, however master netting agreements are in place that reduce the credit risk in the assets.

Notes on the consolidated accounts

32 Related parties continued

	Amounts due from holding company and fellow subsidiaries 2015 €m	Amounts due from holding company and fellow subsidiaries 2014 €m
Securities and derivatives	1,283	2,255
Loans and advances	6,371	6,522
Other assets	56	61
Derivatives	1,473	3,403
Due to banks	2,681	3,843
Other liabilities	92	68
Contingent liabilities and commitments	560	1,451

Balances with the Dutch State

Transactions conducted directly with the Dutch State and UK Government are limited to normal banking transactions, taxation and other administrative relationships with the exception of the mandatory convertible securities and guarantee of the Dutch State. In addition RBSH Group participates in the Dutch State treasuries market and utilises the liquidity support made available to all banks regulated by DNB.

There may be other significant transactions with entities under the common control of or subject to significant influence by the Dutch and/or the UK Government. These would include, amongst others, loans, deposits, guarantees, fee based relationships, or equity holdings. Disclosure is made of any significant transactions with these entities.

	2015 €m	2014 €m
Assets		
Balances at central banks	244	825

33 Post balance sheet events

On 29 March 2016 the District Court approved a preliminary distribution to successful plaintiffs in the Madoff-related class action against various Tremont entities which settled in 2011. RBS's share, estimated at \$159 million, will be recognised in 2016.

Company Financial Statements RBS N.V. (Parent Company) 2015

Accounting policies

The company financial statements of RBS N.V. have been prepared in accordance with the requirements in Title 9 Book 2 of the Dutch Civil Code. RBSH Group prepares its consolidated financial statements in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) as adopted by the EU and IFRS as issued by the IASB. The accounting policies applied in the company financial statements are the same as those applied in the consolidated financial statements on pages 67 to 76. RBS Holdings applies the exemption as included in the section 2:362 paragraph 8 of the Netherlands Civil Code. Participating interests in group companies are valued at net asset value determined on the basis of IFRS as issued by the IASB.

Basis of preparation

The financial statements are presented in euros, which is the presentation currency of the company. The income statement has been drawn up in accordance with Section 402, Book 2 of the Netherlands Civil Code.

Company income statement for the year ended 31 December 2015

	2015 €m	2014 €m	2013 €m
Net interest income	(87)	90	102
Results from consolidated subsidiaries	216	183	58
Non-interest income	8	(79)	(18)
Total income	137	194	142
Operating expenses	(153)	(260)	(376)
Loss before impairment losses	(16)	(66)	(234)
Impairment losses/releases	2	(18)	45
Operating loss before tax	(14)	(84)	(189)
Tax (charge)/credit	(14)	(31)	35
Loss from continuing operations	(28)	(115)	(154)
Profit from discontinued operations, net of tax	22	15	19
Loss for the year	(6)	(100)	(135)
Attributable to:			
Controlling interests	(6)	(100)	(135)

Company Financial Statements RBS N.V. (Parent Company) 2015

Company balance sheet at 31 December 2015

	2015 €m	2014 €m
Assets		
Cash and balances at central banks	353	952
Loans and advances to banks	8,268	10,104
Loans and advances to customers	2,095	2,631
Amounts due from the ultimate holding company	138	123
Debt securities and equity shares	436	1,407
Settlement balances	71	40
Derivatives	2,718	5,040
Deferred tax	10	24
Prepayments, accrued income and other assets	2,602	2,463
Assets of disposal groups	—	585
Total assets	16,691	23,369
Liabilities		
Deposits by banks	4,547	7,035
Customer accounts	1,286	1,978
Debt securities in issue	403	593
Settlement balances and short positions	36	34
Derivatives	1,857	4,505
Provisions, accruals and other liabilities	447	604
Subordinated liabilities	4,456	5,104
Liabilities of disposal groups	—	46
Total liabilities	13,032	19,899
Total equity	3,659	3,470
Total liabilities and equity	16,691	23,369

The notes to the company balance sheet on page 124 are an integral part of these financial statements.

Company Financial Statements RBS N.V. (Parent Company) 2015

Company statement of changes in equity for the year ended 31 December 2015

	2015 €m	2014 €m	2013 €m
Share premium account			
At 1 January	7,686	7,950	8,197
Transfer resulting from cross border merger	—	—	(247)
Capital injection (1)	57	139	-
Distribution (2)	—	(403)	-
At 31 December	7,743	7,686	7,950
Available-for-sale reserve			
At 1 January	(66)	(881)	(2,478)
Unrealised (losses)/gains	(10)	478	1,536
Realised gains	64	339	60
Tax	(1)	(2)	1
At 31 December	(13)	(66)	(881)
Cash flow hedging reserve			
At 1 January	(2)	—	-
Amount recognised in equity	2	(3)	-
Tax	(1)	1	-
At 31 December	(1)	(2)	-
Foreign exchange reserve			
At 1 January	(220)	(305)	(236)
Gains/(losses) arising during the year	80	(7)	(46)
Reclassification of foreign currency gains included in the income statement (3)	2	92	(23)
At 31 December	(138)	(220)	(305)
Group undertakings reserve			
At 1 January	8	3	6
Recycled to profit or loss on disposal of business	—	5	(3)
At 31 December	8	8	3
Retained earnings			
At 1 January	(3,936)	(3,828)	(3,693)
Loss attributable to controlling interests			
- continuing operations	(28)	(115)	(154)
- discontinued operations	22	15	19
Actuarial gains/(losses) on defined benefit plans	2	(8)	-
At 31 December	(3,940)	(3,936)	(3,828)
Equity attributable to controlling interests	3,659	3,470	2,939

Notes:

(1) Capital injection from RBS Holdings NV reflecting amounts received by RBS Holdings NV from RFS Holdings BV (2015 - €57 million; 2014 - €139 million).

(2) 2014 includes distribution of €103 million to RBS Holdings NV to fund the repayment of its loan from ABN AMRO Bank and a dividend of €300 million.

(3) Includes nil relating to gains recycled to profit and loss due to transfers to RBS plc during 2015 (2014 - €13 million; 2013 - €20 million).

Company Financial Statements RBS N.V. (Parent Company) 2015

A. Loans and advances to banks and Deposits by banks

Loans and deposits and interbank relationships with subsidiary companies are included. The maturity of these loans and receivables is less than one year.

RBS N.V.'s principal subsidiary undertakings and participating interests are shown below.

	Country of incorporation	Group interest
RBS Hollandsche N.V., Rotterdam	The Netherlands	100%
RBS WCS Holding Company, New York	United States of America	100%
The Royal Bank of Scotland (China) Co. Limited, Shanghai	China	100%
RBS Leasing (China) Co. Limited, Beijing	China	100%
Saudi Hollandi Bank, Riyadh ⁽¹⁾	Saudi Arabia	40%

Note:

(1) Participating interest that is not 100% consolidated but is accounted for under the equity method.

All subsidiaries and participating interests operate principally in their country of incorporation.

The list of participating interests for which statements of liability have been issued, has been filed with the Chamber of Commerce in Amsterdam.

Some of RBS N.V.'s subsidiaries and participating investments are regulated entities and therefore their ability to transfer funds to RBS N.V. is subject to regulatory approvals.

B. Shareholders' equity

Share capital

There were 11,112 ordinary shares of €4.50 each in issue as at 31 December 2015 and 2014.

Reserves

	2015 €m	2014 €m
Share premium account	7,743	7,686
Non-distributable reserve shares	11	11
Non-distributable profit participations	940	785
Group undertakings reserve	8	8
Other reserves	(5,043)	(5,020)
Total reserves	3,659	3,470

The share premium account is mainly regarded as paid-up capital for tax purposes. €966 million (2014 - €847 million) is not distributable out of total reserves.

Amsterdam, 28 April 2016

Supervisory Board

Ewen Stevenson
Christopher Campbell
John Cummins
Maarten Klessens

Managing Board

Idzard van Eeghen
Michael Geslak
Cornelis Visscher

Other information

	Page
Independent auditor's report	126
Articles of association	132
Relations with shareholders	133
Post balance sheet events	133
Incorporation and registration	133
Code of conduct	133

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT TO THE SHAREHOLDERS AND SUPERVISORY BOARD OF THE ROYAL BANK OF SCOTLAND N.V.

Our opinion on the financial statements of The Royal Bank of Scotland N.V.

We have audited the 2015 financial statements of The Royal Bank of Scotland N.V. ("RBS N.V.") based in the Netherlands, Amsterdam. The financial statements include the consolidated financial statements and the company financial statements.

In our opinion:

- the consolidated financial statements give a true and fair view of the financial position of RBS N.V. as at 31 December 2015 and of its result and its cash flows for 2015 in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards as adopted by the European Union (EU-IFRS) and Part 9 of Book 2 of the Dutch Civil Code.
- the company financial statements give a true and fair view of the financial position of RBS N.V. as at 31 December 2015, and of its result for 2015 in accordance with Part 9 of Book 2 of the Dutch Civil Code.

What we have audited

The consolidated financial statements comprise the consolidated balance sheet as at 31 December 2015, the consolidated income statement, the consolidated statement of comprehensive income, the consolidated statement of changes in equity, the consolidated cashflow statement; the notes comprising a summary of the significant accounting policies and other explanatory information and the information identified as 'audited' in the Capital and risk management section of the Financial review. The company financial statements comprise: the company balance sheet as at 31 December 2015; the company income statement and statement of changes in equity for 2015; and the notes comprising a summary of the significant accounting policies and other explanatory information.

Basis for our opinion

We conducted our audit in accordance with Dutch law, including the Dutch Standards on Auditing. Our responsibilities under those standards are further described in "Our responsibilities for the audit of the financial statements".

We are independent of RBS N.V. in accordance with the "Verordening inzake de onafhankelijkheid van accountants bij assurance-opdrachten" (ViO) and other relevant independence regulations in the Netherlands. Furthermore we have complied with the "Verordening gedrags- en beroepsregels accountants" (VGBA). We believe the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinion.

Key audit matters

The key audit matters described below are those matters which, in our professional judgement, were of most significance in our audit of the financial statements. We have communicated these key audit matters to the Supervisory Board. The key audit matters are not a comprehensive reflection of all matters discussed.

Risk	How the scope of our audit responded to the risk
<p>Loan Impairment Provisions</p> <p>The Managing Board members exercise significant judgement when determining both when and how much to record as loan impairment provisions. Because of the significance of this judgement and the size of loans and advances in the context of the RBS N.V. balance sheet, the audit of loan impairment provisions is a key area of focus. At 31 December the gross loans and advances were €9,210 million against which loan impairment provisions of €86 million were recorded. The basis of the provisions is summarised in the accounting policies and in note 11 of the financial statements.</p> <p>As set out in accounting policies, RBS N.V. uses one of two methods to assess the amount of impairment provisions required:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • For the larger, individually significant loans and advances, impairments are assessed on an individual basis; and • Latent loss provisions are held against losses that have been incurred but are not observable at the year-end. Latent provisions are held against loans and advances across all customer segments and Capital Resolution and calculated using models based on probabilities of default and loss given defaults as well as emergence periods between the impairment event occurring and an individual or collective impairment being recognised. <p>Where applicable, the impact of forbearance is assessed individually or on a portfolio basis.</p> <p>The most significant judgements arise on the impairments recorded against the loans and advances in the Capital Resolution Group. As a result of the strategy to exit these assets, the valuation of loans in this group is particularly sensitive to changes in market conditions. During the year, €20 million of the €27 million impairment released was due to individual loan provisions, predominantly in the Capital Resolution group this is as a result of improvements in economic and market conditions, and the realisation of collateral at greater than anticipated amounts together with increases in the valuation of collateral held on assets not disposed.</p>	<p>We tested the design and operating effectiveness of the key controls to determine which loans and advances are impaired and the required provisions against those assets. These included testing of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • System-based and manual controls over the timely recognition of potential problem loans and advances; • Controls over the impairment calculation models; and • Governance controls, including attending key meetings that form part of the approval process for loan impairment provisions. <p>We tested a sample of loans and advances to assess whether impairment events had been identified in a timely manner. For the latent impairment models used by RBS N.V., we tested a sample of the data used in the models as well as testing the calculations within the models.</p> <p>For the larger loans where individual provisions are determined, we selected a sample of loans and tested the calculation of the future expected cash flows from customers and, where applicable, from realisation of collateral held. This work involved assessing the work performed by the Bank's external experts used by RBS N.V. to value the collateral or to assess the estimates of the borrowers' future cash flows. In some cases we used our own industry experts, to assess the appropriateness of valuations and estimates used by RBS N.V.</p>

Risk	How the scope of our audit responded to the risk
<p>Financial instruments valuation</p> <p>The valuation of RBS N.V.'s financial instruments (derivatives) was a key area of focus of our audit given the degree of complexity involved in valuing some of the financial instruments and the significance of the judgements and estimates made by the Managing Board members. As set out in note 8 of the financial statements, financial instruments held at fair value comprised assets of €2.2 billion and liabilities of €1.9 billion. In RBS N.V.'s accounting policies, the Managing Board members have described the key sources of estimation involved in determining the valuation of financial instruments and in particular when the fair value is established using a valuation technique due to the instrument's complexity or due to the lack of availability of market-based data.</p> <p>Our audit has focused on testing the valuation adjustments including those for credit risk and own credit. A particular area of focus of our audit has been in testing the valuation of the more illiquid financial instruments disclosed as level 3 instruments which comprised assets of €0.1 billion and liabilities of €0.2 billion.</p>	<p>We tested the design and operating effectiveness of the key controls in RBS N.V.'s financial instrument valuation processes including the controls over data feeds and other inputs into valuation models and the controls over testing and approval of new models or changes to existing models.</p> <p>Our audit work also included testing a sample of the underlying valuation models and the assumptions used in those models using a variety of techniques. This included valuing a sample of financial instruments using independent models and source data and comparing the results to RBS N.V.'s valuations and the investigation of any significant differences.</p> <p>For instruments with significant, unobservable valuation inputs, we used our own internal valuation experts to assess and challenge the valuation assumptions used, including considering alternative valuation methodologies used by other market participants.</p>
<p>Conduct & litigation exposures</p> <p>In note 26 of the financial statements the Managing Board members have summarised the most significant legal proceedings, investigations and other regulatory and government actions RBS N.V. is currently involved in. The recognition and measurement of provisions and the measurement and disclosure of contingent liabilities in respect of litigation, customer remediation and regulatory investigations requires significant judgement and as a result is a key area of focus in our audit. As set out in the accounting policies, judgement is needed to assess whether:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • An obligation exists at 31 December 2015; • It is likely that an economic outflow (for example, a payment) is likely; and • The amount of the payment (or other economic outflow) can be estimated reliably. <p>At 31 December 2015 RBS N.V. held provisions for liabilities and charges totalling €114million. We focused our work on the recognition, measurement and disclosure of litigation and regulatory actions relevant to the RBS N.V. Group.</p>	<p>We tested the design and operating effectiveness of RBS N.V.'s key controls over the identification, recording and disclosure of exposures. The controls tested included those over the timely identification of exposures; the completeness and accuracy of data used in any models; and the calculation of the provision and disclosure of exposures in accordance with the relevant accounting standards.</p> <p>We challenged the adequacy of provisions recognised by critically assessing the key assumptions used in the provision models, comparing the assumptions to available peer and historic data. This work also included, amongst other things, reviewing regulatory correspondence and RBS N.V.'s complaint logs. We assessed the legal advice and correspondence with regulators received in connection with legal proceedings, investigations and regulatory matters which RBS N.V. is party to. For the more material matters, we also met with the Bank's external legal counsel.</p> <p>We also assessed the disclosures provided on conduct and litigation exposures in order to determine whether the disclosures were sufficiently clear about the uncertainties that existed in relation to the contingent liabilities and provisions recognised, including the sensitivity of the provisions to changes in the underlying assumptions.</p>

Other information

Risk	How the scope of our audit responded to the risk
IT access rights The widespread reliance on information systems within the Group means that the controls over access rights are critical. The Group identified a number of deficiencies in the controls over the provision of access to IT application systems which increased the risk that individuals had inappropriate access and the Group put in place a programme of activities to remediate those deficiencies during 2015. However for the IT application systems and databases that support financial reporting, the existence of these deficiencies during the year and at the year-end meant there was an increased risk that the data and reports from the affected systems and databases were not reliable.	We tested the design and operating effectiveness of RBS N.V.'s controls over the information systems that are critical to financial reporting and identified weaknesses in the access controls. Where these deficiencies affected applications and databases within the scope of our audit we performed a combination of controls and substantive testing including the following, in order to determine whether we could place reliance on the completeness and accuracy of system generated information: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Determined whether unauthorised or inappropriate changes had been made to the affected databases and IT application systems; and• Assessed the design and operating effectiveness of any controls that mitigated the identified risks. In addition and where appropriate we extended the scope of our substantive audit procedures.

The description of the risks above should be read in conjunction with the significant issues considered by the Supervisory Board discussed on pages 53 to 55.

Our audit procedures relating to these matters were designed in the context of our audit of the financial statements as a whole, and not to express an opinion on individual accounts or disclosures. Our opinion on the financial statements is not modified with respect to any of the risks described above, and we do not express an opinion on these matters.

Our Application of Materiality

Misstatements can arise from fraud or error and are considered material if, individually or in the aggregate, they could reasonably be expected to influence the economic decisions of users taken on the basis of these financial statements. The materiality affects the nature, timing and extent of our audit procedures and the evaluation of the effect of identified misstatements on our opinion.

Materiality overview	
Group materiality level	EUR 50 million
Basis for group materiality level	1.4% of shareholders equity
Threshold for reporting misstatements	EUR 2.5 million

Based on our professional judgement we determined the materiality for the financial statements as a whole at € 50 million. The materiality is based on 1.4% of Shareholders equity. We have also taken into account misstatements and/or possible misstatements that in our opinion are material for the users of the financial statements for qualitative reasons.

We agreed with the Supervisory Board that misstatements in excess of € 2.5 million, which are identified during the audit, would be reported to them, as well as smaller misstatements that in our view must be reported on qualitative grounds.

Other information

An overview of the scope of our audit

RBS N.V., a fully operational bank within RBS NV Group, is at the head of a group of entities. RBS N.V. is independently rated and regulated by the Dutch Central Bank. The financial information of this entity is included in the consolidated financial statements of RFS Holdings BV and ultimately RBS Group plc.

Because we are ultimately responsible for the opinion, we are also responsible for directing, supervising and performing the group audit. In this respect we have determined the nature and extent of the audit procedures to be carried out for group entities, taking into account the size and/or the risk profile of the group entities or operations. On this basis, we selected group entities for which an audit or review had to be carried out on the complete set of financial information or specific items.

We determined the scope of our audit by first considering the internal organisation of the RBS N.V. Group and then identifying the components of the audit that have most significance to the financial statements. The significant components of our audit are Corporate & Institutional Banking, Capital Resolution and the central functions of the Group including Treasury and Services. Full scope audits were performed in 5 countries, and a further 2 countries were subject to an audit of specified account balances or specified audit procedures where the extent of our testing was based on our assessment of the risks of material misstatement and of the materiality of RBS N.V.'s operations at those locations.

By performing the procedures mentioned above, together with additional procedures at group level, we have been able to obtain sufficient and appropriate audit evidence about the financial information of the RBS NV Group to provide an opinion about the consolidated financial statements.

Audit coverage	
Audit coverage of consolidated revenues	98%
Audit coverage of operating profit	99%
Audit coverage of total assets	99%

Report on other legal and regulatory requirements

Pursuant to legal requirements of Part 9 of Book 2 of the Dutch Civil Code (concerning our obligation to report about the Managing Board report and other information):

- We have no deficiencies to report, as a result of our examination, on whether the Managing Board report, to the extent we can assess, has been prepared in accordance with Part 9 of Book 2 of the Dutch Civil Code, and whether the information as required by Part 9 of Book 2 of the Dutch Civil Code has been annexed.
- We report that the Managing Board report, to the extent we can assess, is consistent with the financial statements.

Responsibilities of management and the supervisory board for the financial statements

The Managing Board is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in accordance with EU-IFRS and Part 9 of Book 2 of the Dutch Civil Code, and for the preparation of the Managing Board report in accordance with Part 9 of Book 2 of the Dutch Civil Code. Furthermore, management is responsible for such internal control as management determines is necessary to enable the preparation of the financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

As part of the preparation of the financial statements, management is responsible for assessing the company's ability to continue as a going concern. Based on the financial reporting frameworks mentioned, management should prepare the financial statements using the going concern basis of accounting unless management either intends to liquidate the company or to cease operations, or has no realistic alternative but to do so. Management should disclose events and circumstances that may cast significant doubt on the company's ability to continue as a going concern in the financial statements.

The Supervisory Board is responsible for overseeing the company's financial reporting process.

Other information

Our responsibilities for the audit of the financial statements

We have been auditor of the RBS NV Group since 2010. We were re-appointed as auditor of the RBS NV Group for the 2015 year end.

Our objective is to plan and perform the audit assignment in a manner that allows us to obtain sufficient and appropriate audit evidence for our opinion.

Our audit has been performed with a high, but not absolute, level of assurance, which means we may not have detected all errors and fraud.

We have exercised professional judgment and have maintained professional scepticism throughout the audit, in accordance with Dutch Standards on Auditing, ethical requirements and independence requirements. Our audit included:

- Identifying and assessing the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error, designing and performing audit procedures responsive to those risks, and obtaining audit evidence that is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinion. The risk of not detecting a material misstatement resulting from fraud is higher than for one resulting from error, as fraud may involve collusion, forgery, intentional omissions, misrepresentations, or the override of internal control;
- Obtaining an understanding of internal control relevant to the audit in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the company's internal control;
- Evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of accounting estimates and related disclosures made by management;
- Concluding on the appropriateness of management's use of the going concern basis of accounting and, based on the audit evidence obtained, whether a material uncertainty exists related to events or conditions that may cast significant doubt on the company's ability to continue as a going concern. If we conclude that a material uncertainty exists, we are required to draw attention in our auditor's report to the related disclosures in the financial statements or, if such disclosures are inadequate, to modify our opinion. Our conclusions are based on the audit evidence obtained up to the date of our auditor's report. However, future events or conditions may cause the company to cease to continue as a going concern;
- Evaluating the overall presentation, structure and content of the financial statements, including the disclosures; and
- Evaluating whether the financial statements represent the underlying transactions and events in a manner that achieves fair presentation.

We communicate with the Supervisory Board regarding, among other matters, the planned scope and timing of the audit and significant audit findings, including any significant findings in internal control that we identify during our audit.

We provide the Supervisory Board with a statement that we have complied with relevant ethical requirements regarding independence, and to communicate with them all relationships and other matters that may reasonably be thought to bear on our independence, and where applicable, related safeguards.

From the matters communicated with the Supervisory Board, we determine those matters that were of most significance in the audit of the financial statements of the current period and are therefore the key audit matters. We describe these matters in our auditor's report unless law or regulation precludes public disclosure about the matter or when, in extremely rare circumstances, not communicating the matter is in the public interest.

Amsterdam, 28 April, 2016

Deloitte Accountants B.V.

Signed on the original: R. Koppen

Articles of association

The description set out below is a summary of the material information relating to the Company's share capital, including summaries of certain provisions of the Articles of Association and applicable Dutch law in effect at the relevant date. The Articles of Association of RBS Holdings N.V. were last amended by a notarial deed executed by Mr B.J. Kuck, civil law notary in Amsterdam on 5 April 2013, under register entry number 33220369.

As stated in the Articles of Association the object of the Company is:

- The participation in, collaboration with and financing, administration and management of other enterprises and companies and the performance of all acts, activities and services which are related or may be conducive thereto.
- The engagement in banking and stockbroking activities, the management of third party assets, acting as trustee, administrator, executor of wills and executive director, non-executive director or liquidator of companies or other organisations, the provision of insurances and the performance of all other acts and activities which are related or may be conducive thereto, all in the broadest possible sense.
- The fostering of the direct and indirect interests of all those who are involved in any way in the Company and the safeguarding of the continuity of the Company and its affiliated enterprise(s).

Profit appropriation

Profit is appropriated in accordance with article 37 of the articles of association. The main stipulations with respect to shares currently in issue are as follow:

The Managing Board may decide to make appropriations to reserves, subject to the approval of the Supervisory Board (article 37.2.a.).

The allocation of the amount remaining after these appropriations shall be determined by the General Meeting of Shareholders. The Managing Board, subject to the approval of the Supervisory Board, shall make a proposal to that effect. A proposal to pay a dividend shall be dealt with as a separate agenda item at the General Meeting of Shareholders (article 37.2.a.).

RBS Holdings' policy on reserves and dividends shall be determined and can be amended by the Supervisory Board, upon the proposal of the Managing Board. The adoption of and each subsequent amendment to the policy on reserves and dividends shall be discussed and accounted for at the General Meeting of Shareholders under a separate agenda item (article 37.2.b.).

Subject to approval of the Supervisory Board, the Managing Board may make the dividend or interim dividend on the shares payable, at the discretion of the holders, either in cash or, provided it is authorised to issue shares, partly or wholly in shares in the Company's capital or in a combination thereof, such combination to be determined by the Managing Board (article 37.3.).

Subject to the approval of the Supervisory Board, the Managing Board shall be authorised, in so far as such is permitted by the profit as evidenced by an interim balance sheet drawn up with due observance of the provisions of Section 105, Subsection 4 of Book 2 of the Netherlands Civil Code, to make payable an interim dividend on the shares once or more frequently in the course of any financial year and prior to the approval of the Annual Accounts by the General Meeting of Shareholders (article 37.4.).

Subject to the approval of the Supervisory Board, the Managing Board may decide on a distribution charged against reserves in cash or, if the Board is authorised to issue shares, in the form of shares (article 37.5.).

Proposed profit appropriation

Appropriation of net profit pursuant to articles 37.2 and 37.3 of the articles of association:

	2015 €m	2014 €m	2013 €m
Release from reserves	(6)	(100)	(135)

Shares and voting rights

The company's share capital at 31 December 2015 and 2014 consisted of 11,112 issued and fully paid ordinary shares of €4.50 each. The Company's authorised share capital amounts to €225,000. It comprises 41,500 ordinary shares and 8,500 preference shares each with a nominal value of €4.50.

When shares are issued, each holder of shares shall have pre-emptive right, in proportion to the aggregate amount of their shares, except in the case of an issue of shares for a consideration other than in cash or an issue of shares to employees of the company or of a group company (art.9).

In the event of the dissolution and liquidation of the Company, the assets remaining after payment of all debts will be distributed to the shareholders of ordinary shares on a pro-rata basis (art 39.3).

Relations with shareholders

Rights of shareholders

Any resolution to amend the Articles of Association or dissolve RBS Holdings may only be passed by the General Meeting of Shareholders following a proposal by the Managing Board which has been approved by the Supervisory Board. A copy of the proposal containing the literal text of the proposed amendments shall be made available for inspection by the holders of shares of RBS Holdings at the offices of RBS Holdings and at the offices stated in the convocation to the meeting, from the day of convocation to the end of the Meeting. Each Shareholder may obtain a full copy of the proposal free of charge.

Meetings of shareholders and convocation

General meetings of shareholders shall be held in Amsterdam, or in The Hague, Rotterdam, Utrecht or Haarlemmermeer (Schiphol). Annual General Meeting of Shareholders must be held within six months of the end of each financial year. In addition, General meetings of shareholders shall be held as frequently as deemed necessary by the Managing Board or the Supervisory Board and when required by law or by the Articles of Association. General meetings of shareholders shall be convened by the Managing Board or the Supervisory Board, without prejudice to the provisions of Sections 110, 111 and 112 of Book 2 of the Netherlands Civil Code. Convocation shall take place not later than on the fifteenth day prior to the day of the meeting. Convocation shall state the items to be discussed or alternatively notice shall be given that such items may be inspected at the company's offices.

Proposals to amend the Articles of Association or proposals relating to a reduction of the company's capital shall always be included in the actual convocation.

Post balance sheet events

On 29 March 2016 the District Court approved a preliminary distribution to successful plaintiffs in the Madoff-related class action against various Tremont entities which settled in 2011. RBS's share, estimated at \$159 million, will be recognised in 2016.

Incorporation and registration

RBS N.V. is the parent company of the RBS N.V. consolidated group of companies. RBS N.V. is a public limited liability company, incorporated under Dutch law on 7 February 1825, and registered at Gustav Mahlerlaan 350, 1082 ME Amsterdam, the Netherlands and is entered in the Trade Register of the Amsterdam Chamber of Commerce under no 33002587.

Code of conduct

The code of conduct applies to everyone who works for the Group.

It promotes honest and ethical conduct, including the handling of actual or apparent conflicts of interest between personal and professional relationships. The Group recognises that personal conduct, business integrity and the Group's security are crucial, and the code of conduct serves to inform those who work for us of the Group's expectations of their behaviour and practices.

The code of conduct is available on the RBS Group's website www.rbs.com and will be provided to any person without charge, upon request, by contacting Company Secretariat at the telephone number listed on page 171.

Additional information

	Page
Financial summary	135
Exchange rates	146
Economic and monetary environment	147
Supervision	147
Major shareholders	150
Material contracts	150
Off-balance sheet arrangements	150
Risk factors	151
Glossary of terms	165
Important addresses	171

Additional information

Financial summary

The Group's financial statements are prepared in accordance with IFRS. Selected data under IFRS for each of the last five years ended 31 December are presented below.

Summary consolidated income statement	2015 €m	2014 €m	2013 €m	2012 €m	2011 €m
Net interest income	(22)	187	238	605	688
Non-interest income	302	86	199	103	3,318
Total income	280	273	437	708	4,006
Operating expenses	(225)	(319)	(537)	(1,530)	(2,427)
Profit/(loss) before impairment losses	55	(46)	(100)	(822)	1,579
Impairment losses	(103)	(11)	(42)	(65)	(1,765)
Operating loss before tax	(48)	(57)	(142)	(887)	(186)
Tax credit/(charge)	20	(58)	(12)	(129)	(449)
Loss from continuing operations	(28)	(115)	(154)	(1,016)	(635)
Profit from discontinued operations, net of tax	22	15	19	17	40
Loss for the year	(6)	(100)	(135)	(999)	(595)

Attributable to:

Controlling interests	(6)	(100)	(135)	(999)	(595)
-----------------------	-----	-------	-------	-------	-------

Summary consolidated balance sheet	2015 €m	2014 €m	2013 €m	2012 €m	2011 €m
Loans and advances	9,227	11,323	12,264	21,500	56,596
Debt securities and equity shares	2,139	3,243	15,583	23,782	42,738
Derivatives and settlement balances	2,292	4,472	4,792	7,586	21,746
Other assets	2,288	4,478	7,134	18,051	25,661
Total assets	15,946	23,516	39,773	70,919	146,741
Controlling interests	3,659	3,470	2,939	1,796	3,392
Non-controlling interests	—	—	—	—	21
Subordinated liabilities	4,456	5,104	4,951	6,851	6,859
Deposits	4,425	8,080	23,062	37,071	86,089
Derivatives, settlement balances and short positions	1,897	4,589	5,967	9,751	23,277
Other liabilities	1,509	2,273	2,854	15,450	27,103
Total liabilities and equity	15,946	23,516	39,773	70,919	146,741

Other financial data	2015 %	2014 %	2013 %	2012 %	2011 %
Dividend payout ratio (1)	—	(300.0)	—	—	—
Average owners' equity as a percentage of average total assets	18.8	9.0	4.2	3.3	2.4
Capital ratio - Tier 1	30.1	24.5	23.2	13.9	12.0
Capital ratio - Total	42.5	33.9	26.1	19.8	17.5
Ratio of earnings to fixed charges only (3)					
– including interest on deposits	0.84	0.83	0.73	0.13	0.89
– excluding interest on deposits	0.77	0.71	0.35	—	0.57

Notes:

(1) Dividend payout ratio represents dividends declared on a per share basis as a percentage of net (loss)/profit attributable to ordinary shareholders.

(2) Return on average ordinary shareholders' equity represents profit attributable to ordinary shareholders expressed as a percentage of average ordinary shareholders' equity. Negative ratios have been excluded.

(3) For this purpose, earnings consist of income before tax plus fixed charges. Fixed charges consist of total interest expense, including or excluding interest on deposits. Deposits include banks and total customer accounts. The coverage deficiency for total fixed charges excluding interest on deposits for the year ended 31 December 2015 is €48 million (2014 - €57 million; 2013 - €142 million; 2012 - €887 million; 2011 - €186 million).

Additional information

Financial summary continued

Analysis of loans and advances to customers

The following table analyses loans and advances to customers before impairment provisions by remaining maturity, geographical area and type of customer.

	Within 1 year €m	After 1 but within 5 years €m	After 5 years €m	2015 Total €m	2014 €m	2013 €m	2012 €m	2011 €m
Netherlands								
Central and local government	—	10	42	52	47	53	58	120
Manufacturing	1	—	10	11	20	11	233	806
Construction	—	—	—	—	—	—	19	551
Finance	408	37	17	462	431	189	174	1,190
Service industries and business activities	10	75	110	195	190	251	891	2,201
Property	—	—	—	—	—	—	7	301
Other business activities	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	781
Residential mortgages	—	—	—	—	—	223	282	431
Accrued interest	—	2	1	3	(2)	(1)	8	22
Total domestic	419	124	180	723	686	726	1,672	6,403
Overseas								
US	—	—	—	—	—	37	—	970
Rest of the World	922	413	221	1,556	2,967	3,215	5,049	23,732
Loans and advances to customers - gross	1,341	537	401	2,279	3,653	3,978	6,721	31,105
Loan impairment provisions				(86)	(114)	(194)	(341)	(1,527)
Loans and advances to customers - net				2,193	3,539	3,784	6,380	29,578

Note:

- (1) The Group provides credit facilities at variable rates to its corporate customers. Variable rate credit extended to the Group's corporate and commercial customers includes bullet and instalment loans, finance lease agreements and overdrafts; interest is generally charged at a margin over a bench mark rate such as EURIBOR, LIBOR or base rate. Interest on variable rate retail loans may also be based on EURIBOR, LIBOR or base rate. The Group does not provide interest only loans to its retail customers.

Additional information

Average balance sheet and related interest

		2015			2014		
		Average balance €m	Interest €m	Rate %	Average balance €m	Interest €m	Rate %
Assets							
Loans and advances to banks	- Domestic	3,790	7	0.2	4,379	18	0.4
	- Foreign	4,063	28	0.7	3,477	30	0.9
Loans and advances to customers	- Domestic	334	10	3.0	422	22	5.2
	- Foreign	2,456	146	5.9	4,380	234	5.3
Amounts due from ultimate holding company	- Domestic	135	11	8.1	2,428	151	6.2
Debt securities	- Domestic	701	—	—	8,924	20	0.2
	- Foreign	1,383	67	4.8	1,280	57	4.5
Interest-earning assets	- banking business (2)	12,862	269	2.1	25,290	532	2.1
	- trading business (3)	830			912		
Interest-earning assets		13,692			26,202		
Non-interest-earning assets		5,323			6,492		
Total assets		19,015			32,694		
Percentage of assets applicable to overseas operations		69.5%			41.6%		
Liabilities							
Deposits by banks	- Domestic	1,219	5	0.4	9,024	26	0.3
	- Foreign	1,052	15	1.4	1,689	24	1.4
Customer accounts: demand deposits	- Foreign	483	1	0.2	597	2	0.3
Customer accounts: savings deposits	- Foreign	267	14	5.2	264	15	5.7
Customer accounts: other time deposits	- Domestic	117	3	2.6	402	10	2.5
	- Foreign	782	40	5.1	1,500	67	4.5
Debt securities in issue	- Domestic	—	—	—	52	1	1.9
	- Foreign	379	8	2.1	421	11	2.6
Subordinated liabilities	- Domestic	4,512	204	4.5	4,949	176	3.6
	- Foreign	—	—	—	99	6	6.1
Internal funding of trading business	- Foreign	(322)	1	(0.3)	(410)	7	(1.7)
Interest-bearing liabilities	- banking business	8,489	291	3.4	18,587	345	1.9
	- trading business (3)	792			2,855		
Interest-bearing liabilities		9,281			21,442		
Non-interest-bearing liabilities:							
Demand deposits	- Domestic	58			122		
	- Foreign	198			443		
Other liabilities		5,905			7,745		
Total equity		3,573			2,942		
Total liabilities equity		19,015			32,694		
Percentage of liabilities applicable to overseas operations		69.5%			41.6%		

For notes relating to this table see following page.

Additional information

Average balance sheet and related interest *continued*

		2013		
		Average balance €m	Interest €m	Rate %
Assets				
Loans and advances to banks	- Domestic	6,095	51	0.8
	- Foreign	5,755	49	0.9
Loans and advances to customers	- Domestic	1,002	32	3.2
	- Foreign	6,386	307	4.8
Amounts due from ultimate holding company	- Domestic	2,805	183	6.5
Debt securities	- Domestic	18,014	53	0.3
	- Foreign	1,876	93	5.0
Interest-earning assets	- banking business (2)	41,933	768	1.8
	- trading business (3)	2,021		
Interest-earning assets		43,954		
Non-interest-earning assets		17,493		
Total assets		61,447		
Percentage of assets applicable to overseas operations		41.0%		
Liabilities				
Deposits by banks	- Domestic	20,679	117	0.6
	- Foreign	7,064	71	1.0
Customer accounts: demand deposits	- Domestic	—	—	—
	- Foreign	2,733	11	0.4
Customer accounts: savings deposits	- Foreign	554	21	3.8
Customer accounts: other time deposits	- Domestic	547	16	2.9
	- Foreign	1,950	82	4.2
Debt securities in issue	- Domestic	115	4	3.5
	- Foreign	641	13	2.0
Subordinated liabilities	- Domestic	3,488	41	1.2
	- Foreign	2,089	125	6.0
Internal funding of trading business	- Foreign	(779)	(8)	1.0
Interest-bearing liabilities	- banking business	39,081	493	1.3
	- trading business (3)	2,694		
Interest-bearing liabilities		41,775		
Non-interest-bearing liabilities:				
Demand deposits	- Domestic	311		
	- Foreign	861		
Other liabilities		15,942		
Total equity		2,558		
Total liabilities equity		61,447		
Percentage of liabilities applicable to overseas operations		40.1%		

Notes

(1) The analysis into domestic and foreign has been compiled on the basis of location of office.

(2) Interest income includes amounts (unwind of discount) recognised on impaired loans and receivables. The average balances of such loans are included in average loans and advances to banks and loans and advances to customers.

(3) Interest receivable and interest payable on trading assets and liabilities are included in income from trading activities.

Additional information

Analysis of change in net interest income – volume and rate analysis

Volume and rate variances have been calculated based on movements in average balances over the period and changes in interest rates on average interest-earning assets and average interest-bearing liabilities. Changes due to a combination of volume and rate are allocated pro rata to volume and rate movements.

	2015 over 2014			2014 over 2013		
	Increase/(decrease) due to changes in: Average volume €m	Average rate €m	Net change €m	Increase/(decrease) due to changes in: Average volume €m	Average rate €m	Net change €m
Interest-earning assets						
Loans and advances to banks						
Domestic	(1)	(10)	(11)	(10)	(23)	(33)
Foreign	4	(6)	(2)	(20)	1	(19)
Loans and advances to customers						
Domestic	(2)	(10)	(12)	(28)	18	(10)
Foreign	(112)	24	(88)	(103)	30	(73)
Amounts due from ultimate holding company						
Domestic	(176)	36	(140)	(24)	(8)	(32)
Debt securities						
Domestic	(13)	(7)	(20)	(22)	(11)	(33)
Foreign	2	8	10	(28)	(8)	(36)
Total interest receivable of the banking business						
Domestic	(192)	9	(183)	(84)	(24)	(108)
Foreign	(106)	26	(80)	(151)	23	(128)
	(298)	35	(263)	(235)	(1)	(236)
Interest-bearing liabilities						
Deposits by banks						
Domestic	(29)	8	(21)	(37)	(54)	(91)
Foreign	(9)	—	(9)	(78)	31	(47)
Customer accounts: demand deposits						
Foreign	—	(1)	(1)	(7)	(2)	(9)
Customer accounts: savings deposits						
Foreign	—	(1)	(1)	(14)	8	(6)
Customer accounts: other time deposits						
Domestic	(7)	—	(7)	(4)	(2)	(6)
Foreign	(36)	9	(27)	(20)	5	(15)
Debt securities in issue						
Domestic	(1)	—	(1)	(1)	(2)	(3)
Foreign	(1)	(2)	(3)	(6)	4	(2)
Subordinated liabilities						
Domestic	(21)	49	28	37	98	135
Foreign	—	(6)	(6)	(121)	2	(119)
Internal funding of trading business						
Foreign	(1)	(5)	(6)	2	13	15
Total interest payable of the banking business						
Domestic	(58)	57	(1)	(5)	40	35
Foreign	(47)	(6)	(53)	(244)	61	(183)
	(105)	51	(54)	(249)	101	(148)
Movement in net interest income						
Domestic	(134)	(48)	(182)	(79)	(64)	(143)
Foreign	(59)	32	(27)	93	(38)	55
	(193)	(16)	(209)	14	(102)	(88)

Additional information

Financial summary continued

Loan impairment provisions

For a discussion of the factors considered in determining the amount of the provisions, refer to the accounting policy on page 70 and 'Critical Accounting policies and key sources of estimation uncertainty' on page 74. The following table shows the movements in loan impairment provisions.

	2015 €m	2014 €m	2013 €m	2012 €m	2011 €m
Provisions at the beginning of the year					
Domestic	41	88	155	242	223
Foreign	73	107	186	1,330	1,349
	114	195	341	1,572	1,572
Transfer from/(to) disposal groups					
Domestic	—	—	29	—	—
Foreign	—	—	7	(171)	—
	—	—	36	(171)	—
Currency translation and other adjustments					
Domestic	5	(1)	46	—	77
Foreign	8	(6)	(5)	(74)	49
	13	(7)	41	(74)	126
(Disposals)/acquisitions of businesses					
Domestic	—	—	(38)	(50)	5
Foreign	—	—	(53)	(512)	(50)
	—	—	(91)	(562)	(45)
Amounts written-off					
Domestic	—	(3)	(70)	(50)	(43)
Foreign	(19)	(27)	(33)	(403)	(354)
	(19)	(30)	(103)	(453)	(397)
Recoveries of amounts previously written-off					
Domestic	3	1	1	7	—
Foreign	2	3	8	5	22
	5	4	9	12	22
(Released)/charged to income statement – continuing operations (1)					
Domestic	(12)	(44)	(35)	6	(20)
Foreign	(15)	(4)	(3)	11	322
	(27)	(48)	(38)	17	302
Unwind of discount (recognised in interest income)					
Foreign	—	—	—	—	(8)
Provisions at the end of the year					
Domestic	37	41	88	155	242
Foreign	49	73	107	186	1,330
	86	114	195	341	1,572
Loan impairment provisions at end of year:					
Customers	86	114	194	341	1,527
Banks	—	—	1	—	45
	86	114	195	341	1,572
Gross loans and advances to customers					
Domestic	723	686	684	1,672	6,403
Foreign	1,556	2,967	3,252	5,049	24,702
	2,279	3,653	3,936	6,721	31,105

Additional information

	2015 €m	2014 €m	2013 €m	2012 €m	2011 €m
Closing customer provisions as a % of gross loans and advances to customers (2)					
Domestic	5.1%	6.0%	12.1%	9.3%	3.8%
Foreign	3.1%	2.5%	3.3%	3.7%	5.4%
Total	3.8%	3.1%	4.9%	5.1%	4.9%
Customer charge to income statement for continuing operations as a % of gross loans and advances to customers					
Domestic	(1.7%)	(6.4%)	(4.8%)	0.4%	(0.3%)
Foreign	(0.9%)	(0.1%)	(0.1%)	(0.1%)	1.3%
Total	(1.2%)	(1.3%)	(0.9%)	0.2%	1.0%
Average loans and advances to customers (gross)	2,941	4,771	8,066	18,913	38,564
As a % of average loans and advances to customers during the year:					
Total customer provisions charged to income statement	(0.9%)	(1.0%)	0.0%	—	1.0%
Amounts written-off (net of recoveries) - customers	0.5%	0.5%	1.1%	2.2%	1.1%

Notes:

(1) Includes €2 million in 2012 relating to loans and advances to banks. Net of recoveries/(recharges) under the APS back-to-back agreement.

(2) Excludes provisions against loans and advances to banks.

Analysis of closing customer loan impairment provisions

The following table analyses customer loan impairment provisions by geographical area and type of domestic customer.

	2015		2014		2013		2012		2011	
	Closing provision €m	% of loans to total loans %	Closing provision €m	% of loans to total loans %	Closing provision €m	% of loans to total loans %	Closing provision €m	% of loans to total loans %	Closing provision €m	% of loans to total loans %
Domestic										
Central and local government	—	2.3	—	1.3	—	1.3	—	0.9	—	0.4
Manufacturing	—	0.5	—	0.5	—	0.3	(3)	3.5	—	4.4
Construction	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.3	—	1.8
Service industries and business activities	34	8.5	33	5.2	53	6.3	118	13.3	126	7.1
Finance	3	20.3	8	11.8	14	4.8	14	2.6	32	3.8
Property	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.1	68	1.0
Individuals										
– home mortgages	—	—	—	—	22	5.6	24	4.2	21	2.5
– other	—	—	—	—	—	—	7	—	3	1.4
Accrued interest	—	0.1	—	—	—	—	—	0.1	—	0.1
Total domestic	37	31.7	41	18.8	89	18.3	160	24.9	250	22.5
Foreign	47	68.3	68	81.2	92	81.7	146	75.1	1,216	77.5
Impaired book provisions	84	100	109	100	181	100.0	306	100.0	1,466	100
Latent book provisions	2		5		13		35		106	
Total provisions	86		114		194		341		1,572	

Additional information

Analysis of write-offs

The following table analyses write-offs by geographical area and type of domestic customer.

	2015 €m	2014 €m	2013 €m	2012 €m	2011 €m
Domestic					
Manufacturing	—	—	7	—	—
Finance	—	—	—	1	32
Service industries and business activities	—	3	63	10	11
Property	—	—	—	39	—
Total domestic	—	3	70	50	43
Foreign	19	27	33	403	354
Total write-offs	19	30	103	453	397

Analysis of recoveries

The following table analyses recoveries of amounts written-off by geographical area and type of domestic customer.

	2015 €m	2014 €m	2013 €m	2012 €m	2011 €m
Domestic					
Manufacturing	1	—	—	7	—
Finance	2	1	—	—	—
Service industries and business activities	—	—	1	—	—
Total domestic	3	1	1	7	—
Foreign	2	3	8	5	22
Total recoveries	5	4	9	12	22

Forbearance

The table below shows loans whose terms were subject to forbearance during the year that are unimpaired: either the loan was performing both before and after or it was non-performing before and subsequently transferred to the performing book. Loans subject to forbearance with impairment provisions continue to be reported as impaired loans.

	2015 €m	2014 €m	2013 €m	2012 €m	2011 €m
Forbearance loans (1)	—	7	—	—	194

Note:

(1) Loans subjected to forbearance include only those arrangements above thresholds set by the business, ranging from nil to €10 million.

Additional information

Risk elements in lending and potential problem loans

Risk elements in lending (REIL) comprises impaired loans and accruing loans past due 90 days or more as to principal or interest.

Impaired loans are all loans (including forbearance loans) for which an impairment provision has been established; for collectively assessed loans, impairment loss provisions are not allocated to individual loans and the entire portfolio is included in impaired loans.

Accruing loans past due 90 days or more comprise loans past due 90 days where no impairment loss is expected.

	2015 €m	2014 €m	2013 €m	2012 €m	2011 €m
Impaired loans (1)					
Domestic	84	130	178	253	339
Foreign	49	73	132	253	1,591
Total	133	203	310	506	1,930

Accruing loans which are contractually overdue 90 days or more as to principal or interest

Domestic	—	—	—	—	78
Foreign	—	—	—	—	89
Total	—	—	—	—	167
Total REIL	133	203	310	506	2,097

Closing provisions for impairment as a % of total REIL	64.7%	56.2%	62.6%	67.4%	75.0%
REIL as a % of gross lending to customers	5.8%	5.6%	7.9%	7.5%	6.8%

Note:

(1) The write off of impaired loans affects the closing provisions for impairment as a % of total risk elements in lending (the coverage ratio). The coverage ratio reduces if the loan written off carries a higher than average provision and increases if the loan written off carries a lower than average provision. There were no Impaired loans at 31 December 2015 whose terms were subject to forbearance (2014 and 2013 - €nil).

	2015 €m	2014 €m	2013 €m	2012 €m	2011 €m
Gross income not recognised but which would have been recognised under the original terms of impaired loans					
Domestic	—	23	24	20	80
Foreign	2	8	22	34	85
	2	31	46	54	165

Additional information

Potential problem loans

Potential problem loans (PPL) are loans for which an impairment event has taken place but no impairment loss is expected. This category is used for advances which are not past due 90 days or revolving credit facilities where identification as 90 days overdue is not feasible.

	2015 €m	2014 €m	2013 €m	2012 €m	2011 €m
Potential problem loans	5	10	9	27	220

Both REIL and PPL are reported gross and take no account of the value of any security held which could reduce the eventual loss should it occur, nor of any provision marked. Therefore impaired assets which are highly collateralised, such as mortgages, will have a low coverage ratio of provisions held against the reported impaired balance.

Cross border exposures

Cross border exposures are loans and advances including finance leases and instalment credit receivables and other monetary assets, such as debt securities and net derivatives, including non-local currency claims of overseas offices on local residents.

The Group monitors the geographical breakdown of these exposures based on the country of domicile of the borrower or guarantor of ultimate risk. Cross border exposures exclude exposures to local residents in local currencies.

The table below sets out cross border exposures greater than 0.5% of the Group's total assets.

	2015				2014 €m	2013 €m
	Government €m	Banks €m	Other €m	Total €m		
United Kingdom	—	232	1,414	1,646	1,413	1,580
United States	—	—	429	429	662	2,326
India	—	—	386	386	588	590
Qatar	—	—	196	196	229	205
Brazil	—	—	72	72*	78*	336
Ireland	—	—	58	58*	58*	313
Austria	—	—	50	50*	380	611
Indonesia	50	—	—	50*	120	230
Italy	—	9	4	13*	149	589
France	—	—	8	8*	249	814
Spain	—	—	3	3*	61*	5,172
Belgium	—	—	—	—	328	534
Germany	—	—	—	—	246	913

* Less than 0.5% of Group total assets.

Additional information

Analysis of deposits – product analysis

The following table analyses deposits by type and geographical area.

	2015 €m	2014 €m	2013 €m
Netherlands			
Deposits			
- interest-free	185	285	366
- interest-bearing	970	1,580	16,517
Total domestic offices	1,155	1,865	16,883
Overseas			
Deposits			
- interest-free	1,502	2,734	1,894
- interest-bearing	1,768	3,481	4,285
Total overseas offices	3,270	6,215	6,179
Total deposits	4,425	8,080	23,062
Overseas			
US	3	82	482
Rest of the World	3,267	6,133	5,697
Total overseas offices	3,270	6,215	6,179

Certificates of deposit and other time deposits

The following table shows details of certificates of deposit and other time deposits over \$100,000 or equivalent by remaining maturity.

2015	Within 3 months €m	Over 3 months but within 6 months €m	Over 6 months but within 12 months €m	Over 12 months €m	Total €m
Domestic					
Other time deposits	196	8	—	65	269
Overseas					
Other time deposits	1,471	14	64	279	1,828
	1,667	22	64	344	2,097

Additional information

Financial summary continued

Short-term borrowings

The table below shows details of short-term borrowings, relating to repurchase agreements.

	2015	2014	2013
At year end			
- balance (€m)	—	638	11,744
- weighted average interest rate	—	0.7%	0.1%
During the year			
- maximum balance (€m)	664	11,770	14,748
- average balance (€m)	191	7,657	12,392
- weighted average interest rate	0.5%	0.1%	0.3%

Balances are generally based on monthly data. Average interest rates during the year are computed by dividing total interest expense by the average amount borrowed. Average interest rates at year end are average rates for a single day and as such may reflect one-day market distortions, which may not be indicative of generally prevailing rates.

Other contractual cash obligations

The table below summarises other contractual cash obligations by payment date.

	0 – 3 months €m	3 – 12 months €m	1 – 3 years €m	3 – 5 years €m	5 – 10 years €m
Operating leases:					
2015	5	12	22	15	15
2014	5	10	15	13	19
2013	8	19	21	15	26

Note:

(1) No obligations extend beyond 10 years.

The Group's undrawn formal facilities, credit lines and other commitments to lend were €440 million (2014 - €648 million; 2013 - €847 million). While the Group has given commitments to provide these funds, some facilities may be subject to certain conditions being met by the counterparty. The Group does not expect all facilities to be drawn, and some may lapse before drawdown.

Exchange rates

The following tables show the Noon Buying Rate in New York for cable transfers in euro as certified for customs purposes by the Federal Reserve Bank of New York.

US dollars per €1	March 2016	February 2016	January 2016	December 2015	November 2015	October 2015
Noon Buying Rate						
High	1.14	1.14	1.10	1.10	1.10	1.14
Low	1.08	1.09	1.07	1.06	1.06	1.10

	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011
Noon Buying Rate					
Period end rate	1.09	1.22	1.38	1.32	1.30
Average rate for the period (1)	1.10	1.32	1.33	1.29	1.40

Consolidation rate (2)

Period end rate	1.09	1.28	1.38	1.32	1.30
Average rate for the period	1.10	1.24	1.33	1.29	1.39

Notes:

(1) The average of the Noon Buying Rates on the last business day of each month during the year.

(2) The rates used by RBSH Group for translating US dollars into euro in the preparation of its financial statements.

(3) On 22 April 2016, the Noon Buying Rate was €1.00 = \$1.1239.

Economic and monetary environment

The UK economy grew by 2.2% in 2015, its average of the last 40 years. In a healthy job market, the number of people in work rose by more than 500,000 and unemployment fell to 5.1%. For the first time in six years there was meaningful wage growth, averaging 2.0%. Businesses' profits were as strong as at any point since the 1990s, at least outside the oil and gas sector, and business investment continued to rise. House prices grew by around 8%.

Despite this generally positive environment, inflation hovered around 0% throughout the year. Most of the weakness in inflation was a consequence of falling commodity prices and the effects of the depreciation in sterling since 2013. However, some of it reflected spare capacity in firms and in the labour market that contained domestic cost growth. Low inflation was one important reason why Bank Rate remained unchanged throughout the year at 0.5%, where it has been since March 2009. During early 2016, the date at which markets expected Bank Rate to rise ranged from 2017 to 2020, materially later than expectations in mid-2015. During early 2016, the date at which markets expected Bank Rate to rise ranged from 2017 to 2020, materially later than expectations in mid-2015.

Driven by strong export performance, growth in Ireland accelerated sharply to around 7.0% in the first three quarters of 2015, its fastest rate since before the crisis. Unemployment fell to under 10%, which, while materially below the recession peak of 15% remains high by the standards of the past. House price inflation moderated from 16.3% in 2014 to 6.6%, reflecting a continuing imbalance between supply and demand.

Growth in the wider euro area accelerated modestly to more than 1.0%. However, with inflation close to zero and unemployment averaging more than 11%, the European Central Bank launched a programme of quantitative easing. That programme will continue until September 2016 at the earliest. In the US, growth was 2.4%, the same as in 2014, and unemployment fell to 5%. Inflation was close to zero throughout the year. In December, the Federal Open Market Committee voted to raise the Federal Funds Target Rate by 0.25% to a range of 0.25%-0.5%.

In emerging markets, notably China, growth slowed sharply during the year, although this was not always reflected in official data. During 2015 and again in early 2016, market volatility rose because of concerns about prospects in China and other emerging markets and the possible consequences of slower growth there for advanced economies. Weaker emerging market growth contributed to slow trade growth.

In the Netherlands in the last quarter of 2015, quarter-on-quarter GDP growth accelerated slightly, resulting in full-year growth of 2.0% - the fastest expansion since 2008. All components of GDP contributed to the increase. Private consumption and fixed investment was particularly strong with the latter benefiting from a recovery in the housing market. However, lower natural gas production restricted growth. In the first quarter of 2016, there were mixed signals with businesses growing more confident but consumer sentiment falling.

Supervision

Netherlands

RBSH Group is regulated in the Netherlands by the European Central Bank ("ECB") under the Single Supervisory Mechanism ("SSM"). As part thereof, a Joint Supervisory Team comprising of ECB and De Nederlandsche Bank (DNB) staff has been set up to conduct the day to day prudential supervision oversight. The Netherlands Authority for the Financial Markets (Autoriteit Financiële Markten (AFM) is responsible for the conduct supervision.

RBSH Group's regulatory system in the Netherlands is a comprehensive system based on the provisions of the Financial Supervision Act which came into effect on 1 January 2007 and in combination with applicable European legislation. The Financial Supervision Act has replaced, amongst others, the Act on the Supervision of the Credit System 1992 without affecting the existing supervisory system.

The Financial Supervision Act sets out rules regarding prudential supervision and supervision of conduct.

Prudential supervision focuses on the solidity of financial undertakings and contributes to the stability of the financial sector. Supervision of conduct focuses on orderly and transparent financial market processes, clear relations between market participants and due care in the treatment of clients (including supervision of the securities and investment businesses).

Prudential supervision

Prudential supervision of credit institutions in the Netherlands is performed by DNB under the Financial Supervision Act. No enterprise or institution established in the Netherlands may pursue the business of a credit institution unless it has obtained prior authorisation from DNB.

Its supervisory activities under the Financial Supervision Act focus on supervision of solvency, liquidity and administrative organisation, including risk management and internal control.

If, in the opinion of DNB, a credit institution fails to comply with the rules and regulations regarding the above mentioned subjects, DNB will notify the credit institution and may instruct the credit institution to behave in a certain manner. If the credit institution does not respond to any such instructions to the satisfaction of DNB, DNB is allowed to exercise additional supervisory measures that may include the imposition of fines.

Prudential supervision also oversees calculation of significant intra-group agreements, adjusted solvency, calculation of capital adequacy and significant risk concentrations. It also determines the models used by the financial undertakings to report the calculations to DNB. Finally, the regulation lays down reporting rules, for example reporting deadlines and reporting frequency.

Conduct of business supervision

The body responsible for carrying out this supervision in the Netherlands is the AFM.

Conduct of business supervision focuses on ensuring orderly and transparent financial market processes, proper relationships between market participants and the exercise of due care by financial undertakings in dealing with clients.

The Financial Supervision Act provides that each supervised credit institution must submit periodic reports to DNB. In accordance with this requirement RBSH Group files quarterly and monthly reports with DNB.

At least one submission for each given year must be certified by an external auditor. The report to be certified is selected by an external auditor at his or her discretion.

On 1 July 2008 a decree pursuant to the Financial Supervision Act was extended to incorporate the requirements for eligibility of covered bonds. Dutch issuers of covered bonds now have the facility to register their programs with DNB. The new legislation is designed to protect the interest of covered bond holders through special supervision by DNB of the recognised covered bond programs. An issuer must comply with several conditions when submitting a program for recognition and demonstrate compliance to these conditions through the provision of specific documentation and information. Once a program is registered, the issuer will have ongoing administration and reporting obligations to adhere to.

Solvency supervision

Capital adequacy framework (Basel)

In 2004, the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision endorsed the publication of the 'International Convergence of Capital Measurement and Capital Standards: a Revised Framework', commonly referred to as Basel II. The Capital Requirements Directive, representing the translation of Basel II to EU legislation and replacing the Capital Adequacy Directive, was approved by the European Parliament in 2005. This acceptance by the European Parliament cleared the way in Europe for the implementation of the Capital Requirements Directive, with a published compliance date of 1 January 2008.

The implementation process of Basel II into Dutch legislation (Financial Supervision Act) and regulation was completed in December 2006 when DNB published its supervisory rules. The compliance date in the European Union was 1 January 2008.

Basel II provides three approaches of increasing sophistication to the calculation of credit risk capital: the Standardised Approach, the Internal Ratings Based Foundation Approach, and the Internal Ratings Based Advanced Approach. Basel II also introduces capital requirements for operational risk for the first time. Basel II is structured around the three following 'pillars'.

Pillar 1 sets out minimum regulatory capital requirements, that is, the minimum amount of capital banks must hold against credit, operational and market risks.

Pillar 2 sets out the key principles for supervisory review of an institution's risk management framework and, ultimately, its capital adequacy. It sets out specific oversight responsibilities for the Board and senior management, thus reinforcing principles of internal control and other corporate governance practices. Pillar 2 requires that the institutions conduct an internal capital adequacy assessment process.

Pillar 3 aims to bolster market discipline through enhanced disclosure by banks.

With effect from 30 June 2010, RBSH Group migrated to Basel II status. For the majority of credit risk, RBSH Group uses the advanced internal ratings based approach for calculating RWAs. For operational risk, RBSH Group uses the basic indicator approach, which calculates operational risk capital charge RWA equivalent on the average operating income for three years times a fixed percentage of 15%.

In addition to the calculation of minimum capital requirements for credit, market and operational risk, banks are required to undertake an Individual Capital Adequacy Assessment Process (ICAAP) for other risks. RBSH Group's ICAAP, in particular, focuses on concentration risk, stress VaR, operational risk, litigation risk, interest rate risk in the banking book, FX translation risk together with stress tests to assess the adequacy of capital over two years.

RBSH Group is consolidated for regulatory reporting within the RBS Group. Pillar 3 information for RBSH Group is included within the RBS Group Pillar 3 disclosures. RBS Group publishes its Pillar 3 (Market disclosures) on its website www.rbs.com, providing a range of additional information relating to Basel II risk, liquidity and capital management across the RBS Group.

The disclosures focus on capital resources and adequacy, discuss a range of credit risk approaches and their associated RWAs under various Basel II approaches. Detailed disclosures are also made on credit risk mitigation, counterparty credit risk, interest rate risk in the banking book, provisions, equity, securitisation, operational and market risk.

RBSH Group operates in multiple jurisdictions and is subject to a number of regulatory regimes.

RBSH Group's lead regulator in the Netherlands is DNB. RBSH Group has direct and indirect subsidiaries of the RBS Group whose lead regulatory in the UK is the Prudential Regulation Authority (PRA).

Exposure supervision

DNB has issued specific rules with respect to large exposures to a single borrower or group of interconnected borrowers, or in relation to certain other businesses that involve a concentration of risk. Large exposures generally include all assets and off-balance sheet items of a credit institution with respect to a single borrower or group of interconnected borrowers which exceed 10% of a credit institution's total capital. Large exposures must be reported once every quarter to DNB.

There is a limit of 25% of total capital for a single large exposure as part of the banking book. Trading book positions may exceed this limit subject to additional solvency requirements.

Liquidity supervision

Banks are required to report on a consolidated level on their liquidity position to DNB monthly, on the basis of the liquidity supervision directive. The liquidity directive seeks to ensure that banks are in a position to cope with an acute short term liquidity shortage under the assumption that banks would remain solvent. In principle, DNB liquidity directive covers all direct domestic and foreign establishments (subsidiaries/branches), including majority participations. The regulatory report also takes into consideration the liquidity effects of derivatives and the potential drawings under committed facilities.

The directive places emphasis on the short term in testing the liquidity position over a period of up to one month with a separate test of the liquidity position in the first week. For observation purposes, several additional maturity bands are included in the liquidity report (one to three months, three to six months, six months to one year and beyond one year).

Available liquidity must always exceed required liquidity. Available liquidity and required liquidity are calculated by applying weighting factors to the relevant on- and off-balance sheet items, i.e. irrevocable commitments. The liquidity test includes all currencies. A surplus in a non convertible or non transferable currency however needs to be ignored or explicitly taken out. Compliance reports concerning liquidity requirements of foreign subsidiaries are submitted to the appropriate foreign regulatory authorities as required. At a consolidated level, and in every country in which RBSH Group operates, RBSH Group adheres to the liquidity standards imposed by the applicable regulatory authorities.

In accordance with the principles of the European Banking Authority (EBA) and the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (BCBS) and as articulated in DNB's Liquidity Policy Rule (Beleidsregel liquiditeit Wft 2011) RBSH Group is required to regularly carry out an Internal Liquidity Adequacy Assessment Process (ILAAP). The ILAAP covers RBSH Group's assessment of liquidity risks under the severe ILAAP stress test scenarios, the adequacy of liquidity resources and liquidity management systems and controls. The liquidity risks run by RBSH Group are quantified through severe but plausible stress testing scenarios. Key to the ILAAP stress testing process is the benefit of actual experience during the financial crisis and the access to historic data to support the ILAAP outflow assumptions which are key inputs into the 'Quantitative Liquidity Stress Testing' (QLST) process. QLST is an essential part of RBSH Group's risk management process and enables RBSH Group to model the impact of the different stress scenarios on the liquidity position.

Structural supervision

Pursuant to the Financial Supervision Act, banks are prohibited to hold, acquire or increase a qualifying holding or exercise any control relating to a qualifying holding in a bank in the Netherlands, except if it has obtained a Declaration of No Objection (DNO) from DNB (or in certain specified cases from the Dutch Minister of Finance). Qualifying holding means a participation of at least 10% in the issued share capital of the related voting rights or similar influence. The DNO would be issued unless the qualifying holding in the bank concerned would lead to an influence which might jeopardise sound and prudent operations or the qualifying holding could or would lead to an undesirable development of the financial sector.

DNB or the Dutch Minister of Finance can, on request, grant so-called bandwidths, umbrella and group-DNOs in respect of qualifying holdings. A DNO is not required in case of a qualifying holding by a bank in a company whose assets consist of more than 90% liquid assets.

According to Dutch regulation, a DNO will not be issued regarding qualifying holding by a bank in a non-financial institution if the value of the equity participation would exceed 15% of a bank's regulatory capital and if the participation would cause the value of the bank's aggregate qualifying holdings in non-financial institutions to exceed 60% of its regulatory capital. Certain types of participations will be approved in principle, although in certain circumstances a DNO will have a limited period of validity, such as in the case of a debt rescheduling or rescue operation or when the participation is acquired and held as part of an issue underwriting operation. Generally the approval will be given where the value of the non-financial institution concerned or the value of the participation does not exceed certain threshold amounts.

Supervision of the securities and investment businesses

RBSH Group is also subject to supervision of its activities in the securities business. The Financial Supervision Act, which has replaced the Act on the Supervision of the Securities Trade 1995 together with the decrees and regulations promulgated thereunder, provides a comprehensive framework for the conduct of securities trading in or from the Netherlands. The AFM is charged by the Dutch Minister of Finance with supervision of the securities industry.

United States

RBS Holdings is both a bank holding company and a financial holding company within the meaning of the US Bank Holding Company Act of 1956. As such, it is subject to the regulation and supervision of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System ('the Federal Reserve'). Among other things, RBSH Group's direct and indirect activities and investments in the United States are limited to those that are 'financial in nature' or 'incidental' or 'complementary' to a financial activity, as determined by the Federal Reserve.

Additional information

RBSH Group is also required to obtain the prior approval of the Federal Reserve before acquiring directly or indirectly, the ownership or control of more than 5% of any class of the voting shares of any US bank or holding company. Under current Federal Reserve policy, RBSH Group is required to act as a source of financial strength for its US bank subsidiaries. Among other things, this source of strength obligation could require RBSH Group to inject capital into any of its US bank subsidiaries if any of them became undercapitalised.

Anti-money laundering, anti-terrorism and economic sanctions regulations are a major focus of the US government for financial institutions and are rigorously enforced by US government agencies.

United Kingdom

The PRA is the consolidated supervisor of RBS Group. In the UK, RBS Group is subject to extensive regulations that impose obligations on financial institutions to maintain appropriate policies, procedures and controls to ensure compliance with the rules and regulations to which they are subject.

Other jurisdictions

RBSH Group is licensed to operate in nine countries through a network of branches, local banks and non-bank subsidiaries and these activities are subject to supervision in most cases by a local regulator or central bank.

Major shareholders

On 17 October 2007, RFS Holdings B.V. (RFS Holdings), a company incorporated by RBS Group, Fortis and Santander acquired 85.6% of RBS Holdings N.V. Through subsequent purchases RFS Holdings increased its stake in RBSH Group to 99.3% as at 31 December 2007. RFS Holdings started squeeze-out proceedings in order to acquire the remainder of the shares in RBSH Group from minority shareholders and this procedure was completed on 22 September 2008. As a result RFS Holdings is from that date the sole shareholder of RBS Holdings N.V. RFS Holdings is controlled by The Royal Bank of Scotland Group plc, which is incorporated in the UK and registered at 36 St. Andrew Square, Edinburgh, Scotland and The Royal Bank of Scotland Group plc is the ultimate parent company of RBSH Group Holding N.V.

On 3 October 2008, the Dutch State fully acquired all Fortis' businesses in the Netherlands, including the Fortis share in RFS Holdings. On 24 December 2008, the Dutch State purchased from Fortis Bank Nederland (Holding) N.V. its investment in RFS Holdings, to become a direct shareholder in RFS Holdings. On 31 December 2010, RBS Group increased its shareholding in RFS Holdings to 97.72%. As of that date, the Dutch State has a 1.25% shareholding and Santander has a 1.03% shareholding. On 7 November 2012 the Dutch State transferred its investment to Stichting Administratiekantoor Beheer Financiële Instellingen.

Stock exchange listings

None of the shares in RBS N.V. are listed.

Issued share capital

The issued share capital of RBS N.V. consists of 11,112 ordinary shares with a nominal value of €4.50 each.

Material contracts

RBSH Group are party to various contracts in the ordinary course of business. Material contracts include the following:

Participation in UK Government's Asset Protection Scheme

In 2009, RBS plc, entered into an agreement (the Asset Protection Scheme (APS)) with HM Treasury (HMT), acting on behalf of the UK Government, under which it purchased credit protection over a portfolio of specified assets and exposures (covered assets) from HMT.

Although the portfolio of covered assets included assets recorded on RBSH Group's balance sheet, RBSH Group was not entitled to benefit under this contract.

However, RBSH Group entered into credit protection agreements in 2009 in the form of a financial guarantee contract and a credit derivative contract with RBS plc that provides full principal protection over those covered assets attributable to RBSH Group for their remaining life.

Under the terms of these contracts on impairment of a covered asset RBSH Group is entitled to receive from RBS plc the present value of the difference between contractual and expected cash flows from the asset; subsequent reductions in the estimated lost cash flows are paid by RBSH Group to RBS plc and increases paid by RBS plc to RBSH Group.

Although the RBS Group's participation in the APS ceased in October 2012, these arrangements between RBSH Group and RBS plc remain in place.

Dividends

RBSH Group's policy is to pay dividends on ordinary shares taking account the capital position and prospects. For further information on the payment of dividends, see page 81.

Off-balance sheet arrangements

RBSH Group has no off-balance sheet arrangements that have or are reasonably likely to have an adverse effect on its financial condition, changes in financial condition, revenues or expenses, results of operations, liquidity, capital expenditures or capital resources.

For further information on off-balance sheet commitments and contingent liabilities see Note 26 to the Financial Statements.

Risk factors

Set out below are certain risk factors that could adversely affect the RBSH Group's future results, its financial condition and prospects and cause them to be materially different from what is expected. RBSH Group is a subsidiary of RBSG and accordingly, risk factors which relate to RBSG and the RBS Group will also be of relevance to RBSH Group including RBS N.V.. The factors discussed below and elsewhere in this report should not be regarded as a complete and comprehensive statement of all potential risks and uncertainties facing the RBSH Group.

In connection with the winding-down of the RBSH Group's operations, the RBSH Group is currently executing the transfers or sale of a substantial part of the business activities of RBS N.V. to RBS plc and the execution and/or any delay in the execution or non-completion of the approved transfers or sale of such business activities may have a material adverse effect on the RBSH Group.

As part of the restructuring of the RBS Group businesses, operations and assets, on 19 April 2011, the RBS Group announced the proposed transfers of a substantial part of the business activities of RBS N.V. to RBS plc (the "Transfers"). The Transfers have been carried out since 2011 and a substantial part of the business activities of RBS N.V. had been successfully transferred to RBS plc by the end of 2012, with further transfers in 2013 and 2014. There were no transfers in 2015, however the focus continues to be on progressing the remaining transfers with a view to winding-up the operations of the RBSH Group.

In addition, the RBSH Group may be exposed to certain risks, including risks arising out of ongoing liabilities and obligations, breaches of covenants, representations and warranties, indemnity claims, transitional services arrangements and redundancy or other transaction-related costs, and counterparty risk in respect of buyers of assets being sold. Such disposals may also require the RBSH Group to recognise further write-downs or realise impairment charges, all of which may have a material adverse effect on RBSH Group's financial condition, results of operations and capital requirements and ratios.

The occurrence of any of the risks described above could have a material adverse effect on the RBSH Group's ability to complete the winding-down of its operations and pending the completion of such winding-up, may adversely affect its business, results of operations, financial condition and capital position.

The RBSH Group is reliant on the RBS Group.

The RBSH Group is part of the RBS Group and receives capital, liquidity and funding support from the RBS Group. At 31 December 2015, the RBSH Group funding included €2.7 billion (2014 - €3.9 billion) due to companies in the RBS Group. The RBSH Group also obtained capital support through its credit protection agreements with RBS Group. At 31 December 2015, these agreements reduced the RBSH Group's regulatory capital requirement by €16 million (2014 - €52 million). In 2009, in connection with The Royal Bank of Scotland plc's ("RBS plc") participation in the Asset Protection Scheme (which has now been terminated) and the related agreement between RBS Group and HM Treasury, acting on behalf of the UK Government, under which RBSG purchased credit protection over a portfolio of specified assets and exposures ("covered assets") from HM Treasury, including certain assets recorded on the RBSH Group's balance sheet, the RBSH Group entered into back-to-back credit protection agreements with RBSG (the "Contracts") that provide full principal protection over those covered assets attributable to the RBSH Group for their remaining life.

In addition, much of the RBSH Group's banking operations function on the RBS Group's integrated global infrastructure. These operations include sales and marketing; customer recognition; transaction processing and execution; recordkeeping; settlement services; compliance monitoring; risk management; treasury management; accounting and financial reporting; taxation advice; information technology services; purchasing; office accommodation and administration; human resources management; internal audit; and secretariat services.

The reduction or cessation of the ability of the RBS Group, pursuant to the Contracts or otherwise, to provide intra-group funding, capital injections, liquidity or other financial support directly or indirectly to the RBSH Group, may result in funding or capital pressures and liquidity stress for the RBSH Group and may have a material adverse effect on the operations, financial condition and results of operations of the RBSH Group.

As a result of the transfers of a substantial part of the business activities from RBS N.V. to RBS plc, the residual RBSH Group has been and will continue to be increasingly reliant on the RBS Group for capital, liquidity and funding support. See '*In connection with the winding-down of the RBSH Group's operations, the RBSH Group is currently executing the transfers or sale of a substantial part of the business activities of RBS N.V. to RBS plc and the execution and/or any delay in the execution or non-completion of the approved transfers or sale of such business activities may have a material adverse effect on the RBSH Group*'.

Operational risks are inherent in the RBSH Group's businesses and these risks could increase as the RBS Group implements its strategic programme, and the UK ring-fencing regime, and the RBSH Group implements its end-state plan.

Operational risk is the risk of loss resulting from inadequate or failed internal processes, people or systems, or from external events, including legal risk. The RBSH Group has complex and geographically diverse operations and operational risk and losses can result from a number of circumstances and events including IT failures, internal and external fraud, errors by employees or third parties, failure to document transactions properly or to obtain proper authorisations, failure to comply with applicable regulatory requirements and conduct of business rules (including those arising out of anti-bribery, anti-money laundering and antiterrorism legislation, as well as the provisions of applicable sanctions programmes), equipment failures, financial reporting errors or deficiencies, business continuity and data security system failures, information security threats or deficiencies, including cyber risk, natural disasters or the inadequacy or failure of systems and controls, including those of the RBSH Group's suppliers or counterparties.

Operational risks will be heightened as a result of the restructuring of the RBS Group relating to the implementation of its strategic programme, the UK ring-fencing regime and the restructuring of the CIB business as well as the implementation by the RBSH Group of its end-state plan. Such programmes carry significant execution and delivery risk, are being delivered against the backdrop of ongoing cost challenges and will put significant pressure on the RBS Group's and RBSH Group's ability to maintain effective internal controls. Although the RBS Group and the RBSH Group have implemented risk controls and loss mitigation actions and significant resources and planning have been devoted to plans to mitigate operational risk associated with ordinary course activities as well as the implementation of the RBS Group's strategic programme, the UK ring-fencing regime, the separation of Williams & Glyn and the restructuring of the CIB business and the implementation by the RBSH Group of its end-state programme, it is not possible to be certain that such actions have been or will be effective in controlling each of the operational risks faced by the RBS Group and/or RBSH Group. Ineffective management of operational risks could have a material adverse effect on the RBSH Group's business, financial condition and results of operations or the successful implementation of the end-state plan.

The RBS Group has been, and will remain, in a period of major restructuring through to 2019, which carries significant execution and operational risks, and may adversely impact the RBSH Group.

In 2015, the RBS Group continued the run-down of the higher risk and capital intensive assets in RBS Capital Resolution ("RCR"), which has now been merged into Capital Resolution, and strengthened the RBS Group's capital position, including through the full divestment of the RBS Group's interest in Citizens Financial Group ("CFG"), which were key goals of its previous strategic plan. Finally, the RBS Group remains focussed on meeting its returns and efficiency targets (including cost reductions) as well as improving customer experience and employee engagement.

This strategy is intended to leave the RBS Group better positioned for the implementation of the UK ring-fencing regime. The RBS Group's strategy is also focussed on strengthening its overall capital position. During the restructuring period and until the implementation of the UK ring-fencing regime in 2019, the RBS Group has lifted its capital targets and currently aims for a CET1 ratio at or over 13%.

Implementing the RBS Group's current strategic programme, including the restructuring of its CIB business, will require further material changes to be implemented within the RBS Group over the medium term, in particular within its CIB business, at the same time that it will also be implementing structural changes to comply with the UK ring-fencing regime and the divestment of Williams & Glyn. The RBS Group expects this restructuring period to be disruptive and is likely to increase operational and people risks for the RBS Group and the RBSH Group and may divert management resources from the conduct of the RBS Group's operations and development of its business as well as the execution of the RBSH Group's end-state plan. Delays in the execution of all or parts of the RBS Group's strategic programme and restructuring may adversely impact the timeframe and execution of the RBSH Group's end-state plan.

Implementation of the ring-fencing regime in the UK which began in 2015 and must be completed by 1 January 2019 will result in material structural changes to the RBS Group and may adversely affect the RBSH Group.

The implementation of the "ring-fencing" of retail banking operations was introduced under the UK Financial Services (Banking Reform) Act 2013 (the "Banking Reform Act 2013") and adopted through secondary legislation (the "UK ring-fencing regime"). These reforms form part of a broader range of structural reforms of the banking industry seeking to improve the resilience and resolvability of banks and which range from structural reforms (including ring-fencing) to the implementation of a new recovery and resolution framework (which in the UK will incorporate elements of the ring-fencing regime).

The RBS Group has identified a number of material operational, execution and legal risks associated with the implementation of the UK ring-fencing regime. These are in addition to the uncertainty associated with starting to plan and prepare for implementation before final rules and guidance are in place or before the RBS Group applies for or obtains certain waivers or modifications (as envisaged under the rules), which it expects to require. These risks may be exacerbated by the RBS Group's other ongoing restructuring efforts and new and developing legal requirements relating to the regulatory framework for banking resolution.

The RBS Group intends to establish a ring-fenced bank subgroup ("RFB") organised under an intermediate holding company for its UK-focussed banking services while the non-ring-fenced group entities ("NRFBS") will hold the RBS Group's remaining trading activities, the operations of RBS International, the RBSH Group's remaining activities if all transfers and divestments have not been completed by the implementation date for the UK ring-fencing regime, and all non-EEA branches and subsidiaries and some banking activities that are not permitted activities for the RFB.

The establishment of the RFB and the NRFBs will have a material impact on how the RBS Group conducts its business and require a significant legal and organisational restructuring of the RBS Group and the transfer of large numbers of assets, liabilities and customers between legal entities and the realignment of employees, (which may be subject to consultation with employee representatives) and will be contingent upon court, regulatory or board approvals. The RBS Group is unable to predict how some customers may react to the required changes, including for some customers a requirement to deal with both the RFB and NRFBs to obtain the full range of products and services.

As part of the establishment of the RFB, the RFB will need to operate independently from the NRFBs and material changes to the existing corporate governance structure will need to be put in place by the RBS Group to ensure the RFB's independence and the RBS Group cannot predict the extent of the associated increase in overhead and compliance costs.

In order to comply with the requirements of the UK ring-fencing regime, the RBS Group will need to revise its operations infrastructure so as to comply with the shared services, independence and resolvability requirements set out in the UK ring-fencing rules, including in areas such as information technology ("IT") infrastructure, human resources and critical service providers. Arrangements between RFB and NRFBs entities will also need to be reviewed in light of these requirements and the requirement that all such transactions take place on an arm's-length basis.

The implementation of the UK ring-fencing regime will significantly impact the management of the RBS Group's treasury operations, including internal and external funding arrangements and may impact the credit ratings of some of the RFB or NRFBs entities, including those of RBSH Group entities. Reliance on intragroup exemptions in relation to the calculation of risk-weighted assets and large exposures may not be possible between the RFB and NRFB entities. Intragroup distributions (including payments of dividends) between RFB and NRFB entities will also be subject to certain limitations. The potential loss of intragroup exemptions and the application of additional prudential requirements could result in increased capital requirements and related compliance costs.

The RBS Group will also need to evaluate, among other things, any accounting consequences resulting from the restructuring as well as any tax costs, the tax attributes of each of the RFB and NRFBs and the ability to transfer tax losses between RFB and NRFB entities. Transfers of assets that have related hedging arrangements may result in adverse operational, financial or accounting consequences if the transfer is not consistent with the unaffected continuation of such hedging arrangements.

The steps required to implement the UK ring-fencing regime within the RBS Group to comply with the new rules and regulations are extraordinarily complex and will take an extended period of time to plan, execute and implement and entail significant costs and operational risks.

These operational risks are heightened as the UK ring-fencing regime will be implemented during a period of significant business restructuring. Although final implementation is not required until 1 January 2019, there is no certainty that the RBS Group will be able to complete the legal restructuring and migration of customers on time or in accordance with future rules and the consequences of non-compliance are currently uncertain. Conducting the RBS Group's operations in accordance with the new rules may result in additional costs (transitional and recurring) following implementation and impact the RBS Group's profitability. As a result, the implementation of the UK ring-fencing regime could have a material adverse effect on the RBS Group's reputation, results of operations, financial condition and prospects.

[The RBS Group and the RBSH Group are subject to political risks.](#)

The European Union Referendum Act 2015 requires the UK government to hold a referendum on the UK's membership of the European Union, the date of which has been scheduled for 23 June 2016. The outcome of the EU referendum and consequences for the UK could significantly impact the environment in which the RBS Group, its customers and investors operate, introducing significant new uncertainties in financial markets, as well as the legal and regulatory requirements and environment to which the RBS Group, its customers and investors are subject. Uncertainty as to the outcome of the referendum will therefore lead to additional market volatility and is likely to adversely impact customer and investor confidence prior to the vote. This, in turn, could have a material adverse impact on the RBSH Group.

In the event of a result supporting the UK's exit from the European Union, the lack of precedent means that it is unclear how the UK's access to the EU Single Market and the wider trading, legal and regulatory environment would be impacted and hence how this would affect the RBS Group, its customers and investors. During a transitional period, when the terms of the exit would be negotiated, or beyond, the related uncertainty could have a material adverse effect on any of the RBS Group's and/or RBSH Group's business, financial condition, credit ratings and results of operations. A vote supporting the UK's exit from the European Union may also give rise to further political uncertainty regarding Scottish independence.

[The RBSH Group's businesses and financial position can be negatively affected by actual or perceived global economic and financial market conditions and other global risks.](#)

Actual or perceived difficult global economic conditions and/or failure to meet economic growth projections would create challenging economic and market conditions and a difficult operating environment for the RBSH Group's businesses and result in increased risk exposure for certain of the assets and liabilities held on its balance sheet. The RBSH Group's businesses and its customers are, and will continue to be, affected by global economic conditions, perceptions of those conditions and future economic prospects.

The outlook for the global economy over the near to medium-term remains uncertain due to a number of factors including: major geopolitical instability, historically depressed oil and commodity prices, concerns around global growth and liquidity, uncertainty relating to the scope and timing of interest rate rises against a backdrop of historically high sovereign and household borrowing levels and stagnant inflation or deflation.

In particular, slowing growth and high debt levels in emerging market economies to which the RBSH Group is exposed (including those economies to which the Group remains exposed pending the exit of certain of its businesses and which include China, India and Indonesia) remains an area of concern and a further slowing of emerging country economic growth or recession, appreciation of the US dollar, new or extended economic sanctions or increased financing needs as existing debt matures, could impact the RBSH Group directly by resulting in credit losses and indirectly by further impacting global economic growth and financial markets. In addition, the RBSH Group is exposed to the economy of Saudi Arabia through its equity investment in Saudi Hollandi Bank ("SHB"). With oil prices having declined by approximately 70% since June 2014, Saudi Arabia is experiencing a severe economic downturn and despite mitigating factors, the short and medium term outlooks for Saudi Arabia remain uncertain and absent a recovery in oil prices, economic growth is expected to be adversely affected. In turn, such developments could impact the share price of SHB, and therefore the value of the RBSH Group's investment.

The RBSH Group's businesses and financial position are also affected by financial market conditions. Financial markets, in particular equity and commodity markets, experienced considerable volatility in late 2014 and in 2015 which has continued into 2016 and has translated in a downward trend in financial markets which has in turn resulted in significant value destruction. These trends are attributable to many of the factors noted above as well as significant downward movements in world markets, especially China, and revised projections for Chinese and emerging market economic growth during the second half of 2015 and the beginning of 2016. Financial markets also were and will likely continue to be impacted by the uncertainty as to how economies and counterparties will be affected, directly or indirectly, by the impact and timing of monetary policy measures adopted by the Bank of England, the European Central Bank ("ECB"), the US Federal Reserve and other central banks, including the Bank of Japan.

While the ECB has been implementing a quantitative easing programme since January 2015 designed to improve confidence in the eurozone and encourage more private bank lending, there remains considerable uncertainty as to whether such measures have been or will be sufficient or successful.

A number of European economies have not yet recovered from the effects of the financial crisis and consensus forecasts of growth in 2016 and 2017 for some of the largest European economies such as France and Italy remain weak and the economic recovery of Greece and other European economies remains uncertain. As a result, concerns relating to sovereign default, exit or breakup of the eurozone, and the direct and indirect impact of such events on European economies remain.

The challenging operating environment for the RBSH Group's businesses, created by uncertain economic and market conditions, is characterised by:

- prolonged periods of low interest rates resulting from ongoing central bank measures to foster economic growth;
- budgetary concerns affecting sovereign credit ratings and impacting consumer confidence and spending and business confidence;
- reduced activity levels, additional write-downs and impairment charges and lower profitability, which may impact the value of the assets on the balance sheet of the RBSH Group, including at the time of disposal; and
- the risk of increased volatility in yields and asset valuations as central banks accelerate looser monetary policies (such as in Japan or Europe) or tighten or unwind historically unprecedented loose monetary policy or extraordinary measures (such as in the US).

Developments relating to current economic conditions and instability in financial markets, including those discussed above, could have a material adverse effect on the RBSH Group's business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

In addition, the RBSH Group is exposed to risks arising out of geopolitical events, such as trade barriers, exchange controls and other measures taken by sovereign governments that can hinder economic or financial activity levels. Furthermore, unfavourable political, military or diplomatic events, armed conflict, pandemics and terrorist acts and threats, and the responses to them by governments, could also adversely affect economic activity and have an adverse effect upon the RBSH Group's business, financial condition and results of operations.

[Changes in interest rates, foreign exchange rates, credit spreads, bond, equity and commodity prices, basis, volatility and correlation risks and other market factors have significantly affected and will continue to affect the RBSH Group's business and results of operations.](#)

Some of the most significant market risks that the RBSH Group faces are interest rate, foreign exchange, credit spread, bond, equity and commodity prices and basis, volatility and correlation risks. Monetary policy has been highly accommodative in recent years, including as a result of certain policies implemented by central banks and regulators, which have helped to support demand at a time of very pronounced fiscal tightening and balance sheet repair. Following the US Federal Reserve's decision in December 2015 to raise US interest rates for the first time since 2006, there remains considerable uncertainty as to whether or when other central banks will increase interest rates. A continued period of low interest rates and yield curves and spreads may affect the interest rate margin realised between lending and borrowing costs, the effect of which may be heightened during periods of liquidity stress.

Conversely, raising interest rates could lead to generally weaker than expected growth, or even contracting GDP, reduced business confidence, higher levels of unemployment or underemployment, adverse changes to levels of inflation, potentially higher interest rates and falling property prices in the markets in which the RBSH Group operates and consequently to an increase in delinquency rates and default rates among customers.

Similar risks result from the exceptionally low level of inflation in developed economies, which in Europe particularly could deteriorate into sustained deflation if policy measures prove ineffective. Reduced monetary stimulus and the actions and commercial soundness of other financial institutions have the potential to impact market liquidity. Any adverse impact on the credit quality of the RBSH Group's customers and other counterparties, coupled with a decline in collateral values, could lead to a reduction in recoverability and value of the RBSH Group's assets and higher levels of impairment allowances, which could have an adverse effect on the RBSH Group's operations, financial position or prospects. As part of its ongoing derivatives operations, the RBSH Group also faces basis, volatility and correlation risks, the occurrence of which are also impacted by the factors noted above.

Changes in currency rates, particularly in the euro-sterling and euro-US dollar exchange rates, affect the value of assets, liabilities, income and expenses denominated in non-euro currencies and the reported earnings of the RBSH Group's non-eurozone incorporated subsidiaries and may affect the RBSH Group's reported consolidated financial condition or its income from foreign exchange dealing.

Such changes may result from the decisions of the ECB and of the US Federal Reserve and lead to sharp and sudden variations in foreign exchange rates, such as those seen in the GBP/USD exchange rates in 2015 and early 2016. For accounting purposes, the RBSH Group carries some of its issued debt, such as debt securities, at the current market price on its balance sheet. Factors affecting the current market price for such debt, such as the credit spreads of the RBSH Group, may result in a change to the fair value of such debt, which is recognised in the income statement as a profit or loss.

The performance and volatility of financial markets affect bond and equity prices and have caused, and may in the future cause, changes in the value of the RBSH Group's investment and trading portfolios. Financial markets experienced significant volatility during 2015 and early 2016, following concerns about the political and economic recovery in Greece, volatility and instability in the Chinese and global stock markets and weakening fundamentals of the Chinese economy, resulting in further short-term changes in the valuation of certain of the RBSH Group's assets. In addition, oil prices continued to fall significantly against their historical levels during 2015 and early 2016 and remained at such low levels, and other commodity prices also decreased. The RBSH Group is primarily exposed to oil and commodity prices through its participation in SHB. Further or sustained low oil and commodity prices could negatively impact the market value of SHB and as a result its valuation in the RBSH Group's accounts. Negative movements in the valuation of SHB may require the RBSH Group to recognise an impairment in relation to its equity participation in SHB, which would impact its results of operations and financial position.

The RBSH Group's earnings and financial condition have been, and its future earnings and financial condition may continue to be, materially affected by depressed asset valuations resulting from poor market conditions.

The RBSH Group's businesses are inherently subject to risks in financial markets and in the wider economy, including changes in, and increased volatility of, interest rates, inflation rates, credit spreads, foreign exchange rates and commodity, equity, bond and property prices. In previous years, severe market events resulted in the RBSH Group recording large write-downs on its credit market exposures.

Any further deterioration in economic and financial market conditions or weak economic growth could lead to additional impairment charges and write-downs. Moreover, market volatility and illiquidity (and the assumptions, judgements and estimates in relation to such matters that may change over time and may ultimately not turn out to be accurate) make it difficult to value certain of the RBSH Group's exposures.

Valuations in future periods reflecting, among other things, the then-prevailing market conditions and changes in the credit ratings of certain of the RBSH Group's assets may result in significant changes in the fair values of the RBSH Group's exposures, such as credit market exposures, and the value ultimately realised by the RBSH Group may be materially different from the current or estimated fair value.

As part of the implementation of its end state plan, the RBSH Group is executing the run-down or disposal of a number of its businesses, assets and portfolios. Any of these factors could require the RBSH Group to recognise further significant write-downs and realise increased impairment charges, all of which may have a material adverse effect on its financial condition, results of operations and capital ratios.

The financial position of the RBSH Group has been, and may continue to be, materially affected by customer and counterparty credit quality and deterioration in credit quality could arise due to prevailing economic and market conditions and legal and regulatory developments.

The RBSH Group has exposure to many different industries, customers and counterparties, and risks arising from actual or perceived changes in credit quality and the recoverability of monies due from borrowers and other counterparties are inherent in a wide range of the RBSH Group's businesses.

In particular, the RBSH Group has significant exposure to certain individual customers and other counterparties, including in weaker business sectors and geographic markets and also has concentrated country exposure in emerging economies, including China, India and Indonesia. As the RBSH Group implements its end-state plan and withdraws from many geographic markets and continues to materially scale down its international activities, the RBSH Group's relative exposure to certain geographies and counterparties will increase.

Provisions for default on loans have decreased in recent years in line with the perceived reduction in risks and exposure relating to these customers, counterparties or assets classes.

If the risk profile of the RBSH Group's loan portfolios were to increase, including as a result of a degradation of economic or market conditions, this could result in an increase in the cost of risk and the RBSH Group may be required to make additional provisions, which in turn would reduce earnings and impact the RBSH Group's profitability.

The credit quality of the RBSH Group's borrowers and its other counterparties is impacted by prevailing economic and market conditions and by the legal and regulatory landscape in their respective markets. A further deterioration in economic and market conditions or changes to legal or regulatory landscapes could worsen borrower and counterparty credit quality and also impact the RBSH Group's ability to enforce contractual security rights.

In addition, the RBSH Group's credit risk is exacerbated when the collateral it holds cannot be realised as a result of market conditions or regulatory intervention or is liquidated at prices not sufficient to recover the full amount of the loan or derivative exposure that is due to the RBSH Group, which is most likely to occur during periods of illiquidity and depressed asset valuations, such as those experienced in recent years. Any such deteriorations in the RBSH Group's recoveries on defaulting loans could have an adverse effect on the RBSH Group's results of operations and financial condition.

Concerns about, or a default by, one financial institution could lead to significant liquidity problems and losses or defaults by other financial institutions, as the commercial and financial soundness of many financial institutions may be closely related as a result of credit, trading, clearing and other relationships. Even the perceived lack of creditworthiness of, or questions about, a counterparty may lead to market-wide liquidity problems and losses for, or defaults by, the RBSH Group. This systemic risk may also adversely affect financial intermediaries, such as clearing agencies, clearing houses, banks, securities firms and exchanges with which the RBSH Group interacts on a daily basis.

The effectiveness of recent prudential reforms designed to contain systemic risk in the EU and the UK is yet to be tested. Counterparty risk within the financial system or failures of the RBSH Group's financial counterparties could have a material adverse effect on the RBSH Group's access to liquidity or could result in losses which could have a material adverse effect on the RBSH Group's financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

The trends and risks affecting borrower and counterparty credit quality have caused, and in the future may cause, the RBSH Group to experience further and accelerated impairment charges, increased repurchase demands, higher costs, additional write-downs and losses for the RBSH Group and an inability to engage in routine funding transactions.

The RBSH Group's business performance and financial position could be adversely affected if its capital is not managed effectively or if it is unable to meet its capital targets or capital requirements.

Effective management of the RBSH Group's capital is critical to its ability to operate its businesses, comply with its regulatory obligations and implement its end-state plan. The RBSH Group is subject to extensive regulatory supervision in relation to the levels and quality of capital in its business, including as a result of the transposition of the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision's regulatory capital framework ("Basel III") in Europe by a Directive and Regulation (collectively known as "CRD IV").

Adequate capital also gives the RBSH Group financial flexibility in the face of continuing turbulence and uncertainty in the global economy. On a fully loaded basis, the RBSH Group's CET1 ratio was 21.1% at 31 December 2015. The RBSH Group plans capital levels based on regulatory requirements and additional internal modelling and stress scenarios.

However, the RBSH Group's ability to achieve such targets depends on a number of factors, including the implementation of the end-state plan and any of the factors described below. A shortage of capital could arise from:

- a depletion of the RBSH Group's capital resources through increased costs or liabilities (including pension, conduct, litigation and legacy costs), reduced profits or losses (and therefore retained earnings) or reduced asset values resulting in write-downs or impairments;
- an increase in the amount of capital that is needed to be held, including as a result of changes to the actual level of risk faced by the RBSH Group, changes in the minimum levels of capital or liquidity required by legislation or by the regulatory authorities or the calibration of capital or leverage buffers applicable to the RBSH Group, including countercyclical buffers, increases in risk-weighted assets or in the risk weighting of existing asset classes or an increase in the RBSH Group's view of the management buffer it should hold taking account of, for example, the capital levels or capital targets of the RBSH Group's peer banks or through the changing views of rating agencies.

The execution of the RBSH Group's end-state plan involves a number of actions including distributions to RBS plc, trapped capital in some jurisdictions, the sale or disposal of certain assets or businesses or redemption of regulatory instruments which may impact the RBSH Group's capital resources. Further losses or a failure to meet profitability targets or reduce risk-weighted assets in accordance with or within the timeline contemplated by the RBSH Group's capital plan, combined with a depletion of its capital resources or an increase in the amount of capital it needs to hold (including as a result of the reasons described above), would adversely impact the RBSH Group's ability to meet its capital targets or requirements.

The Basel Committee and other agencies remain focussed on changes that will increase, or recalibrate, measures of risk-weighted assets as the key measure of the different categories of risk in the denominator of the risk-based capital ratio.

While they are at different stages of maturity, a number of initiatives across risk types and business lines are in progress that are expected to impact the calculation of risk-weighted assets. The Basel Committee is currently consulting on new rules relating to the risk weighting of real estate exposures and other changes to risk-weighting calculations. These rules are expected to be finalised later in 2016 and come into force by 2019. The Basel Committee also recently published for consultation a revised standardised measurement approach for operational risk. The new approach would replace the three existing standardised approaches for calculating operational risk, as well as the internal model-based approach. The proposed new methodology combines a financial statement-based measure of operational risk, with an individual firm's past operational losses. While the quantum of impact of these reforms remains uncertain owing to lack of clarity of the proposed changes and the timing of their introduction, the implementation of such initiatives could result in higher levels of risk-weighted assets and therefore higher levels of capital, and in particular CET1 capital, required to be held by the RBSH Group, under Pillar 1 requirements. Such requirements would be separate from any further capital overlays required to be held as part of the RBSH Group's Pillar 2 requirements with respect to such exposures.

If circumstances were to result in the RBSH Group having or being perceived to have a shortage of capital as a result of any of the circumstances described above, then it may be subject to increase regulatory supervision, regulatory interventions and sanctions and may suffer a loss of confidence in the market with the result that access to liquidity and funding may become constrained or more expensive. This may also trigger the implementation of the RBS Group's capital recovery plans. This, in turn, may affect the RBSH Group's capacity to continue its business operations, pay future dividends and make other distributions (including coupons on capital instruments) or pursue strategic opportunities, impacting future growth potential.

[The RBSH Group is subject to stress tests mandated by its national and European regulators which may result in additional capital requirements which, in turn, may impact the Group's financial condition, results of operations and investor confidence or result in restrictions on distributions.](#)

The RBSH Group is subject to stress tests by its regulator in the Netherlands and the European Central Bank. The last major stress tests that the RBSH Group completed were the EBA comprehensive stress tests in 2014. However, since the stress test was performed, a number of the parameters which materially influenced the outcome of the 2014 stress tests have changed as a result of the continuing deleveraging and disposal of RBSH Group's operations. As the RBSH Group continues to work towards executing the milestones for achieving the run-down of RBSH Group's operations, its regulators may require additional or interim stress tests before approving certain components of the end-state plan.

Failure by the RBSH Group to meet the thresholds set as part of the stress tests carried out by its regulators may result in the RBSH Group's regulators requiring the RBSH Group to hold additional capital, increased supervision and/or regulatory sanctions, restrictions on the execution of the run-down of the RBSH Group's operations.

[The RBSH Group's ability to meet its obligations including its funding commitments depends on the RBSH Group's ability to access sources of liquidity and funding.](#)

Liquidity risk is the risk that a bank will be unable to meet its obligations, including funding commitments, as they fall due or that it will be unable to meet regulatory minimum liquidity requirements. This risk is inherent in banking operations and can be heightened by a number of factors, including an over-reliance on a particular source of wholesale funding (including, for example, short-term and overnight funding), changes in credit ratings or market-wide phenomena such as market dislocation and major disasters. Credit markets worldwide, including interbank markets, have experienced severe reductions in liquidity and term funding during prolonged periods in recent years. In 2015, although the RBSH Group's overall liquidity position remained strong, credit markets experienced increased volatility and certain European banks, in particular in the peripheral countries of Spain, Portugal, Greece and Italy, remained reliant on the ECB as one of their principal sources of liquidity.

In addition, the implementation of the RBSH Group's end-state plan is expected to reduce the RBSH Group's liquidity, including as a result of the redemption of capital instruments, and the RBSH Group may be unable to maintain sufficient liquidity headroom to meet its liquidity requirements, including such new requirements as may be imposed by the RBSH Group's regulators from time to time. The RBSH Group is also partially reliant on the RBS Group for liquidity and funding support. See *'The RBSH Group is reliant on the RBS Group'*.

The market view of bank credit risk has changed radically as a result of the financial crisis and banks perceived by the market to be riskier have had to issue debt at significantly higher costs. Although conditions have improved, there have been recent periods where corporate and financial institution counterparties have reduced their credit exposures to banks and other financial institutions, limiting the availability of these sources of funding.

Any uncertainty relating to the credit risk of financial institutions may lead to reductions in levels of interbank lending or may restrict the RBSH Group's access to traditional sources of funding or increase the costs or collateral requirements for accessing such funding.

If the RBSH Group is unable to manage its liquidity resources or requirements, its liquidity position could be adversely affected and it might be unable to meet deposit withdrawals on demand or at their contractual maturity, to repay borrowings as they mature, to meet its obligations under committed financing facilities, to comply with regulatory funding requirements or to fund new loans, investments and businesses. The RBSH Group may need to liquidate unencumbered assets to meet its liabilities, including disposals of assets not previously identified for disposal to reduce its funding commitments. In a time of reduced liquidity, the RBSH Group may be unable to sell some of its assets, or may need to sell assets at depressed prices, which in either case could have a material adverse effect on the RBSH Group's financial condition and results of operations.

[The RBSH Group's borrowing costs, its access to the debt capital markets and its liquidity depend significantly on its and the RBS Group's credit ratings.](#)

The credit ratings of RBS N.V., as well as those of RBSG, RBS plc and other RBS Group members directly affect the cost of, access to and sources of their financing and liquidity. A number of UK and other European financial institutions, including RBS N.V., RBSG, RBS plc and other RBS Group members, have been downgraded multiple times in recent years in connection with rating methodology changes and credit rating agencies' revised outlook relating to regulatory developments, macroeconomic trends and a financial institution's capital position and financial prospects.

During 2015, credit rating agencies completed their reviews and revisions of their ratings of banks by country to address the agencies' perception of the impact of ongoing regulatory changes designed to improve the resolvability of banks in a manner that minimises systemic risk, such that the likelihood of extraordinary support for failing banks is less predictable, as well as to address the finalisation of revised capital and leverage rules under CRD IV and firm-specific requirements.

As a result, RBSG's long-term and short-term credit ratings were downgraded by two notches by S&P and Fitch. S&P further downgraded the long-term credit rating of RBSG as a result of a number of factors, including S&P's assessment of the RBS Group's financial flexibility to absorb losses while a going concern, and the Group's underperformance relative to similar peers in terms of profitability. The long-term deposit and senior unsecured ratings for RBS N.V., RBS plc and certain other subsidiaries of RBSG, however, were upgraded by one notch to take into account the protection offered to senior unsecured creditors by loss-absorbing capital. Moody's also finalised its review of RBS and downgraded RBSG's long-term senior unsecured and issuer credit ratings by two notches. As a result, the credit ratings of RBSG are below investment grade by that credit agency. The outlook for RBSG by Moody's and S&P is currently positive and is stable for Fitch, while the outlook for RBS N.V. by Moody and S&P is positive and is stable for Fitch.

Rating agencies regularly review the RBS N.V., RBSG, RBS plc and RBS Group entity credit ratings and their ratings of long-term debt are based on a number of factors, including the RBS Group's financial strength as well as factors not entirely within the RBS Group's control, including conditions affecting the financial services industry generally.

In particular, the rating agencies may further review the RBS N.V., RBSG, RBS plc and RBS Group entity ratings as a result of the implementation of the UK ring-fencing regime, pension and litigation/regulatory investigation risk and other macroeconomic and political developments, including as a result of an outcome in favour of an exit from the European Union.

Any further reductions in the long-term or short-term credit ratings of RBS N.V., RBSG or of certain of its subsidiaries (particularly RBS plc), including further downgrades below investment grade, could increase the RBSH Group's funding and borrowing costs, require the RBSH Group to replace funding lost due to the downgrade, which may include the loss of customer deposits and may limit the RBSH Group's access to capital and money markets and trigger additional collateral or other requirements in derivatives contracts and other secured funding arrangements or the need to amend such arrangements, limit the range of counterparties willing to enter into transactions with the RBSH Group and its subsidiaries and adversely affect its competitive position, all of which could have a material adverse impact on the RBSH Group's earnings, cash flow and financial condition. In addition, political developments, including any exit, or uncertainty relating to a potential exit, of the UK from the European Union or the outcome of any further Scottish referendum could during a transitional period negatively impact the credit ratings of the UK Government and result in a downgrade of the credit ratings of RBSG and RBS Group entities, and as a result adversely impact the credit ratings of RBS N.V..

[RBSG, RBSH Group and other RBS Group companies are subject to a number of legal, regulatory and governmental actions and investigations. Unfavourable outcomes in such actions and investigations could have a material adverse effect on the RBSH Group's operations, operating results, reputation, financial position and future prospects.](#)

The RBS Group and the RBSH Group have dramatically downsized and simplified the scale and complexity of their operations as compared to their operations preceding and during the financial crisis. However, the RBS Group's and the RBSH Group's operations remain diverse and complex, and the RBS Group and the RBSH Group operate in legal and regulatory environments that expose them to potentially significant disputes, litigation, civil and criminal regulatory and governmental investigations and other regulatory risk. Any such ongoing disputes, litigation, regulatory and governmental investigations could delay or impair RBS Group's ability to wind down its operations. The RBS Group and the RBSH Group have settled a number of legal and regulatory investigations over the past several years but continue to be, and may in the future be, involved in a number of legal and regulatory proceedings and investigations in the UK, the US, Europe and other jurisdictions.

The RBS Group is involved in ongoing reviews, investigations and proceedings (both formal and informal) by governmental law enforcement and other agencies and litigation (including class action litigation), relating to, among other matters, the offering of securities, conduct in the foreign exchange market, the setting of benchmark rates such as LIBOR and related derivatives trading, the issuance, underwriting, and sales and trading of fixed-income securities (including structured products and government securities), product mis-selling, customer mistreatment (including alleged mistreatment of small and medium enterprises by RBS's Global Restructuring Group, as alleged in the November 2013 report by Lawrence Tomlinson), anti-money laundering, sanctions, and various other compliance issues. In the US, ongoing matters include various civil and criminal federal and state investigations relating to the securitisation of mortgages, as well as the trading of various forms of asset-backed securities.

The RBS Group and the RBSH Group continue to cooperate with governmental and regulatory authorities in these and other investigations and reviews. For more detail on certain of the RBSH Group's ongoing legal, governmental and regulatory proceedings, see pages 111 to 115.

Legal, governmental and regulatory proceedings and investigations are subject to many uncertainties, and their outcomes, including the timing and amount of fines or settlements, which may be material, are often difficult to predict, particularly in the early stages of a case, investigation or dispute.

Settlements, resolutions and outcomes in relation to ongoing investigations and other disputes, including with related parties, may result in material financial fines or penalties, non-monetary penalties, ongoing commitments, restrictions upon or revocation of regulatory permissions and licences and other collateral consequences and may prejudice both contractual and legal rights otherwise available to the RBS Group and/or the RBSH Group and the outcome of on-going claims against the RBS Group and/or the RBSH Group may give rise to additional legal claims being asserted against the RBS Group and/or the RBSH Group, any of which outcomes could materially adversely impact the RBSH Group's capital position and prospects or delay or restrict the winding down of its operations. Monetary penalties and other outcomes could be materially in excess of provisions, if any, made by the RBS Group and/or the RBSH Group. The adverse resolution of proceedings against the RBS Group, including the imposition of large monetary penalties or fines, may adversely affect the RBSH Group or its subsidiaries by impacting investor and counterparty confidence in the RBSH Group by association with RBS Group and impact the RBSH Group's ability to fund itself including due to reduced deposits and the RBS Group may no longer be able to extend intra-group funding to the RBSH Group. It is expected that the RBS Group and the RBSH Group will continue to have a material exposure to litigation and governmental and regulatory proceedings and investigations relating to legacy issues in the medium term. In particular, litigation and regulatory risk may increase as a result of the implementation of the RBSH Group's end-state plan, and any financial consequences relating thereto will become proportionally more significant as the RBSH Group continues to reduce its balance sheet. Adverse outcomes or resolution of current or future regulatory, governmental or law enforcement proceedings or adverse judgements in litigation could result in restrictions or limitations on the RBSH Group's operations, adversely impact the RBSH Group's end-state plan or have a material adverse effect on the RBSH Group's reputation, results of operations and capital position.

The RBSH Group may be required to make new or increase existing provisions in relation to existing or future legal proceedings, investigations and governmental and regulatory matters which may be substantial, including with respect to current matters in relation to which the RBSH Group has not yet recognised legal provisions. Significant new provisions or increases in existing provisions relating to legal proceedings, investigations and governmental and regulatory matters may have a material adverse effect on the RBSH Group's financial condition and results of operations as well as its reputation.

The RBSH Group's businesses are subject to substantial regulation and oversight. Significant regulatory developments and increased scrutiny by the RBSH Group's key regulators has had and is likely to have a material adverse effect on how the RBSH Group conducts its business and on its results of operations and financial condition as well as the successful implementation of its end-state plan.

The RBSH Group is subject to extensive financial services laws, regulations, corporate governance requirements, administrative actions and policies in each jurisdiction in which it operates. Many of these have been introduced or amended recently and are subject to further material changes. Among others, the adoption of rules relating to the entry into force of CRD IV and the Bank Recovery and Resolution Directive (BRRD) and certain other measures in the other jurisdictions in which the RBSH Group operates, are considerably affecting the regulatory landscape in which the RBSH Group operates and will operate in the future. Increased regulatory focus in certain areas, including conduct, consumer protection regimes, anti-money laundering and antiterrorism laws and regulations, as well as the provisions of applicable sanctions programmes and ongoing and possible future changes in the financial services regulatory landscape (including requirements imposed by virtue of the RBSH Group's participation in government or regulator-led initiatives), have resulted in the RBSH Group facing greater regulation and scrutiny in the jurisdictions in which it operates.

Although it is difficult to predict with certainty the effect that the recent regulatory changes, developments and heightened levels of public and regulatory scrutiny will have on the RBSH Group, the enactment and implementation of legislation and regulations in the jurisdictions in which the Group operates has resulted in increased capital, funding and liquidity requirements, changes in the competitive landscape, changes in other regulatory requirements and increased operating costs, and has impacted, and will continue to impact, product offerings and business models. Such changes may also result in an increased number of regulatory investigations and proceedings and have increased the risks relating to the RBSH Group's ability to comply with the applicable body of rules and regulations in the manner and within the time frames required. Changes in accounting standards or guidance by internal accounting bodies or in the timing of their implementation, whether mandatory or as a result of recommended disclosure relating to the future implementation of such standards could also result in the RBSH Group having to recognise additional liabilities on its balance sheet, or in further write-downs or impairments. Following the introduction of the Single Supervisory Mechanism, the ECB, as the relevant regulator, along with the DNB, are closely monitoring the implementation by the RBSH Group of the end-state plan.

Any of these developments (including failures to comply with new rules and regulations) could have an impact on how the RBSH Group conducts its business, its authorisations and licences, the products and services it offers, the execution of its end-state plan and the value of its assets, and could have a material adverse effect on its business, funding costs and results of operations and financial condition.

Areas in which, and examples of where, governmental policies, regulatory and accounting changes and increased public and regulatory scrutiny could have an adverse impact (some of which could be material) on the RBSH Group include those set out above as well as the following:

- amendments to the framework or requirements relating to the quality and quantity of regulatory capital to be held by the RBSH Group, either on a solo, consolidated or subgroup level, including amendments to the rules relating to the calculation of risk-weighted assets and reliance on credit ratings as well as tax rules affecting the eligibility of deferred tax assets;
- the design and implementation of national or supranational mandated recovery, resolution or insolvency regimes;
- the monetary, fiscal, interest rate and other policies of central banks and other governmental or regulatory bodies;
- further investigations, proceedings or fines either against the RBS Group and/or the RBSH Group in isolation or together with other large financial institutions with respect to market conduct wrongdoing;
- additional rules and regulatory initiatives and review relating to customer protection and increased focus by regulators on how institutions conduct business, particularly with regard to the delivery of fair outcomes for customers and orderly/transparent markets;
- the imposition of additional restrictions on the RBSH Group's ability to compensate its senior management and other employees and increased responsibility and liability rules applicable to senior and key employees;
- regulations relating to, and enforcement of, anti-bribery, anti-money laundering, anti-terrorism or other similar sanctions regimes;
- rules relating to foreign ownership, expropriation, nationalisation and confiscation of assets;
- changes to financial reporting standards (including accounting standards) and guidance or the timing of their implementation;
- changes to risk aggregation and reporting standards;
- changes to corporate governance requirements, corporate structures and conduct of business rules;
- competition reviews and investigations;
- financial market infrastructure reforms in the EU establishing new rules applying to investment services, short selling, market abuse and investment funds;
- developments relating to current European proposals for a directive on payment services;
- the introduction of, and changes to, taxes, levies or fees applicable to the RBSH Group's operations or changes to the treatment of carry-forward tax losses that reduce the value of deferred tax assets and require increased payments of tax;
- investigations into facilitation of tax evasion or the creation of new civil or criminal offences relating thereto;
- the regulation or endorsement of credit ratings used in the EU (whether issued by agencies in EU member states or in other countries, such as the US); and
- other requirements or policies affecting the RBSH Group's profitability, such as the imposition of onerous compliance obligations, further restrictions on business growth, product offering, or pricing.

Changes in laws, rules or regulations, or in their interpretation or enforcement, or the implementation of new laws, rules or regulations, including contradictory laws, rules or regulations by key regulators in different jurisdictions, or failure by the RBSH Group to comply with such laws, rules and regulations, may have a material adverse effect on the RBSH Group's business, financial condition and results of operations. In addition, uncertainty and lack of international regulatory coordination as enhanced supervisory standards are developed and implemented may adversely affect the RBSH Group's ability to engage in effective business, capital and risk management planning.

[Failure to retain qualified and sufficient staff may impact the RBSH Group's ability to implement its end-state plan.](#)

As a result of the implementation of the run-down of its operations, the number of staff employed by the RBSH Group has reduced significantly in recent years. Execution of the RBSH Group's end-state plan depends on its ability to attract, retain and remunerate highly skilled and qualified personnel, including senior management (which includes directors and other key employees), in a highly competitive labour market. This cannot be guaranteed, particularly in light of heightened regulatory oversight of banks and the increasing scrutiny of, and (in some cases) restrictions placed upon, employee compensation arrangements. In addition, the market for skilled personnel is increasingly competitive, thereby raising the cost of hiring, training and retaining skilled personnel. The RBS Group's restructuring initiatives, including the restructuring of the CIB business, and the RBSH Group's run-down strategy have led to the departure of a large number of experienced and capable employees and may continue to cause experienced staff members to leave and prospective staff members not to join. The lack of continuity of senior management and the loss of important personnel coordinating certain or several aspects of the RBSH Group's end-state plan could have an adverse impact on its successful execution and its ability to meet its regulatory commitments. In addition, the RBSH Group has outsourced a number of key functions to RBS Group executives and employees, and is therefore dependent on such executives and employees for the execution of the end-state project.

In addition, many of the RBSH Group's employees in Europe and other jurisdictions in which the RBSH Group operates are represented by employee representative bodies, including trade unions. Engagement with its employees and such bodies is important to the RBSH Group and a breakdown of these relationships could adversely affect the RBSH Group's business and the execution of the end-state plan.

[The RBSH Group's operations are highly dependent on its and the RBS Group's IT systems. A failure of such IT systems could adversely affect the RBSH Group's operations and investor and customer confidence and expose the RBSH Group to regulatory sanctions.](#)

The RBSH Group's operations are dependent on the ability to process a very large number of transactions efficiently and accurately while complying with applicable laws and regulations where it does business. The proper functioning of the RBSH Group's payment systems, financial and sanctions controls, risk management, credit analysis and reporting, accounting, customer service and other IT systems, as well as the communication networks between its branches and main data processing centers, are critical to the RBSH Group's operations.

Additional information

Any critical system failure, any prolonged loss of service availability or any material breach of data security could cause serious damage to the RBSH Group's ability to service its customers, could result in significant compensation costs or fines resulting from regulatory investigations and could breach regulations under which the Group operates. In particular, failures or breaches resulting in the loss or publication of confidential customer data could damage the RBSH Group's reputation, business and brands and lead to regulatory sanctions.

The RBSH Group depends in part on the RBS Group's IT systems. The RBS Group is currently implementing a number of complex initiatives, including its strategic programme, the UK ring-fencing regime, the separation of the Williams & Glyn business, the restructuring of the CIB business and a significant IT investment programme, all which may put further strains on the RBS Group's existing IT systems and, as a result, affect such IT systems on which the RBSH Group relies.

[The RBS Group and the RBSH Group are exposed to cyberattacks and a failure to prevent or defend against such attacks could have a material adverse effect on the RBSH Group's operations, results of operations or reputation.](#)

The RBS Group and the RBSH Group are subject to cybersecurity threats which have regularly targeted financial institutions as well as governments and other institutions and have increased in frequency and severity in recent years. The RBS Group and the RBSH Group rely on the effectiveness of their internal policies and associated procedures, infrastructure and capabilities to protect the confidentiality, integrity and availability of information held on their computer systems, networks and mobile devices, and on the computer systems, networks and mobile devices of third parties on whom they rely.

The RBS Group and the RBSH Group also take measures to protect themselves from attacks designed to prevent the delivery of critical business processes to their customers. Despite these preventative measures, the RBS Group's and the RBSH Group's computer systems, software, networks and mobile devices, and those of third parties on whom they rely, are vulnerable to cyberattacks, sabotage, unauthorised access, computer viruses, worms or other malicious code, and other events that have a security impact.

Failure to protect the RBSH Group's operations from cyberattacks or to continuously review and update current processes in response to new threats could result in the loss of customer data or other sensitive information as well as instances of denial of service for the RBSH Group's customers. Regulators, in the Europe and in US have identified cybersecurity as a systemic risk to the financial sector and highlighted the need for financial institutions to improve resilience to cyberattacks and the RBSH Group expects greater regulatory engagement, supervision and enforcement on cybersecurity in the future.

The RBS Group and the RBSH Group expect to be the target of continued attacks in the future and there can be no assurance that they will be able to prevent all threats.

Any failure in the cybersecurity or cyber-related crime policies, procedures or capabilities, applied by the RBSH Group could lead to the RBSH Group suffering reputational damage and a loss of customers, regulatory investigations or sanctions being imposed and could have a material adverse effect on the RBSH Group's results of operations or financial condition.

[The RBSH Group is exposed to conduct risk which may adversely impact the RBSH Group or its employees and may result in conduct having a detrimental impact on the RBSH Group's customers or counterparties.](#)

In recent years, the RBS Group has sought to refocus its culture on serving the needs of its customers and continues to redesign many of its systems and processes to promote this focus and strategy. However, the RBS Group and the RBSH Group are exposed to various forms of conduct risk in the conduct of their operations. These include business and strategic planning that does not consider customers' needs, ineffective management and monitoring of products and their distribution, a culture that is not customer-centric, outsourcing of customer service and product delivery via third parties that do not have appropriate levels of control, oversight and culture, the possibility of alleged mis-selling of financial products or the mishandling of complaints related to the sale of such product, or poor governance of incentives and rewards. Some of these risks have materialised in the past and ineffective management and oversight of conduct issues may result in customers being poorly or unfairly treated and may in the future lead to further remediation and regulatory intervention/enforcement.

The RBS Group's and the RBSH Group's businesses are also exposed to risk from employee misconduct including non-compliance with policies and regulatory rules, negligence or fraud, any of which could result in regulatory sanctions and serious reputational or financial harm to the RBS Group and/or the RBSH Group. In recent years, a number of multinational financial institutions, including the RBS Group, have suffered material losses due to the actions of employees. It is not always possible to deter employee misconduct and the precautions the RBS Group and RBSH Group take to prevent and detect this activity may not always be effective.

The RBS Group and the RBSH Group have implemented a number of policies and allocated new resources in order to help mitigate against these risks. They have also prioritised initiatives to reinforce good conduct in the markets in which they operate, together with the development of preventative and detective controls in order to positively influence behaviour. Nonetheless, no assurance can be given that the RBS Group's strategy and control framework (which applies to the RBSH Group) will be effective and that conduct issues will not have an adverse effect on the RBSH Group's results of operations, financial condition or prospects.

[The RBSH Group may be adversely impacted if its risk management is not effective.](#)

The management of risk is an integral part of all of the RBSH Group's activities. Risk management comprises the definition and monitoring of the RBSH Group's risk appetite and reporting of the RBSH Group's exposure to uncertainty and the consequent adverse effect on profitability or financial condition arising from different sources of uncertainty and risks as described throughout these risk factors.

Ineffective risk management may arise from a wide variety of events and behaviours, including lack of transparency or incomplete risk reporting, unidentified conflicts or misaligned incentives, lack of accountability control and governance, lack of consistency in risk monitoring and management or insufficient challenges or assurance processes.

Failure to manage risks effectively could adversely impact the RBSH Group's reputation or its relationship with its customers, shareholders or other stakeholders, which in turn could have a significant effect on the RBSH Group's business, financial condition and/or results of operations.

[The RBSH Group relies on valuation, capital and stress test models to conduct its business, assess its risk exposure and anticipate capital and funding requirements. Failure of these models to provide accurate results or accurately reflect changes in the micro-and macroeconomic environment in which the RBSH Group operates could have a material adverse effect on the RBSH Group's business, capital and results.](#)

Given the complexity of the RBSH Group's business, strategy and capital requirements, the RBSH Group relies on analytical models to manage its business, assess the value of its assets and its risk exposure and anticipate capital and funding requirements, including with stress testing. Valuation, capital and stress test models and the parameters and assumptions on which they are based, need to be constantly updated to ensure their accuracy.

Failure of these models to accurately reflect changes in the environment in which the RBSH Group operates, or to be updated in line with changes in the RBS Group's or the RBSH Group's business mode or operations, or the failure to properly input any such changes could have an adverse impact on the modelled results or could fail to accurately capture the RBSH Group's risk exposure, the risk profile of the RBSH Group's financial instruments or result in the RBSH Group being required to hold additional capital. In addition, a number of the internal models used by the RBSH Group are designed, managed and analysed by the RBS Group and may inappropriately capture the risks and exposures relating to the RBSH Group's portfolios. The RBSH Group's internal models are subject to periodic review by its regulators and, if found deficient, the RBSH Group may be required to make changes to such models or may be precluded from using any such models, which would result in an additional capital requirement that could have a material impact on the RBSH Group's capital position. Some of the analytical models used by the RBSH Group are predictive in nature. The use of predictive models has inherent risks and may incorrectly forecast future behaviour, leading to flawed decision making and potential losses.

The RBSH Group also uses valuation models that rely on market data inputs. If incorrect market data is input into a valuation model, it may result in incorrect valuations or valuations different to those which were predicted and used by the RBSH Group in its forecasts or decision making. Internal stress test models may also rely on different, less severe, assumptions or take into account different data points than those defined by the RBSH Group's regulators.

The RBSH Group could face adverse consequences as a result of decisions which may lead to actions by management based on models that are poorly developed, implemented or used, or as a result of the modelled outcome being misunderstood or such information being used for purposes for which it was not designed. Risks arising from the use of models could have a material adverse effect on the RBSH Group's business, financial condition and/or results of operations, minimum capital requirements and reputation.

In addition, proposed or new regulatory requirements relating to internal models, may require significant implementation resources, when personnel and operational resources are already limited as a result of the implementation of the end-state plan, and may result in increased risk-weighted assets, which, in turn, may impact the RBSH Group's capital position and its ability to meet applicable capital requirements.

[The reported results of the RBSH Group are sensitive to the accounting policies, assumptions and estimates that underlie the preparation of its financial statements. Its results in future periods may be affected by changes to applicable accounting rules and standards.](#)

The preparation of financial statements requires management to make judgements, estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, income and expenses. Due to the inherent uncertainty in making estimates, results reported in future periods may reflect amounts which differ from those estimates. Estimates, judgements and assumptions take into account historical experience and other factors, including expectations of future events that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances. The accounting policies deemed critical to the RBSH Group's results and financial position, based upon materiality and significant judgements and estimates, include provisions for liabilities, loan impairment provisions and fair value of financial instruments, which are discussed in detail in "Critical accounting policies and key sources of estimation uncertainty" on pages 73 to 75.

In addition, further development of standards and interpretations under IFRS could also significantly impact the financial results, condition and prospects of the Group. IFRS and Interpretations that have been issued by the International Accounting Standards Board ("the IASB") but which have not yet been adopted by the Group are discussed in "Accounting developments" on pages 75 and 76. In addition, new requirements resulting from the implementation of CRD IV may impact the RBSH Group's reporting obligations.

In July 2014, the IASB published a new accounting standard for financial instruments (IFRS 9) effective for annual periods beginning on or after 1 January 2018. It introduces a new framework for the recognition and measurement of credit impairment based on expected credit losses, rather than the incurred loss model currently applied under IAS 39. The inclusion of loss allowances with respect to all financial assets will tend to result in an increase in overall impairment balances when compared with the existing basis of measurement under IAS 39.

The valuation of financial instruments, including derivatives, measured at fair value can be subjective, in particular where models are used which include unobservable inputs. Generally, to establish the fair value of these instruments, the RBSH Group relies on quoted market prices or, where the market for a financial instrument is not sufficiently active, internal valuation models that utilise observable market data. In certain circumstances, the data for individual financial instruments or classes of financial instruments utilised by such valuation models may not be available or may become unavailable due to prevailing market conditions.

In such circumstances, the RBSH Group's internal valuation models require the RBSH Group to make assumptions, judgements and estimates to establish fair value, which are complex and often relate to matters that are inherently uncertain. Resulting changes in the fair values of the financial instruments has had and could continue to have a material adverse effect on the RBSH Group's earnings, financial condition and capital position.

[The RBS Group and its subsidiaries, including the RBSH Group, are subject to a new and evolving framework on recovery and resolution, the impact of which remains uncertain, and which may result in additional compliance challenges and costs.](#)

In the EU, the UK and the US, regulators have implemented or are in the process of implementing recovery and resolution regimes designed to prevent the failure of financial institutions and resolution tools to ensure the timely and orderly resolution of financial institutions. These initiatives are coupled with a broader set of initiatives to improve the resilience of financial institutions and reduce systemic risk. The tools and powers introduced under the BRRD include preparatory and preventive measures, early supervisory intervention powers and resolution tools. The RBSH Group is subject to the European banking union framework. In November 2014, the ECB assumed direct supervisory responsibility for the RBSH Group under the Single Supervisory Mechanism ("SSM"). The RBS Group is subject to the resolution regime implemented in the UK and under direct responsibility of the Bank of England, the Prudential Regulatory Authority and the Financial Conduct Authority. As a result of the above, there remains uncertainty as to how the relevant resolution regimes in force in the UK, the eurozone and other jurisdictions, would interact in the event of a resolution of the RBS Group.

The majority of the provisions of the BRRD came into effect in January 2015, subject to certain secondary rules being finalised by the European authorities, and therefore the requirements to which the RBS Group and the RBSH Group are subject may continue to evolve to ensure compliance with these rules or following the publication of review reports produced by the European Parliament and the Council of the EU relating to certain topics set out by the BRRD.

Such further amendments to the BRRD or the implementing rules in the EU may also be necessary to ensure continued consistency with the FSB recommendations on resolution regimes and resolution planning for Globally Systemically Important Banks (GSIBs).

The BRRD requires national resolution funds to raise "ex ante" contributions on banks and investment firms in proportion to their liabilities and risk profiles and allow them to raise additional "ex post" funding contributions in the event the ex ante contributions do not cover the losses, costs or other expenses incurred by use of the resolution fund. As a result, the RBSH Group may be required to make additional contributions in the future. In addition, the RBSH Group is required to pay supervisory fees towards the funding of the SSM as well as contributions to the single resolution fund.

The new recovery and resolution regime implementing the BRRD has imposed and is expected to impose in the near-to medium-term future, additional compliance and reporting obligations on the RBS Group and RBSH Group which may result in increased costs, including as a result of the mandatory participation in resolution funds, and heightened compliance risks and the RBS Group or the RBSH Group may not be in a position to comply with all such requirements within the prescribed deadlines or at all.

Similar to other major financial institutions, both the RBS Group and the RBSH Group remain engaged in a constructive dialogue on resolution and recovery planning with key national regulators and other authorities. It is expected that resolution planning in connection with the RBSH Group would be addressed as part of the RBS Group's resolution plans.

[The legal demerger of ABN AMRO Bank N.V. \(as it was then named\) has resulted in a cross liability that affects the legal recourse available to investors.](#)

On 6 February 2010, ABN AMRO Bank N.V. (as it was then named) was demerged into two entities, being RBS N.V. (the former ABN AMRO Bank N.V.) and the new ABN AMRO Bank.

In principle, investors now only have recourse to the entity to which the relevant assets and liabilities have been transferred for payments in respect of the appropriate securities. Under the Dutch Civil Code, however, each entity remains liable to creditors for the monetary obligations of the other entity that existed at the date of the legal demerger in the event that the other entity cannot meet its obligations to those creditors. In each case, the liability relates only to obligations existing at the date of the legal demerger.

The liability of RBS N.V. is limited to the equity retained at legal demerger. At the time of the legal demerger, this liability amounted to €4.0 billion and this liability will reduce over time. The liability of the new ABN AMRO Bank N.V. is limited to the amount of equity acquired at legal demerger, which amounted to €1.8 billion, which will also reduce over time.

RBS N.V. has made arrangements to mitigate the risks of liability to the creditors which transferred to the new ABN AMRO Bank upon legal demerger. The new ABN AMRO Bank has also made arrangements to mitigate the risks of liability to the creditors that remain in RBS N.V. Both of these entities hold the level of regulatory capital agreed upon with the Dutch Central Bank for purposes of covering any residual risks.

Abbreviations and acronyms

ABS	Asset-backed securities	ICAAP	Internal Capital Adequacy Assessment Process
AFS	Available-for-sale	IFRS	International Financial Reporting Standards
AQ	Asset quality	IPV	Independent price verification
AT1	Additional Tier 1	IRC	Incremental risk charge
BCBS	Basel Committee on Banking Supervision	LAR	Loans and receivables
C&RA	Conduct & Regulatory Affairs	LIBOR	London Interbank Offered Rate
CDO	Collateralised debt obligation	LGD	Loss given default
CDS	Credit default swap	LTV	Loan-to-value
CET1	Common equity tier 1	MDA	Maximum distributable amount
CIB	Corporate & Institutional Banking	PD	Probability of default
CLO	Collateralised loan obligation	PRA	Prudential Regulation Authority
CRD	Capital Requirements Directive	RBSG	The Royal Bank of Scotland Group plc
CRE	Commercial real estate	RCR	RBS Capital Resolution
CVA	Credit valuation adjustment	REIL	Risk elements in lending
DFV	Designated as at fair value through profit or loss	RFS	RFS Holdings B.V.
EAD	Exposure at default	RNIV	Risks not In VaR
EBA	European Banking Authority	RoW	Rest of the World
EC	European Commission	RWA	Risk-weighted asset
EMEA	Europe, the Middle East and Africa	SE	Structured entity
ERF	Executive Risk Forum	SEC	US Securities and Exchange Commission
EU	European Union	SME	Small and medium-sized enterprise
FCA	Financial Conduct Authority	SVaR	Stressed value-at-risk
FI	Financial institution	UK	United Kingdom
FVTPL	Fair value through profit or loss	US/USA	United States of America
GDP	Gross domestic product	VaR	Value-at-risk
HFT	Held-for-trading		
HTM	Held-to-maturity		
IAS	International Accounting Standards		
IASB	International Accounting Standards Board		

In the Report and Accounts and unless specified otherwise, the terms 'company' and 'RBS Holdings' mean RBS Holdings N.V.. RBS Holdings N.V. is the parent company of The Royal Bank of Scotland N.V. (RBS N.V.) consolidated group of companies and associated companies (RBSH Group). The Royal Bank of Scotland Group plc (RBSG) is the ultimate holding company of RBSH Group. RBS Group refers to RBSG and its consolidated subsidiaries and associated companies. RBS plc refers to The Royal Bank of Scotland plc. The terms 'Consortium' and 'Consortium Members' refer to RBSG, Stichting Administratiekantoor Beheer Financiële Instellingen (the Dutch State, successor to Fortis) and Banco Santander S.A. (Santander) who jointly acquired RBS Holdings on 17 October 2007 through RFS Holdings B.V. (RFS Holdings).

The company publishes its financial statements in 'euro', the European single currency. The abbreviations '€m' and '€bn' represent millions and thousands of millions of euros, respectively. Reference to '£' is to pounds sterling. The abbreviations '£m' and '£bn' represent millions and thousands of millions of pounds, respectively. Reference to '\$' is to United States of America (US) dollars. The abbreviations '\$m' and '\$bn' represent millions and thousands of millions of dollars, respectively.

Glossary of terms

Arrears - the aggregate of contractual payments due on a debt that have not been met by the borrower. A loan or other financial asset is said to be 'in arrears' when payments have not been made.

Asset-backed securities (ABS) - securities that represent interests in specific portfolios of assets. They are issued by a structured entity following a securitisation. The underlying portfolios commonly comprise residential or commercial mortgages but can include any class of asset that yields predictable cash flows. Payments on the securities depend primarily on the cash flows generated by the assets in the underlying pool and other rights designed to assure timely payment, such as guarantees or other credit enhancements. Collateralised debt obligations and collateralised loan obligations are types of ABS.

Asset quality (AQ) band - probability of default banding for all counterparties on a scale of 1 to 10.

Basel II - the capital adequacy framework issued by the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision in June 2006 in the form of the 'International Convergence of Capital Measurement and Capital Standards'.

Basel III - in December 2010, the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision issued final rules: 'Basel III: A global regulatory framework for more resilient banks and banking systems' and 'Basel III: International framework for liquidity risk measurement, standards and monitoring'.

Basis point - one hundredth of a per cent i.e. 0.01 per cent. 100 basis points is 1 per cent. Used when quoting movements in interest rates or yields on securities.

Buy-to-let mortgages - mortgages to customers for the purchase of residential property as a rental investment.

Capital requirements regulation (CRR) - refer to CRD IV.

Central counterparty (CCP) - an intermediary between a buyer and a seller (generally a clearing house).

Certificates of deposit (CDs) - bearer negotiable instruments acknowledging the receipt of a fixed term deposit at a specified interest rate.

Collateralised debt obligations (CDOs) - asset-backed securities for which the underlying asset portfolios are debt obligations: either bonds (collateralised bond obligations) or loans (collateralised loan obligations) or both. The credit exposure underlying synthetic CDOs derives from credit default swaps. The CDOs issued by an individual vehicle are usually divided in different tranches: senior tranches (rated AAA), mezzanine tranches (AA to BB), and equity tranches (unrated). Losses are borne first by the equity securities, next by the junior securities, and finally by the senior securities; junior tranches offer higher coupons (interest payments) to compensate for their increased risk.

Collateralised loan obligations (CLOs) - asset-backed securities for which the underlying asset portfolios are loans, often leveraged loans.

Collectively assessed loan impairment provisions - impairment loss provisions in respect of impaired loans, such as credit cards or personal loans, that are below individual assessment thresholds. Such provisions are established on a portfolio basis, taking account of the level of arrears, security, past loss experience, credit scores and defaults based on portfolio trends.

Commercial paper (CP) - unsecured obligations issued by a corporate or a bank directly or secured obligations (asset-backed CP), often issued through a commercial paper conduit, to fund working capital. Maturities typically range from two to 270 days. However, the depth and reliability of some CP markets means that issuers can repeatedly roll over CP issuance and effectively achieve longer term funding. CP is issued in a wide range of denominations and can be either discounted or interest-bearing.

Commercial paper conduit - a structured entity that issues commercial paper and uses the proceeds to purchase or fund a pool of assets. The commercial paper is secured on the assets and is redeemed either by further commercial paper issuance, repayment of assets or liquidity drawings.

Commercial real estate - freehold and leasehold properties used for business activities. Commercial real estate includes office buildings, industrial property, medical centres, hotels, retail stores, shopping centres, agricultural land and buildings, warehouses, garages etc.

Common Equity Tier 1 capital - the highest quality form of regulatory capital under Basel III comprising common shares issued and related share premium, retained earnings and other reserves excluding reserves which are restricted or not immediately available, less specified regulatory adjustments.

Contractual maturity - the date in the terms of a financial instrument on which the last payment or receipt under the contract is due for settlement.

Core Tier 1 capital - under Basel 2 called-up share capital and eligible reserves plus equity non-controlling interests, less intangible assets and other regulatory deductions.

Core Tier 1 capital ratio - Core Tier 1 capital as a percentage of risk-weighted assets.

Counterparty credit risk - the risk that a counterparty defaults before the maturity of a derivative or sale and repurchase contract. In contrast to non-counterparty credit risk, the exposure to counterparty credit risk varies by reference to a market factor (e.g. interest rate, exchange rate, asset price).

Coverage ratio - impairment provisions as a percentage of impaired loans.

Covered bonds - debt securities backed by a portfolio of mortgages that are segregated from the issuer's other assets solely for the benefit of the holders of the covered bonds.

Glossary of terms

CRD IV - the European Union has implemented the Basel III capital proposals through the CRR and the CRD, collectively known as CRD IV. CRD IV was implemented on 1 January 2014. The EBA's technical standards are still to be finalised through adoption by the European Commission and implemented within the UK.

Credit default swap (CDS) - a contract where the protection seller receives premium or interest-related payments in return for contracting to make payments to the protection buyer upon a defined credit event in relation to a reference financial asset or portfolio of financial assets. Credit events usually include bankruptcy, payment default and rating downgrades.

Credit derivatives - contractual agreements that provide protection against a credit event on one or more reference entities or financial assets. The nature of a credit event is established by the protection buyer and protection seller at the inception of a transaction, and such events include bankruptcy, insolvency or failure to meet payment obligations when due. The buyer of the credit derivative pays a periodic fee in return for a payment by the protection seller upon the occurrence of a credit event. Credit derivatives include credit default swaps, total return swaps and credit swap options.

Credit enhancements - techniques that improve the credit standing of financial obligations; generally those issued by a structured entity in a securitisation. External credit enhancements include financial guarantees and letters of credit from third party providers. Internal enhancements include excess spread - the difference between the interest rate received on the underlying portfolio and the coupon on the issued securities; and over-collateralisation - at inception, the value of the underlying portfolio is greater than the securities issued.

Credit grade - a rating that represents an assessment of the creditworthiness of a customer. It is a point on a scale representing the probability of default of a customer.

Credit risk - the risk of financial loss due to the failure of a customer, or counterparty, to meet its obligation to settle outstanding amounts.

Credit risk mitigation - reducing the credit risk of an exposure by application of techniques such as netting, collateral, guarantees and credit derivatives.

Credit valuation adjustment (CVA) - the CVA is the difference between the risk-free value of a portfolio of trades and its market value, taking into account the counterparty's risk of default. It represents the market value of counterparty credit risk, or an estimate of the adjustment to fair value that a market participant would make to reflect the creditworthiness of its counterparty.

Currency swap - an arrangement in which two parties exchange specific principal amounts of different currencies at inception and subsequently interest payments on the principal amounts. Often, one party will pay a fixed rate of interest, while the other will pay a floating rate (though there are also fixed-fixed and floating-floating currency swaps). At the maturity of the swap, the principal amounts are usually re-exchanged.

Customer accounts - money deposited with the Group by counterparties other than banks and classified as liabilities. They include demand, savings and time deposits; securities sold under repurchase agreements; and other short term deposits. Deposits received from banks are classified as deposits by banks.

Debt securities - transferable instruments creating or acknowledging indebtedness. They include debentures, bonds, certificates of deposit, notes and commercial paper. The holder of a debt security is typically entitled to the payment of principal and interest, together with other contractual rights under the terms of the issue, such as the right to receive certain information. Debt securities are generally issued for a fixed term and redeemable by the issuer at the end of that term. Debt securities can be secured or unsecured.

Debt securities in issue - unsubordinated debt securities issued by the Group. They include commercial paper, certificates of deposit, bonds and medium-term notes.

Deferred tax asset - income taxes recoverable in future periods as a result of deductible temporary differences (temporary differences between the accounting and tax base of an asset or liability that will result in tax deductible amounts in future periods) and the carry-forward of tax losses and unused tax credits.

Deferred tax liability - income taxes payable in future periods as a result of taxable temporary differences (temporary differences between the accounting and tax base of an asset or liability that will result in taxable amounts in future periods).

Defined benefit obligation - the present value of expected future payments required to settle the obligations of a defined benefit plan resulting from employee service.

Defined benefit plan/scheme - pension or other post-retirement benefit plan other than a defined contribution plan.

Defined contribution plan/scheme - pension or other post-retirement benefit plan where the employer's obligation is limited to its contributions to the fund.

Deposits by banks - money deposited with the Group by banks and recorded as liabilities. They include money-market deposits, securities sold under repurchase agreements, federal funds purchased and other short term deposits. Deposits received from customers are recorded as customer accounts.

Derivative - a contract or agreement whose value changes with changes in an underlying variable such as interest rates, foreign exchange rates, share prices or indices and which requires no initial investment or an initial investment that is smaller than would be required for other types of contracts with a similar response to market factors. The principal types of derivatives are: swaps, forwards, futures and options.

Discontinued operation - a component of the Group that either has been disposed of or is classified as held for sale. A discontinued operation is either: a separate major line of business or geographical area of operations or part of a single co-ordinated plan to dispose of a separate major line of business or geographical area of operations; or a subsidiary acquired exclusively with a view to resale.

Glossary of terms

Economic capital - an internal measure of the capital required by the Group to support the risks to which it is exposed.

Effective interest rate method - the effective interest method is a method of calculating the amortised cost of a financial asset or financial liability (or group of financial assets or liabilities) and of allocating the interest income or interest expense over the expected life of the asset or liability. The effective interest rate is the rate that exactly discounts estimated future cash flows to the instrument's initial carrying amount. Calculation of the effective interest rate takes into account fees payable or receivable that are an integral part of the instrument's yield, premiums or discounts on acquisition or issue, early redemption fees and transaction costs. All contractual terms of a financial instrument are considered when estimating future cash flows.

Encumbrance - an interest in an asset held by another party. Encumbrance usually restricts the asset's transferability until the encumbrance is removed.

Equity risk - the risk of changes in the market price of the equities or equity instruments arising from positions, either long or short, in equities or equity-based financial instruments.

Eurozone - the 19 European Union countries that have adopted the euro: Austria, Belgium, Cyprus, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, the Netherlands, Portugal, Slovakia, Slovenia and Spain.

Expected loss (EL) - expected loss represents the anticipated loss on an exposure over one year. It is determined by multiplying probability of default, loss given default and exposure at default and can be calculated at individual, credit facility, customer or portfolio level.

Exposure - a claim, contingent claim or position which carries a risk of financial loss.

Exposure at default (EAD) - an estimate of the extent to which the bank will be exposed under a specific facility, in the event of the default of a counterparty.

FICO score - a credit score calculated using proprietary software developed by the Fair Isaac Corporation in the US from a consumer's credit profile. The scores range between 300 and 850 and are used in credit decisions made by banks and other providers of credit.

Financial Conduct Authority (FCA) - the statutory body responsible for conduct of business regulation and supervision of UK authorised firms from 1 April 2013. The FCA also has responsibility for the prudential regulation of firms that do not fall within the PRA's scope.

Financial Services Compensation Scheme (FSCS) - the UK's statutory fund of last resort for customers of authorised financial services firms. It pays compensation if a firm is unable to meet its obligations. The FSCS funds compensation for customers by raising management expenses levies and compensation levies on the financial services industry.

First/second lien - a lien is a charge such as a mortgage held by one party, over property owned by a second party, as security for payment of some debt, obligation, or duty owed by that second party. The holder of a first lien takes precedence over all other encumbrances on that property i.e. second and subsequent liens.

Forbearance - forbearance takes place when a concession is made on the contractual terms of a loan in response to a customer's financial difficulties.

Forward contract - a contract to buy (or sell) a specified amount of a physical or financial commodity, at an agreed price, at an agreed future date.

Futures contract - a contract which provides for the future delivery (or acceptance of delivery) of some type of financial instrument or commodity under terms established at the outset. Futures differ from forward contracts in that they are standardised and traded on recognised exchanges and rarely result in actual delivery; most contracts are closed out prior to maturity by acquisition of an offsetting position.

Government Sponsored Enterprises (GSEs) - a group of financial services corporations created by the US Congress. Their function is to improve the efficiency of capital markets and to overcome statutory and other market imperfections which otherwise prevent funds from moving easily from suppliers of funds to areas of high loan demand. They include the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation and the Federal National Mortgage Association.

Gross yield - the interest rate earned on average interest-earning assets i.e. interest income divided by average interest-earning assets.

Impaired loans - all loans for which an impairment provision has been established; for collectively assessed loans, impairment loss provisions are not allocated to individual loans and the entire portfolio is included in impaired loans.

Impairment allowance - refer to Loan impairment provisions.

Impairment losses - (a) for impaired financial assets measured at amortised cost, impairment losses - the difference between carrying value and the present value of estimated future cash flows discounted at the asset's original effective interest rate - are recognised in profit or loss and the carrying amount of the financial asset reduced by establishing a provision (allowance) (b) for impaired available-for-sale financial assets, the cumulative loss that had been recognised directly in equity is removed from equity and recognised in profit or loss as an impairment loss.

Individually assessed loan impairment provisions - impairment loss provisions for individually significant impaired loans assessed on a case-by-case basis, taking into account the financial condition of the counterparty and any guarantor and the realisable value of any collateral held.

Interest rate swap - a contract under which two counterparties agree to exchange periodic interest payments on a predetermined monetary principal, the notional amount.

Glossary of terms

Interest spread - the difference between the gross yield and the interest rate paid on average interest-bearing liabilities.

Internal Capital Adequacy Assessment Process (ICAAP) - the Group's own assessment, as part of Basel III requirements, of its risks, how it intends to mitigate those risks and how much current and future capital is necessary having considered other mitigating factors.

Internal funding of trading business - the internal funding of the trading book comprises net banking book financial liabilities that fund financial assets in the Group's trading portfolios. Interest payable on these financial liabilities is charged to the trading book.

International Accounting Standards Board (IASB) - the independent standard-setting body of the IFRS Foundation. Its members are responsible for the development and publication of International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRSs) and for approving Interpretations of IFRS as developed by the IFRS Interpretations Committee.

Investment grade - generally represents a risk profile similar to a rating of BBB-/Baa3 or better, as defined by independent rating agencies.

Key management - members of the RBS Group Executive Committee.

Latent loss provisions - loan impairment provisions held against impairments in the performing loan portfolio that have been incurred as a result of events occurring before the balance sheet date but which have not been identified at the balance sheet date.

Level 1 - level 1 fair value measurements are derived from quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the entity can access at the measurement date.

Level 2 - level 2 fair value measurements use inputs, other than quoted prices included within level 1, that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly.

Level 3 - level 3 fair value measurements use one or more unobservable inputs for the asset or liability.

Leverage ratio - a measure prescribed under Basel III. It is the ratio of Tier 1 capital to total exposures. Total exposures include on-balance sheet items, off-balance sheet items and derivatives, and generally follow the accounting measure of exposure.

Liquidity and funding risk - the risk that the Group is unable to meet its financial liabilities when they fall due.

Loan:deposit ratio - the ratio of loans and advances to customers net of provision for impairment losses and excluding reverse repurchase agreements to customer deposits excluding repurchase agreements.

Loan impairment provisions - loan impairment provisions are established to recognise incurred impairment losses on a portfolio of loans classified as loans and receivables and carried at amortised cost. It has three components: individually assessed loan impairment provisions, collectively assessed loan impairment provisions and latent loss provisions.

Loan-to-value ratio - the amount of a secured loan as a percentage of the appraised value of the security e.g. the outstanding amount of a mortgage loan as a percentage of the property's value.

London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR) - the benchmark interest rate at which banks can borrow funds from other banks in the London interbank market.

Loss given default (LGD) - an estimate of the amount that will not be recovered by the Group in the event of default, plus the cost of debt collection activities and the delay in cash recovery.

Market risk - the risk of loss arising from fluctuations in interest rates, credit spreads, foreign currency rates, equity prices, commodity prices and other risk-related factors such as market volatilities that may lead to a reduction in earnings, economic value or both.

Master netting agreement - an agreement between two counterparties that have multiple derivative contracts with each other that provides for the net settlement of all contracts through a single payment, in a single currency, in the event of default on, or termination of, any one contract.

Maximum distributable amount (MDA) - a restriction on distributions which may be made by a bank which does not meet the combined buffer requirements as set out in the PRA Supervisory Statement SS6/14 'Implementing CRD IV: capital buffers'.

Medium term notes (MTNs) - debt securities usually with a maturity of five to ten years, but the term may be less than one year or as long as 50 years. They can be issued on a fixed or floating coupon basis or with an exotic coupon; with a fixed maturity date (non-callable) or with embedded call or put options or early repayment triggers. MTNs are generally issued as senior unsecured debt.

Monoline insurers (monolines) - entities that specialise in providing credit protection against the notional and interest cash flows due to the holders of debt instruments in the event of default. This protection is typically in the form of derivatives such as credit default swaps.

Mortgage-backed securities - asset-backed securities for which the underlying asset portfolios are loans secured on property. See Residential mortgage backed securities and Commercial mortgage backed securities.

Net interest income - the difference between interest receivable on financial assets classified as loans and receivables or available-for-sale and interest payable on financial liabilities carried at amortised cost.

Glossary of terms

Net interest margin - net interest income as a percentage of average interest-earning assets.

Non-performing loans - loans classified as Risk elements in lending and potential problem loans. They have a 100% probability of default and have been assigned an AQ10 internal credit grade.

Operational risk - the risk of loss resulting from inadequate or failed processes, people, systems or from external events.

Option - an option is a contract that gives the holder the right but not the obligation to buy (or sell) a specified amount of an underlying physical or financial commodity, at a specific price, at an agreed date or over an agreed period. Options can be exchange-traded or traded over-the-counter.

Over-the-counter (OTC) derivatives - derivatives with tailored terms and conditions negotiated bilaterally, in contrast to exchange traded derivatives that have standardised terms and conditions.

Own credit adjustment (OCA) - the effect of the Group's own credit standing on the fair value of financial liabilities.

Past due - a financial asset such as a loan is past due when the counterparty has failed to make a payment when contractually due.

Pillar 1 - the part of CRD IV that sets out the process by which regulatory capital requirements should be calculated for credit, market and operational risk.

Pillar 2 - Pillar 2 is intended to ensure that firms have adequate capital to support all the relevant risks in their business and is divided into capital held against risks not captured or not fully captured by the Pillar 1 regulations (Pillar 2A) and risks to which a firm may become exposed over a forward-looking planning horizon (Pillar 2B). Capital held under Pillar 2A, in addition to the Pillar 1 requirements, is the minimum level of regulatory capital a bank should maintain at all times to cover adequately the risks to which it is or might be exposed, and to comply with the overall financial adequacy rules. Pillar 2B is a capital buffer which helps to ensure that a bank can continue to meet minimum requirements during a stressed period, and is determined by the PRA evaluating the risks to which the firm may become exposed (e.g. due to changes to the economic environment) during the supervisory review and evaluation process. All firms will be subject to a PRA buffer assessment and the PRA will set a PRA buffer only if it judges that the CRD IV buffers are inadequate for a particular firm given its vulnerability in a stress scenario, or where the PRA has identified risk management and governance failings, which the CRD IV buffers are not intended to address.

Pillar 3 - the part of CRD IV that sets out the information banks must disclose about their risks, the amount of capital required to absorb them, and their approach to risk management. The aim is to strengthen market discipline.

Potential future exposure - is a measure of counterparty risk/credit risk. It is calculated by evaluating existing trades done against the possible market prices in future during the lifetime of the transactions.

Potential problem loans (PPL) - loans for which an impairment event has taken place but no impairment loss is expected. This category is used for advances which are not past due 90 days or revolving credit facilities where identification as 90 days overdue is not feasible.

PRA Rule Book - contains provisions made by the PRA that apply to PRA authorised firms. Within 'Banking and Investment Rules', the Capital Requirements firms' section applies to the RBS Group.

Private equity - equity investments in operating companies not quoted on a public exchange. Capital for private equity investment is raised from retail or institutional investors and used to fund investment strategies such as leveraged buyouts, venture capital, growth capital, distressed investments and mezzanine capital.

Probability of default (PD) - the likelihood that a customer will fail to make full and timely repayment of credit obligations over a one year time horizon.

Prudential Regulation Authority (PRA) - the statutory body responsible for the prudential supervision of banks, building societies, insurers and a small number of significant investment firms in the UK. The PRA is a subsidiary of the Bank of England.

Regular way purchase or sale - a purchase or sale of a financial asset under a contract whose terms require delivery of the asset within the time frame established generally by regulation or convention in the marketplace concerned.

Regulatory capital - the amount of capital that the Group holds, determined in accordance with rules established by the PRA for the consolidated Group and by local regulators for individual Group companies.

Repurchase agreement (Repo) - refer to Sale and repurchase agreements.

Residential mortgage - a loan to purchase a residential property where the property forms collateral for the loan. The borrower gives the lender a lien against the property and the lender can foreclose on the property if the borrower does not repay the loan per the agreed terms. Also known as a home loan.

Retail loans - loans made to individuals rather than institutions. The loans may be for car purchases, home purchases, medical care, home repair, holidays and other consumer uses.

Return on equity - profit attributable to ordinary shareholders divided by average shareholders' equity as a percentage.

Reverse repurchase agreement (Reverse repo) - refer to Sale and repurchase agreements.

Risk appetite - an expression of the maximum level of risk that the Group is prepared to accept to deliver its business objectives.

Risk elements in lending (REIL) - impaired loans and accruing loans which are contractually overdue 90 days or more as to principal or interest.

Risk-weighted assets (RWAs) - assets adjusted for their associated risks using weightings established in accordance with the CRD IV as implemented by the PRA. Certain assets are not weighted but deducted from capital.

Sale and repurchase agreements - in a sale and repurchase agreement one party, the seller, sells a financial asset to another party, the buyer, at the same time the seller agrees to reacquire and the buyer to resell the asset at a later date. From the seller's perspective such agreements are repurchase agreements (repos) and from the buyer's reverse repurchase agreements (reverse repos).

Securitisation - a process by which assets or cash flows are transformed into transferable securities. The underlying assets or cash flows are transferred by the originator or an intermediary, typically an investment bank, to a structured entity which issues securities to investors. Asset securitisations involve issuing debt securities (asset-backed securities) that are backed by the cash flows of income-generating assets (ranging from credit card receivables to residential mortgage loans).

Settlement balances - payables and receivables that result from purchases and sales of financial instruments recognised on trade date. Asset settlement balances are amounts owed to the Group in respect of sales and liability settlement balances are amounts owed by the Group in respect of purchases.

Sovereign exposures - exposures to governments, ministries, departments of governments and central banks.

Standardised approach - a method used to calculate credit risk capital requirements under Pillar 1. In this approach the risk weights used in the capital calculation are determined by regulators. For operational risk, capital requirements are determined by multiplying three years' historical gross income by a percentage determined by the regulator. The percentage ranges from 12 to 18%, depending on the type of underlying business being considered.

Stress testing - a technique used to evaluate the potential effects on an institution's financial condition of an exceptional but plausible event and/or movement in a set of financial variables.

Stressed value-at-risk (SVaR) - a VaR measure using historical data from a one year period of stressed market conditions. For the purposes of calculating regulatory SVaR, a time horizon of ten trading days is assumed at a confidence level of 99%. Refer also to Value-at-risk below.

Structured entity (SE) - an entity that has been designed such that voting or similar rights are not the dominant factor in deciding who controls the entity, for example when any voting rights relate to administrative tasks only and the relevant activities are directed by means of contractual arrangements. SEs are usually established for a specific, limited purpose, they do not carry out a business or trade and typically have no employees. They take a variety of legal forms - trusts, partnerships and companies - and fulfil many different functions.

Structured notes - securities that pay a return linked to the value or level of a specified asset or index. Structured notes can be linked to equities, interest rates, funds, commodities and foreign currency.

Subordinated liabilities - liabilities which, in the event of insolvency or liquidation of the issuer, are subordinated to the claims of depositors and other creditors of the issuer.

Tier 1 capital - a component of regulatory capital, comprising Common Equity Tier 1 and Additional Tier 1. Additional Tier 1 capital includes eligible non-common equity capital securities and any related share premium. Under Basel II, Tier 1 capital comprises Core Tier 1 capital plus other Tier 1 securities in issue, less certain regulatory deductions.

Tier 2 capital - qualifying subordinated debt and other Tier 2 securities in issue, eligible collective impairment allowances less certain regulatory deductions.

Unaudited - financial information that has not been subjected to the audit procedures undertaken by the Group's auditors to enable them to express an opinion on the Group's financial statements.

US Federal Agencies - are independent bodies established by the US Government for specific purposes such as the management of natural resources, financial oversight or national security. A number of agencies, including, the Government National Mortgage Association, issue or guarantee publicly traded debt securities.

Value-at-risk (VaR) - a technique that produces estimates of the potential loss in the market value of a portfolio over a specified time period at a given confidence level.

Wholesale funding - wholesale funding comprises Deposits by banks, Debt securities in issue and Subordinated liabilities.

Write-down - a reduction in the carrying value of an asset to record a decline in its fair value or value in use.

Wrong-way risk - the risk of loss when the risk factors driving the exposure to a counterparty or customer are positively correlated with the creditworthiness of that counterparty i.e. the size of the exposure increases at the same time as the risk of the counterparty or customer being unable to meet that obligation, increases.

Important addresses

Important addresses

Company Secretariat
Gustav Mahlerlaan 350
1082 ME Amsterdam
PO Box 12925
The Netherlands
Telephone: + 31 20 464 99 99

Investor Relations
280 Bishopsgate
London EC2M 4RB
Telephone: +44 (0)207 672 1758
Facsimile: +44 (0)207 672 1801
Email: investor.relations@rbs.com

Registered office
Gustav Mahlerlaan 350
1082 ME Amsterdam
PO Box 12925
The Netherlands

Website
www.rbs.nl